

## Solutions to PS #27

★43.  $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \chi_{A_i}$  and assume  $f$  is measurable. We must assume, in addition to the hypotheses as stated, that  $y_i \neq y_j$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . (Otherwise the claim is false! For we could take two nonmeasurable sets  $A$  and  $B$  whose union  $A \cup B$  is measurable, and have  $\chi_A + \chi_B = \chi_{A \cup B}$ , the latter of which is a measurable function (see the “ $\Leftarrow$ ” part below).) We assume, WLOG, that  $y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_n$ . Then

$$A_n = \{x \in X \mid f(x) > y_{n-1}\} \in \mathfrak{M},$$

since  $f$  is measurable. Next,

$$A_{n-1} = (A_{n-1} \cup A_n) \setminus A_n = \{x \mid f(x) > y_{n-2}\} \setminus \{x \mid f(x) > y_{n-1}\} \in \mathfrak{M},$$

since the two sets being subtracted are measurable, and  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a ring. Proceeding like this through  $A_2$ , lastly we get

$$A_1 = X \setminus \left( \bigcup_{j=2}^n A_j \right) \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

$\Leftarrow$ : We assume that each  $A_i \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Then

$$\chi_{A_i}^{-1}((a, \infty]) = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } a \geq 1, \\ A_i, & \text{if } 0 \leq a < 1, \\ X, & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{x \in X \mid a < \chi_{A_i}(x)\}$  is a measurable set, showing  $\chi_{A_i}$  to be a measurable function. We have shown that constant functions are measurable, so each  $y_i \chi_{A_i}$  is a measurable function by Thm. 11.18, which also may be applied inductively to guarantee that finite sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \chi_{A_i}$$

are measurable.

**11.3 Case:** For each  $x \in X$ ,  $(f_n(x))$  is a bounded sequence.

Let

$$g(x) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x).$$

Since at each  $x$ ,  $(f_n(x))$  is bounded, we get that  $g(x), h(x) \in (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . By Thm. 11.17, both  $g$  and  $h$  are measurable, which means that  $g - h$  is measurable

(by Thm. 11.18). (Note:  $g - h$  is well-defined  $\forall x$ , since it produces no instances of  $(\infty - \infty)$ .) Now by Thm. S.7, the set on which  $(f_n)$  converges is

$$\{x \mid (g - h)(x) = 0\} = \{x \mid (g - h)(x) \geq 0\} \cap \{x \mid (g - h)(x) \leq 0\},$$

and is measurable since each of the latter sets is measurable.

**General case:**

First, we note that the argument for the case above works perfectly well in the general case so long as  $\{x \mid g(x) = h(x) = -\infty\}$  and  $\{x \mid g(x) = h(x) = +\infty\}$  are empty sets. To generalize the argument, then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$h_n(x) := \max\{\min\{h, n\}, -n\},$$

which we know to be measurable for each  $n$  by Coro. L.28 and the fact that constant functions are measurable. Note that each  $h_n$  is a bounded function (having range in  $[-n, n]$ ), so

$$\{x \mid g(x) = h_n(x) = -\infty\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x \mid g(x) = h_n(x) = +\infty\}$$

are empty. Thus, the argument above may be applied to get that the set

$$\{x \mid g(x) - h_n(x) = 0\}$$

is measurable  $\forall n$ . Now  $f_n(x)$  converges at  $x \in X$  if and only if  $g(x) = h(x) \in (-\infty, \infty)$ , and this happens if and only if  $\exists N_x \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $g(x) = h_n(x)$ ,  $\forall n \geq N$ . Thus,

$$\{x \mid f_n(x) \text{ converges}\} = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n \geq N} \{x \mid g(x) - h_n(x) = 0\},$$

a measurable set.