

MATH 335: Numerical Analysis

Problem Set 4, Solutions

★3 (a) This equation follows from taking 4 times equation (??) and subtracting (??), then solving for $f'(x)$. One also must use the relationships $d_4 = -c_4/4$ and $d_6 = -5c_6/16$.

(b) Multiplying equation (??) by 16 and subtracting (??), we get

$$15f'(x) = 16D_{3,2} - D_{2,2} - \frac{3}{4}d_6h^6 - \dots,$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \frac{16}{15}D_{3,2} - \frac{1}{15}D_{2,2} - \frac{1}{20}d_6h^6 - \dots \\ &= D_{3,3} + \mathcal{O}(h^6). \end{aligned}$$

9.2.10 Let us denote $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ by J . Then we have

$$J = I(h) + K_1h + K_2h^3 + K_3h^5 + \dots \quad (1)$$

$$J = I(h/3) + K_1\frac{h}{3} + K_2\frac{h^3}{27} + K_3\frac{h^5}{243} + \dots \quad (2)$$

$$J = I(h/9) + K_1\frac{h}{9} + K_2\frac{h^3}{729} + K_3\frac{h^5}{59049} + \dots \quad (3)$$

Multiplying (2) by 3 and subtracting (1) we get (after solving again for J)

$$J = \frac{3}{2}I(h/3) - \frac{1}{2}I(h) + c_2h^3 + c_3h^5 + \dots, \quad (4)$$

where $c_2 = (-4/9)K_2$ and $c_3 = (-40/81)K_3$. Multiplying (3) by 3 and subtracting (2) gives

$$J = \frac{3}{2}I(h/9) - \frac{1}{2}I(h/3) + \frac{1}{27}c_2h^3 + \frac{1}{243}c_3h^5 + \dots. \quad (5)$$

Equations (4) and (5) show that $[3I(h/3) - I(h)]/2$ and $[3I(h/9) - I(h/3)]/2$ both yield $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ approximations to J . But we can do even better by multiplying (5) by 27, subtracting (4), and then solving for J to get

$$J = \frac{81}{52}I(h/9) - \frac{15}{26}I(h/3) + \frac{1}{52}I(h) + \mathcal{O}(h^5).$$

Thus, $J \approx (81/52)(2.9795) - (15/26)(2.9263) + (2.3965)/(52) \doteq 2.9990$.

CP 9.2.2 Modification of the book's routine doesn't entail much—mostly commenting out the lines that do the printing (unless you still want it to be printed), and indicating that D is to be returned. Something like

```

function D = derive(f,h,a,n)
% Approximate the derivative of a function at x=a
%disp('          Derivative table')
%disp('-----')
%disp(' i   h          Di,1          Di,2          Di,3          ... ')
%disp('-----')
D(1,1)=(feval(f,a+h)-feval(f,a-h))/(2*h);
%fprintf('%2.0f %8.4f %12.4f\n',1,h,D(1,1));
for i=1:n-1
    h=h/2;
    D(i+1,1)=(feval(f,a+h)-feval(f,a-h))/(2*h);
% fprintf('%2.0f %8.4f %12.4f',i+1,h,D(i+1,1));
    for k=1:i
        D(i+1,k+1)=D(i+1,k)+(D(i+1,k)-D(i,k))/((4^k)-1);
%     fprintf('%12.4f',D(i+1,k+1));
    end
% fprintf('\n');
end

```

(a) With format set to short, the output looks like

34.96000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
34.87000	34.84000	0.00000	0.00000
34.84750	34.84000	34.84000	0.00000
34.84187	34.84000	34.84000	34.84000

Here, as below, the best approximation to the derivative appears in the bottom of the far-right column.

(b)	0.77850	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	0.78917	0.79273	0.00000	0.00000
	0.79177	0.79263	0.79262	0.00000
	0.79241	0.79262	0.79262	0.79262
(c)	-4.20387	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	-4.20821	-4.20966	0.00000	0.00000
	-4.20930	-4.20966	-4.20966	0.00000
	-4.20957	-4.20966	-4.20966	-4.20966
(d)	1.69655	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

	1.70446	1.70709	0.00000	0.00000
	1.70644	1.70711	1.70711	0.00000
	1.70694	1.70711	1.70711	1.70711
(e)	28.66820	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	28.40297	28.31456	0.00000	0.00000
	28.33683	28.31479	28.31480	0.00000
	28.32031	28.31480	28.31480	28.31480

AP 9.3 We are all going to guess at values here. Here are some possible values for the amounts at times surrounding $t = 4$:

time	amount	time	amount
0	800	16	250
4	755	12	480
6	730	10	590
7	700	9	650

These yield the Richardson table (with values approximating the rate of change at $t = 8$):

i	h	$D_{i,1} = \frac{f(x+h)-f(x-h)}{2h}$	$D_{i,2}$	$D_{i,3}$	$D_{i,4}$
1	8	-34.375			
2	4	-34.375	-34.375		
3	2	-35.000	-35.208	-35.264	
4	1	-25.000	-21.667	-20.764	-21.452

3.1.8 The function has a double root, so its derivative $f'(x) = 4x^3 - 25.8x^2 - 71.02x + 464.4$ should have a single root at the same location. Using graphs of f, f' , it appears they have a common zero in the interval $[4, 4.5]$. Calling the routine `bisect.m` (and giving it f' instead of f), we get

```
octave:382> function y = fprime(x)
> y = 4 * x.^3 - 25.8 * x.^2 - 71.02 * x + 464.4;
> endfunction
```

```
octave:383> bisect(@fprime, 4, 4.5, .000001, 1000)
```

iter	a	b	c	f(c)	b-a /2
------	---	---	---	------	--------

0	4.0000	4.5000	4.250000	3.615000	0.250000
1	4.2500	4.5000	4.375000	-5.179688	0.125000
9	4.2998	4.3008	4.300293	-0.020804	0.000488
10	4.2998	4.3003	4.300049	-0.003468	0.000244
11	4.2998	4.3000	4.299927	0.005202	0.000122
15	4.3000	4.3000	4.299995	0.000325	0.000008
16	4.3000	4.3000	4.299999	0.000054	0.000004
17	4.3000	4.3000	4.300001	-0.000081	0.000002

So, this zero of f is approximately 4.3. Similarly,

```
octave:382> function y = f(x)
> y = x.^4 - 8.6 * x.^3 - 35.51 * x.^2 + 464.4 * x - 998.46;
> endfunction
```

```
octave:396> bisect(@f, -7.5, -7, 0.000001, 1000)
```

iter	a	b	c	f(c)	b-a /2
10	-7.3486	-7.3481	-7.348389	-0.160641	0.000244
11	-7.3486	-7.3484	-7.348511	0.082787	0.000122
15	-7.3485	-7.3485	-7.348473	0.006714	0.000008
16	-7.3485	-7.3485	-7.348469	-0.000893	0.000004
17	-7.3485	-7.3485	-7.348471	0.002911	0.000002

- 3.1.13 (a) We have that f is continuous on $[1, 2]$ with $f(1) = 1 > 0$ and $f(2) = -\ln 2 < 0$. By the IVT there is *at least* one zero of f in $[1, 2]$. To see that there is *at most* one, we note that $f'(x) = 2(x - 2) - 1/x$ and $f''(x) = 2 + 1/x^2$. The latter shows that on $[1, 2]$, $f''(x)$ is always of the same sign, so $f'(x)$ increases on $[1, 2]$ reaching its maximum value (on that interval) at $f'(2) = -1/2$. Thus, $f(x)$ decreases on $[1, 2]$ and can have at most one zero there.
- (b) As we know the root lies between 1 and 2, 6 decimal digit accuracy would be accurate to within 0.000005.

```
octave:382> function y = f(x)
>   y = (x - 2).^2 - log(x);
> endfunction
```

```
octave:399> bisect(@f, 1, 2, 0.000005, 1000)
```

iter	a	b	c	f(c)	b-a /2
0	1.0000	2.0000	1.500000	-0.155465	0.500000
1	1.0000	1.5000	1.250000	0.339356	0.250000
12	1.4124	1.4126	1.412476	-0.000159	0.000122
13	1.4124	1.4125	1.412415	-0.000044	0.000061
14	1.4124	1.4124	1.412384	0.000013	0.000031
15	1.4124	1.4124	1.412399	-0.000015	0.000015
16	1.4124	1.4124	1.412392	-0.000001	0.000008

(c) According to the formula on p. 51, we must solve

$$\frac{2-1}{2^{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{10^4} \quad \Rightarrow \quad n \geq 13.$$