

Complements of 2-spheres in 4-manifolds

VO THANH LIEM AND GERARD A. VENEMA¹

Abstract. Complements of topologically embedded 2-spheres in compact 4-manifolds are investigated. The main technical result gives a necessary condition for such a complement to be homotopically dominated by a compact polyhedron. Corollaries give conditions under which the end of the complement will have a collar or a weak collar.

INTRODUCTION

Let $h : S^2 \rightarrow M^4$ be a topological embedding of the 2-sphere into the interior of the compact 4-manifold M^4 . We use Σ to denote $h(S^2)$ and W to denote $M - \Sigma$; then W is a non-compact 4-manifold with one end which we call ϵ . We wish to investigate properties of ϵ that are related to the way in which Σ is embedded in M . In particular, we give a condition which implies that neighborhoods of ϵ are homotopically dominated by finite complexes and a second condition which implies that the end is collared (or weakly collared).

Let us begin with a definition. A compact set X in the interior of a manifold M is said to be *globally 1- alg* if for every neighborhood U of X in M there is a neighborhood V of X in U such that the image of $\pi_1(V - X)$ in $\pi_1(U - X)$ is abelian. We are most interested in the case in which $X = \Sigma$, as in the paragraph above. In that case duality shows that the image of $\pi_1(V - \Sigma)$ in $\pi_1(U - \Sigma)$ will be cyclic. The order of this cyclic group is determined by $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma$, the integer-valued self-intersection number of $[\Sigma] \in H_2(M; \mathbf{Z})$. If Σ is globally 1- alg and $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = 0$, then $\pi_1(\epsilon)$ is infinite cyclic. Otherwise $\pi_1(\epsilon)$ is a finite cyclic group whose order is $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma$. This is explained in Lemma 1, below.

We can now state our main results.

THEOREM. *If $h : S^2 \rightarrow M^4$ is a topological embedding into the interior of the 4-manifold M and $\Sigma = h(S^2)$ is globally 1- alg , then any 0-neighborhood of the end of $M - \Sigma$ is finitely dominated.*

In the infinite cyclic case we can obtain a collar on the end.

¹Research partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS-8900822.

COROLLARY 1. *If Σ is a 2-sphere topologically embedded in the interior of the 4-manifold M and π_1 of the end of $M - \Sigma$ is infinite cyclic, then $M - \Sigma \cong M - K$ for some locally flat 2-sphere K in M . Moreover, there exists a neighborhood N of Σ such that $N \cong S^2 \times B^2$ and $N - \Sigma \cong \partial N \times [0, 1)$.*

We do not know whether the end of the complement of every globally 1-*alg* 2-sphere can be collared. The Theorem does show that the end is always tame. In §3 we prove that the finiteness obstruction $\sigma(\epsilon) \in \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(\epsilon)])$ is trivial and so we are at least able to obtain a weak collar. (See [2, §11.9B] for the definition of a weak collar.)

COROLLARY 2. *If Σ is a 2-sphere topologically embedded in the interior of the 4-manifold M and π_1 of the end of $M - \Sigma$ is a finite cyclic group, then the end of $M - \Sigma$ has a weak collar.*

The Theorem and its Corollaries generalize results in [3], where such theorems are proved for the special case in which the ambient manifold is S^4 . This generalization is interesting in its own right, but is also motivated by an application to a different problem. That problem is the problem of giving a homotopy characterization of local flatness for surfaces embedded in 4-manifolds. The conjecture is that a local version of the 1-*alg* property will imply local flatness for a surface N topologically embedded in the interior of the 4-manifold M . The surprising fact is that the global structure of the end of $M - N$ plays a role in this local problem. In [4], Corollary 1 above is used to prove the following result: *If Σ is a 2-sphere topologically embedded in the interior of the 4-manifold M^4 so that Σ is locally 1-*alg* at x for every $x \in \Sigma$ and $\Sigma \cdot \Sigma = 0$, then Σ is locally flat at x for every $x \in \Sigma$.*

It should be noted that the Theorem only asserts that the global 1-*alg* condition is a sufficient condition to guarantee that the end of the complement of Σ is finitely dominated. In fact it is not a necessary condition, even in case Σ is a 2-sphere in S^4 . In [4] we show that a necessary condition would involve $\pi_2(M - \Sigma)$. We also show by example that conditions on $\pi_1(M - \Sigma)$ alone will not suffice; in [4] we construct an example of a topological 2-sphere $\Sigma \subset S^4$ such that $\pi_1(S^4 - \Sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ but $\pi_2(S^4 - \Sigma)$ is nontrivial. Such an example must necessarily be wildly embedded with $\pi_1(\epsilon)$ unstable.

The results of this paper apply only in dimension 4. In high dimensions the global 1-*alg* condition by itself is not strong enough to imply that the end of the complement of a topologically embedded codimension 2 sphere is finitely dominated. Examples are discussed in [4].

NOTATION: Throughout the remainder of this paper, M will denote a compact 4-manifold, $h : S^2 \rightarrow \text{int } M$ will denote a topological embedding and $\Sigma = h(S^2)$.

Then $M - \Sigma$ is a noncompact manifold with one end which we call ϵ . If V is a closed neighborhood of the end ϵ , we write $\bar{V} = V \cup \Sigma$.

REMARK: All the results above hold true if Σ is a compactum having the shape of S^2 ; i.e., the topological embedding h can be replaced with a shape equivalence from S^2 to a compact subset Σ of M^4 .

1. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

There are some technical results needed for the proof and we will state them as lemmas. The first lemma explains the structure of $\pi_1(\epsilon)$.

LEMMA 1. *If Σ is globally 1-alg embedded in $\text{int } M$, then the fundamental group of the end ϵ is stable and cyclic. More precisely, $\pi_1(\epsilon) \cong \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$, where $n = \Sigma \cdot \Sigma$, the integer-valued self-intersection number of $[\Sigma] \in H_2(M; \mathbf{Z})$.*

PROOF: Since Σ is an ANR, we can choose a nested sequence $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of closed, connected, PL manifold neighborhoods of ϵ such that $\cap V_n = \emptyset$ and each $\bar{V}_{n+1} \hookrightarrow \bar{V}_n$ factors, up to homotopy, through a retraction $r_{n+1} : \bar{V}_{n+1} \rightarrow \Sigma$. Consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_2(\bar{V}_{n+1}; \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & H_2(\bar{V}_{n+1}, V_{n+1}; \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & H_1(V_{n+1}; \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} & H_1(\bar{V}_{n+1}; \mathbf{Z}) \\
\beta_1 \downarrow & & \beta_2 \downarrow & & \beta_3 \downarrow & & \beta_4 \downarrow \\
H_2(\bar{V}_n; \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} & H_2(\bar{V}_n, V_n; \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} & H_1(V_n; \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_3} & H_1(\bar{V}_n; \mathbf{Z})
\end{array}$$

Notice that β_2 is an isomorphism (excision) and $\beta_4 = 0$ (by the choice of V_n), so a simple diagram chasing argument shows that $\text{im } \beta_3 = \text{im } \gamma_2$. Now duality gives $H_2(\bar{V}_n, V_n; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \check{H}^2(\Sigma) \cong \mathbf{Z}$, and the duality isomorphism takes $x \in H_2(\bar{V}_n, V_n; \mathbf{Z})$ to $x \cdot [\Sigma] \in \mathbf{Z}$. Furthermore, the images of $H_2(\bar{V}_n; \mathbf{Z})$ and $H_2(\bar{V}_{n+1}; \mathbf{Z})$ in $H_2(\bar{V}_n, V_n; \mathbf{Z})$ are equal, so $\text{im } \gamma_1$ is generated by $\gamma_1([\Sigma])$, where $[\Sigma] \in H_2(V_n; \mathbf{Z})$. Thus $\text{im } \beta_3 = \text{im } \gamma_2 \cong \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ where $n = \Sigma \cdot \Sigma$. It follows that the inverse sequence $\{H_1(V_1; \mathbf{Z}) \leftarrow H_1(V_2; \mathbf{Z}) \leftarrow \dots\}$ is stable and

$$\varprojlim_n H_1(V_n; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}.$$

Finally, the fact that Σ is globally 1-alg implies that the inverse sequence $\{\pi_1(V_1) \leftarrow \pi_1(V_2) \leftarrow \dots\}$ is also stable and $\pi_1(\epsilon) \cong \varprojlim_n \{\pi_1(V_n)\} \cong \varprojlim_n \{H_1(V_n; \mathbf{Z})\}$. ■

Using Lemma 1, take $V \subset V_0$ to be a pair of connected neighborhoods of ϵ such that

- (1) ∂V and ∂V_0 are connected,
- (2) the image of $\pi_1(V)$ in $\pi_1(V_0)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(\epsilon)$, and
- (3) the image of $\pi_1(\partial V)$ in $\pi_1(V)$ contains the image of $\pi_1(\epsilon)$ in $\pi_1(V)$.

Observe that the inclusion induced map $\pi_1(V) \rightarrow \pi_1(V_0)$ factors through $H_1(V)$, so $\ker[\pi_1(V) \rightarrow \pi_1(V_0)]$ is normally generated by a finite set. We can therefore do 1-dimensional surgery on the interior of V and arrange that $i_* : \pi_1(\epsilon) \rightarrow \pi_1(V)$ is an isomorphism. Consequently, $\pi_1(\partial V) \rightarrow \pi_1(V)$ will be surjective by (3) above. We shall prove that V is dominated by a finite complex. Then every 0-neighborhood is dominated by a finite complex by [6, Proposition 4.3].

LEMMA 2. *If \tilde{V} is the universal covering space of V and $\{E_n\}$ is a nested sequence of connected neighborhoods of the end of \tilde{V} with $\bigcap E_n = \emptyset$, then the compact support cohomology group $H_c^1(\tilde{V}; \mathbf{Z}) = \varinjlim H^1(\tilde{V}, E_n) = 0$.*

PROOF: See the proof of [3, Lemma 3]. ■

LEMMA 3. *$H_2(V, \partial V; \mathbf{Z})$ is finitely generated.*

PROOF: From the exact sequence of the pair (\bar{V}, V) , it follows that $H_2(V; \mathbf{Z})$ is finitely generated because $H_3(\bar{V}, V; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \check{H}^1(\Sigma) = 0$. Thus the Lemma follows from the exact sequence of the pair $(V, \partial V)$. ■

We now define a subpolyhedron W of V as follows. Let $\partial V(3)$ denote the collection of all closed 3-simplices of ∂V . For each $\sigma \in \partial V(3)$, let L_σ be a proper PL ray in V starting at the barycenter of σ , let N_σ be a regular neighborhood of L_σ in V and let $\text{int}_V N_\sigma$ be the topological interior of N_σ in V . Without loss of generality we may assume that

- (4) each ∂N_σ is PL homeomorphic to \mathbf{R}^3 (the union of an increasing sequence of PL 3-balls),
- (5) $N_\sigma \cap \partial V = \sigma$, and
- (6) the family $\{\text{int}_V N_\sigma | \sigma \in \partial V(3)\}$ is pairwise disjoint.

Define $W = V - \cup\{\text{int}_V N_\sigma | \sigma \in \partial V(3)\}$. Then

- (7) $W \cap \partial V = \partial V^{(2)}$, the 2-skeleton of ∂V , and
- (8) $\pi_1(\epsilon) \cong \pi_1(V) \cong \pi_1(W)$.

Let Λ denote the group ring $\mathbf{Z}[\pi_1(\epsilon)]$.

LEMMA 4. *If H is the homology or cohomology functor and \mathcal{B} is any coefficient bundle of Λ -modules, then $H(V, \partial V; \mathcal{B}) \cong H(W, \partial V^{(2)}; \mathcal{B})$.*

PROOF: Let $A = \cup\{N_\sigma | \sigma \in \partial V(3)\}$. By (4), each N_σ strong deformation retracts onto ∂N_σ ; we abbreviate this $N_\sigma \searrow \partial N_\sigma$. It follows that $A \searrow \partial A$ and consequently $V \searrow W \cup \partial V$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H(V, \partial V; \mathcal{B}) &\cong H(W \cup \partial V, \partial V; \mathcal{B}) \\ &\cong H(W, \partial V^{(2)}; \mathcal{B}), \end{aligned}$$

the last isomorphism being an excision isomorphism. ■

Since V is homotopy equivalent to $W \cup \partial V = W \cup (\cup\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \partial V(3)\})$ and $\partial V(3)$ is a finite collection, we need only show that W is dominated by a finite complex. Since Λ is Noetherian, Theorems B and F in [9] imply that it is sufficient to show that W satisfies conditions D_2 and NF_2 in [9]. Refer to [10, Theorem 5] for an equivalent statement of D_2 . We need only consider the case of countably generated free Λ -modules since we will only be involved with countably generated free chain complexes.

LEMMA 5. W satisfies condition D_2 ; i.e.,

- (i) $H_i(W; \Lambda) = 0$ for all $i > 2$, and
- (ii) $H^3(W; \mathcal{B}) = 0$ for every coefficient bundle \mathcal{B} of countably generated free Λ -modules.

PROOF: First, for $j = 3, 4$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_j(W, \partial V^{(2)}; \Lambda) &\cong H_j(V, \partial V; \Lambda) && \text{(Lemma 4)} \\ &\cong H_j(\tilde{V}, \partial \tilde{V}; \mathbf{Z}) \\ &\cong H_c^{4-j}(\tilde{V}; \mathbf{Z}) && \text{(duality)} \\ &= 0 && \text{(Lemma 2)}. \end{aligned}$$

From the homology sequence of the pair $(W, \partial V^{(2)})$, we can infer that $H_j(W; \Lambda) = 0$. So (i) is proved.

Next, from the cohomology exact sequence of the pair $(W, \partial V^{(2)})$ we can infer that $H^3(W; \mathcal{B}) = 0$ since $H^3(\partial V^{(2)}; \mathcal{B}) = 0$ and since $H^3(W, \partial V^{(2)}; \mathcal{B}) \cong H^3(V, \partial V; \mathcal{B}) \cong H_1^\infty(V; \mathcal{B}) = 0$ (by Lemma 4 and the relative version of [3, Lemma 5], respectively). Therefore (ii) is proved. ■

LEMMA 6. W satisfies condition NF_2 ; i.e., $H_2(W; \Lambda)$ is a finitely generated Λ -module.

PROOF: Since the sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_2(\partial V^{(2)}; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_2(W; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_2(W, \partial V^{(2)}; \Lambda) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact and Λ is Noetherian, we need only prove that $H_2(W, \partial V^{(2)}; \Lambda)$ is finitely generated [9, Lemma 1.5]. From the exact sequence

$$H_2(W, \partial V^{(1)}; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_2(W, \partial V^{(2)}; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_1(\partial V^{(2)}, \partial V^{(1)}; \Lambda) = 0$$

of the triple $(W, \partial V^{(2)}, \partial V^{(1)})$, we see that we will only need to prove that the Λ -module $H_2(W, \partial V^{(1)}; \Lambda)$ is finitely generated.

Now let $i : \partial V^{(1)} \rightarrow W$ be the inclusion map. Since $i_* : \pi_1(\partial V^{(1)}) \rightarrow \pi_1(W) \cong \pi_1(V)$ is onto (by (3)) and W satisfies the condition D_2 (by Lemma 5), it follows from the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [9] that $H_2(W, \partial V^{(1)}; \Lambda)$ is a projective Λ -module. Suppose, on the contrary, that $H_2(W, \partial V^{(1)}; \Lambda)$ is not a finitely generated Λ -module. Then it will be a free Λ -module by [7, Theorem 2.3] since $\pi_1(\epsilon)$ is cyclic. Consequently, the abelianization $\pi_2(i)_{\text{ab}} \cong H_2(W, \partial V^{(1)}; \Lambda)$ of the homotopy group $\pi_2(i)$ is a free Λ -module. (Refer to [5, p. 222] for notation and terminology.) It follows from Theorem 4.3 of [5] that W has the homotopy type of an almost finite 2-complex X ; i.e., X is the wedge of a finite complex Y (containing $\partial V^{(1)}$) and infinitely many copies of the 2-sphere. Consequently, $H_2(X, Y; \mathbf{Z})$ is free and of infinite rank and it follows from the exact sequence of the triple $(X, Y, \partial V^{(1)})$ that $H_2(W, \partial V^{(1)}; \mathbf{Z}) \cong H_2(X, \partial V^{(1)}; \mathbf{Z})$ is of infinite rank. Finally, the exact sequence of the triple $(W, \partial V^{(2)}, \partial V^{(1)})$

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(\partial V^{(2)}, \partial V^{(1)}; \mathbf{Z}) &\rightarrow H_2(W, \partial V^{(1)}; \mathbf{Z}) \\ &\rightarrow H_2(W, \partial V^{(2)}; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\partial V^{(2)}, \partial V^{(1)}; \mathbf{Z}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

will imply that $H_2(W, \partial V^{(2)}; \mathbf{Z})$ is of infinite rank. Thus Lemma 4 implies that $H_2(V, \partial V; \mathbf{Z}) \cong H_2(W, \partial V^{(2)}; \mathbf{Z})$ is of infinite rank. This contradicts Lemma 3. Hence $H_2(W, \partial V^{(1)}; \Lambda)$ is a finitely generated Λ -module and so is $H_2(W; \Lambda)$. ■

REMARK: In case $\pi_1(\epsilon) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ we can use Lemma 5 (ii) and the proof of [2, Lemma 5] to show that $H_2(W; \mathbf{Z})$ is a projective Λ -module.

2. INFINITE CYCLIC $\pi_1(\epsilon)$

The proof of Corollary 1 is essentially the same as the proof of [3, Theorem 1], but for the sake of completeness we provide an outline. We first note that, since $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}[\pi_1(\epsilon)]) = 0$, the end of $M - \Sigma$ has a weak collar U by [2, Theorem 11.9B] (see [3, Lemma 8]). A *weak collar* is a closed manifold neighborhood U of the end which homotopically looks like a collar. In particular, $\pi_1(U) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ and $\ker[\pi_1(\partial U) \rightarrow \pi_1(U)]$ is perfect. Second, it follows from 4-dimensional surgery theory [2, §11.6] that there is a compact 4-manifold V such that $\partial V \cong \partial U$ and V has the homotopy type of S^1 (see [3, Lemma 9]). As before, we use \bar{U} to denote $U \cup \Sigma$. Next, $\bar{U} \cup_{\partial} V$ is homeomorphic to S^4 by the Poincaré conjecture (see [3, Lemma 10]). We have thus succeeded in embedding a neighborhood of Σ in S^4 , and we can therefore use the theorems of [3] which apply in case the ambient manifold is S^4 . Let $W' = U \cup V \subset S^4$. Then $\pi_1(W') \cong \mathbf{Z}$ by Van Kampen's Theorem. By

[3, Theorem 5'], there is a compact manifold $N \subset S^4$ such that

$$\phi : S^2 \times B^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} N, \Sigma = S^4 - W' \subset \text{int } N, \text{ and } N \cap W' = N - \Sigma \cong \partial N \times [0, 1).$$

Pushing along the radial structure of $\partial N \times [0, 1)$, we can assume that $N \subset U \subset M$ and define $K = \phi(S^2 \times \{0\}) \subset N \subset M$. The proof of the Corollary is complete.

3. FINITE CYCLIC $\pi_1(\epsilon)$

A *Swan space* for a group G is a space with the homotopy type of a CW-complex, fundamental group G , and universal cover of the homotopy type of some sphere S^k (refer to [2, p. 228]). Let Z_n denote the cyclic group of order $n, n < \infty$.

LEMMA 7. *Let Σ be a 2-sphere embedded in the interior of the compact 4-manifold M and let ϵ denote the end of $M - \Sigma$. If $\pi_1(\epsilon) \cong Z_n$, then ϵ has neighborhoods that are Swan spaces for $\pi_1(\epsilon)$.*

PROOF: Let E denote the homotopy collar of the end of $M - \Sigma$ (as defined on p. 214 of [2]). By [2, Theorem 11.9E] there is an open neighborhood U of the end ϵ such that U is homeomorphic to the infinite cyclic cover of a compact manifold N with N homotopy equivalent to $E \times S^1$. Thus $\pi_1(U) \cong \pi_1(E) \cong Z_n$ and U has two ends. One of the two ends is ϵ , which is finitely dominated by the Theorem. But both ends are proper homotopy equivalent to $E \times [0, \infty)$, so the other end of U must also be finitely dominated and have fundamental group Z_n . Moreover, since $\pi_1(U)$ is finite, the universal cover \tilde{U} of U also has two finitely dominated ends, both simply connected. Therefore each end of \tilde{U} has a simply connected weak collar whose boundary is a homology 3-sphere [2, Theorem 11.9C]. Thus $\tilde{U} \cong S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ [1, Corollary 1.3]. In other words, U is a Swan space for $\pi_1(\epsilon) \cong Z_n$. ■

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2: Let U be an open neighborhood of the end ϵ . By the lemma above we may assume that U is a Swan space for $\pi_1(\epsilon)$. The finiteness obstruction

$$\sigma(U) \in \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(\epsilon)])$$

is trivial by [8, Corollary 2.4(i)] and so ϵ has a weak collar [2, Theorem 11.9B]. ■

REFERENCES

1. M. H. Freedman, *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **17** (1982), 357–453.
2. M. H. Freedman and F. Quinn, “Topology of 4-manifolds,” Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1990.
3. Vo Thanh Liem and G. A. Venema, *Characterization of knot complements in the 4-sphere*, Topology and its Appl. (to appear).

4. _____, *On the asphericity of knot complements*, preprint.
5. J. G. Ratcliffe, *On complexes dominated by a 2-complex*, in “Combinatorial group theory and topology,” *Annals of Math. Studies*, vol. 111, 1987, pp. 221–259.
6. L. C. Siebenmann, “The obstruction to finding a boundary for an open manifold of dimension greater than five,” PhD dissertation, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, 1965.
7. P. F. Smith, *A note on idempotent ideals in group rings*, *Arch. Math.* **27** (1976), 22–27.
8. C. B. Thomas and C. T. C. Wall, *The topological spherical space form problem I*, *Compositio Math.* **23** (1971), 101–114.
9. C. T. C. Wall, *Finiteness conditions for CW-complexes*, *Annals of Math.* **81** (1965), 56–69.
10. _____, *Finiteness conditions for CW complexes, II*, *Proc. Roy. Soc., Ser. A* **295** (1966), 129–139.
11. _____, “Surgery on Compact Manifolds,” Academic Press, London, 1970.

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544 and University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35487-0350
Calvin College, Grand Rapids, Michigan 49546