

Exam 2: Solutions, In-Class Part

1. Continuity is a local property. If we choose an  $x \in (-R, R)$ , there is a compact subset  $[a, b]$  of  $(-R, R)$  that contains  $x$ . By Theorem 8.1, we have that the power series converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $(-R, R)$ —in particular, on  $[a, b]$ . The sequence  $(s_n)$  of partial sums

$$s_n(x) := \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j,$$

is made up of polynomials, so each  $s_n$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ . By uniform convergence of the  $s_n$  to  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ , and by Corollary 7.12 (“the uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous”), we get that  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ . In particular, it is continuous at  $x$ .

2. To prove the “if” part, we assume that  $f^{-1}(\Omega) \in \mathfrak{M}$  for each open  $\Omega \subset [-\infty, \infty]$ . In particular, this means that  $f^{-1}((a, \infty)) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) > a\} \in \mathfrak{M}$  for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , giving that  $f$  (by definition) is measurable.

To prove the “only if” part, we first note that, for  $a < b$ ,

$$f^{-1}((a, b)) = f^{-1}([-\infty, b)) \cap f^{-1}((a, \infty)) = \{x \mid f(x) < b\} \cap \{x \mid f(x) > a\},$$

showing that  $f^{-1}(I) \in \mathfrak{M}$  for each open interval  $I \subset [-\infty, \infty]$ , by Theorem 11.15 and the fact that rings are closed under intersections. To finish, we use that fact that  $\mathbb{R}$  has a countable base of open intervals (say, ones whose endpoints are rational numbers or  $\pm\infty$ ). Thus, given an open  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a sequence of open intervals  $(I_n)$  such that  $\Omega = \bigcup_n I_n$ . Then

$$f^{-1}(\Omega) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_n I_n\right) = \bigcup_n f^{-1}(I_n),$$

again in  $\mathfrak{M}$  since  $\sigma$ -algebras are closed under countable unions.

3. (a) Let  $A_1 = A$  (the set in  $\mathfrak{M}$  for which  $\varphi(A) < \infty$ , and let  $A_n = \emptyset$  for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ . Then the sets  $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  are pairwise disjoint with union  $A$ . By countable additivity,

$$\varphi(A) = \varphi(A) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \varphi(\emptyset), \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \varphi(\emptyset) = 0.$$

(Note the need for  $\varphi(A) < \infty$ .) Thus,  $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$ .

- (b) Let  $A, B$  be disjoint members of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , take  $A_1 = A$ ,  $A_2 = B$ , and  $A_n = \emptyset$  for  $n = 3, 4, \dots$ . By countable additivity, we have

$$\varphi(A \cup B) = \varphi\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) = \varphi(A) + \varphi(B) + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \varphi(\emptyset) = \varphi(A) + \varphi(B),$$

where this latter equality holds by part (a).

(c) Let  $A, B \in \mathfrak{M}$ , with  $A \subset B$ . By part (b),

$$\varphi(B) = \varphi(A \cup (B \setminus A)) = \varphi(A) + \varphi(B \setminus A) \geq \varphi(A),$$

with the latter inequality holding since  $\varphi$  is a nonnegative set function (so  $\varphi(B \setminus A) \geq 0$ ).

(d) Set  $B_1 = E_1$ ,  $B_2 = E_1 \setminus E_2$ , and,  $B_n = E_n \setminus (E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_{n-1})$  for  $n = 3, 4, \dots$ . Then each  $B_j \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $\bigcup_j B_j = \bigcup_n E_n$ . Thus,

$$\varphi\left(\bigcup_n E_n\right) = \varphi\left(\bigcup_n B_n\right) = \sum_n \varphi(B_n) \leq \sum_n \varphi(E_n),$$

where the second equality holds by countable additivity of  $\varphi$ , and the inequality holds by part (c).

4. Let  $(E_j)$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the additivity of  $\mu$  implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \mu(E_j) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n E_j\right) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j\right),$$

where the inequality holds by the monotonicity of  $\mu$ . Since the right-hand expression is an upper bound for the sequence of partial sums of the infinite series  $\sum_j \mu(E_j)$ , and since  $\mu$  is nonnegative, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j\right).$$

But the sub  $\sigma$ -additivity of  $\mu$  is precisely the opposite inequality, yielding the result.

5. (a) First, note that for  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|G(t) - G(s)| = \sqrt{[x(t) - x(s)]^2 + [y(t) - y(s)]^2} \leq |x(t) - x(s)| + |y(t) - y(s)|.$$

(See Theorem M.4.) Thus, to get that  $G$  is continuous it suffices to show that  $x$  and  $y$  are both continuous functions (of  $t$ ) on  $\mathbb{R}$ . (That is, if  $\epsilon > 0$  is given, and if, for  $t$  fixed, it is possible to find a  $\delta > 0$  for which

$$|t - s| < \delta \quad \text{implies} \quad |x(t) - x(s)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |y(t) - y(s)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

then this  $\delta$  suffices to give  $|G(t) - G(s)| < \epsilon$ .) Now note that  $\phi_n(t) := 2^{-n} f(3^{2n-1}t)$  is continuous for each  $n$ , being the composition of continuous functions. Moreover, for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $|\phi_n(t)| \leq 2^{-n}$ , since  $0 \leq f(u) \leq 1$ ,  $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\sum_n 2^{-n}$  converges, the series  $\sum_n \phi_n(t)$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$ . That  $x = x(t)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  now follows from Corollary 7.12. The demonstration that  $y = y(t)$  is continuous is almost identical.

(b) To see that  $G$  is surjective onto  $I^2$ , let  $(x_0, y_0) \in I^2$  be fixed. Both  $x_0, y_0$  have a binary expansions  $0.c_1c_2c_3\dots, 0.d_1d_2d_3\dots$ ; that is, there are sequences  $(c_n), (d_n)$  with each  $c_n, d_n \in \{0, 1\}$  such that

$$x_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}c_n \quad \text{and} \quad y_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}d_n .$$

Now let  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be the sequence given by

$$a_n := \begin{cases} c_{(n+1)/2} , & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ d_{n/2} , & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

and take

$$t_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3^{-n-1}(2a_n) .$$

Note that the sequence  $(2a_n)$  consists of numbers in  $\{0, 2\}$ , and simply represents the digits of the ternary expansion for the number  $t_0$ , so this series converges and  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$  (actually in  $[0, 1/3]$ ). Now, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$3^k t_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} 3^{k-n-1}(2a_n) + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 3^{k-n-1}(2a_n) = 2N + \frac{2}{3}a_k + t_k ,$$

where  $N$  is an integer, and

$$t_k := \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} 3^{k-n-1}(2a_n) \leq 2 \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^{n+1-k}} = \frac{1}{3} .$$

Using the properties assumed for  $f$ , we have  $f(3^k t_0) = f(\frac{2}{3}a_k + t_k) = a_k$ , since  $a_k = 0$  or  $1$  and, hence,  $\frac{2}{3}a_k + t_k$  is in  $[0, 1/3]$  or  $[2/3, 1]$ . Thus,

$$x(t_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} f(3^{2n-1} t_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} a_{2n-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} c_n = x_0 ,$$

and likewise  $y(t_0) = y_0$ .