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FIRST SESSION, WEDNESDAY MORNING, JUNE 11

ARTICLE 1

Wednesday morning at ten o'clock, the delegates gathered in the auditorium of Calvin College, after they had on Tuesday evening united in an Hour of Prayer, in the church building of the calling church Neland Ave., at which time the President of the previous synod, Rev. H. Keegstra, delivered a sermon on Joshua 5:13-15, "De Meest Belangrijke Eischen voor Degenen door God Be-noemd om Zijn Volk te Leiden" and Prof. L. Berkhof led in prayer. "The Most Important Requirements for Those Appointed by God to Lead His People".

ARTICLE 2

The President of the previous Synod, Rev. H. Keegstra opens the meeting. He announces Psalm 89:7, reads Psalms 122 and 123, and leads in prayer. Then he delivers the following address:

DEAR BROTHERS IN CHRIST:

"It is my honor and privilege to welcome you this morning to this well-furnished building in such beautiful surroundings.

It must, it seems to me, arouse joy and inspiration in your hearts, to gather in such a beautiful place as this. Only a few years ago
It looked more like a barren, uninhabitable desert than anything else. Human genius and sense of order have recreated it into one of the most beautiful parts of this city.

But we Christians see in this wonderful change more than the art and cleverness of people. More also than the praiseworthy generosity of our members and families, who have been endowed with material blessings and liberal hearts. In this we honor the gracious providence of our God.

Indeed not only does the Almighty reveal His grace in the hearts of His children, who flourish in quiet modesty and honor Him in the friendly light of His presence. Also in the progress of the institutions of God's Church we see His fatherly goodness. Calvin College and Theological School, as we see them before us, move us to gratitude and gives us courage and inspires us toward that rather difficult task which awaits us.

No, we may not content ourselves with that which is outward, even though we are attracted by it. We do not want to be satisfied with that which is outwardly beautiful and good. What would be worldly. We seek that which is spiritually true and beautiful and good above all else. And we must seek that in the good order and prosperity of our churches, according to the will of God.

Brethren, there is very much work before us. Many questions call for resolution. What has been heard and read about this, shows very clearly that there is not yet agreement among us on these matters. We must be freed from confusion. For to make decisions in uncertainty and formulate plans and institute rules, would be reckless is not indeed fatal.

There is then a great need, that we, laying aside all personal interests and seeking personal honor and forcing one's own interpretation, shall ask in all sincerity for the knowledge of the will of our God. We require carefulness, a clear insight into the essence of matters which will be presented to us, knowledge of the Word of the Lord, in which the principles of church order and church government have been placed by our Lawgiver and the constant will to be governed by those principles. And then, naturally, it is necessary that we respect each other when
there is difference of opinions, and that we attentively weigh what other brethren of differing opinions will present.

But above all there is the necessity of success in our labors, that we consciously deal and labor as in the presence of God. The well-known 'coram Deo' of Calvin should be not only our watch-word, but the compelling truth which will make us upright, and speak and vote in all sincerity and also our strength in the hope of success, where our own wisdom scarcely knows the way.

'The eye of the Lord is upon those who fear Him.' Fortunately, that we know with certainty, because God's own Word tells us. In this lies our assurance, since there is laid such a heavy burden upon our shoulders, and such a great responsibility rests upon us. let us begin our task trusting and continue in faithfulness. To be permitted be be active in God's work is surely glorious. To give our best efforts for this, that is right. May the Lord strengthen us by His grace for our task to the glory of His Name and for the profit of our churches.

ARTICLE 3

The delegates present their credentials from which it appears that the following brethren are delegated to Synod and are present:

(Note: In the following lists, "Dienaren des Woords" = Ministers of the Word; "Ouderlingen" = Elders.)

Classis California

Classis Grand Rapids Oost
Dienaren des Woords—W. P. Van Wyk, H. J. Kuiper, J. L. Heeres.

Classis Grand Rapids West
Dienaren des Woords—Dr. Y. P. De Jong, H. Baker, J. De Haan, Jr.
Ouderlingen—J. Hekman, J. Manni, H. Denkema.
Classis Hackensack
Dienaren des Woords—J. Beebe, Dr. G. Goris.
Ouderlingen—A. De Vries, J. Rienstra, M. Kalle.
Ds. J. J. Hiemenga is afwezig, maar wordt heden middag verwacht. (Art. 12.)

Classis Holland
Dienaren des Woords—L. Veltkamp, L. Van Laar, D. Zwier.
Ouderlingen—J. Mass, A. Peters, Dr. G. Heyns.

Classis Hudson
Dienaren des Woords—C. Spoelhof, J. L. Koert, J. Holwerda.

Classis Illinois
Dienaren des Woords—Dr. H. Kuiper, G. Hoeksema, C. De Leeuw.
Ouderlingen—A. De Boer, J. Hofstra, Sr., J. G. De Vries.

Classis Muskegon

Classis Orange City
Ouderlingen—B. Den Ouden, W. Geurink, J. De Kok.

Classis Ostfriesland
Dienaren des Woords—A. Koning, E. Boeve, K. Tebben.
Ouderlingen—B. Eckhoff, F. Santjer, J. Davis.

Classis Pacific
Dienaren des Woords—A. Guikema, M. Borduin, P. Hoekstra.
ARTICLE 4

Synod proceeded to vote for Officers. The outcome is as follows:

President - Rev. W. P. Van Wyk
Vice-President - Rev. W. Groen
First Clerk - Rev. D. Zwier
Second Clerk - Rev. G. W. Hylkema

The Officers take their place on the platform and the President give a brief address, in which he thanks the Synod for the trust placed in him and asks for the cooperation and prayers of the delegates.

ARTICLE 5

The President reads the Public Declaration to which all the delegates signify their assent by standing.
ARTICLE 6

Members of Synod for preadvice are seated: the professors of our Theological School: Prof. L. Berkhof, Dr. S. Volbeda, Dr. C. Bouma, Dr. M.J. Wyngaarden, Prof. H. Schultze, Prof. D.H. Kromminga; the Emeritus Professors, F.M. Ten Hoor; W. Heyns; and the President of Calvin College, J. Broene.

ARTICLE 7

Dr. V. Hepp of the Free University in Amsterdam, delegate from the Gereformeerde Kerken in the Netherlands, and Rev. J.G.Vos, delegate of the Reformed Presbyterian Church of North America, are welcomed by the Chairman. (Cf. further Art. 14 and Art. 27.)

ARTICLE 8

The Chairman appoints the following Committees:
2. Committee for Reception of Delegates- Rev. H. Baker and Dr. Y.P. De Jong.

ARTICLE 9

Synod decides to hold its sessions as follows: Morning sessions from 8:30 till 11:45 with a recess from 9:45 till 10:00; afternoon sessions from 1:30 till 3:45, with a recess from 3:15 till 3:30.

ARTICLE 10

After it is decided to conclude the afternoon session at three o'clock so as to give the Committee on Appointments opportunity to its work, the session is concluded with thanksgiving by Rev. W. Groen.
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ARTIKEL 11
Gezongen wordt Ps. 119:17, waarna Ds. D. Zwier deze zitting opent met gebed.

ARTIKEL 12
Ds. J. J. Hiemenga, afgevaardigde van Classis Hackensack, is tegenwoordig en op verzoek van den Præses betuigd zijn instemming met de "Openlijke Verklaring."

ARTIKEL 13
Het rapport van de Synodale Commissie wordt gelezen door den Stated Clerk, Dr. H. Beets, en voor kennisgeving aangenomen. (Supplement I.A.) (Zie verder Art. 93, IX.)

ARTIKEL 14
The Rev. J. G. Vos, fraternal delegate of the Synod of the Reformed Presbyterian Church of North America, now addresses Synod, bringing the greetings of his Church to our Church. The Rev. Vos is a son of Dr. G. Vos of Princeton Seminary, from 1888 to 1893 a Professor of our Theological School. At the request of the Committee for Reception of Delegates, the Rev. G. Hooekema responds to this address.

Mr. D. Melkema, of the League of Evangelical Students, now delivers a brief address, in which he gives some information in regard to the origin and purpose of this organization, and bespeaks the moral and financial support of our churches. (Cf. Art. 93, IX, B.)

ARTIKEL 15
The Committee for Appointment of Committees for Pre-advise proposes the following division of the work before Synod:

A. Theological School and Calvin College:—
Ministers: Dr. Y. P. De Jong (Chairman), L. J. Lambertis (Reporter), Dr. H. Kuiper, J. Gritter, C. Spoolhof, J. Hoekema.
Advisers: Prof. H. Schultze and President J. Braene.

*Rev. J. J. Hiemenga, delegate of Classis Hackensack, is present and upon invitation of the Chairman, expresses his agreement with the "Public Declaration."
B. Mission Matters:
Advisers: Prof. M. J. Wynaarden and Dr. H. Beets.

C. Publication Matters:
Elders: H. Denkema (Chairman), Dr. G. Heyns (Reporter), J. Bijenstra, J. J. Bolt, W. Geurink, J. Davis.
Adviser: Prof. D. H. Kromminga.

D. Church Order and Emeriti:
Adviser: Prof. W. Heyns.

E. Order of Worship:
Ministers: E. J. Kroone (Chairman), G. W. Hylkema (Reporter), G. Hoeksema, J. De Haan, Jr., K. Tebben.
Adviser: Dr. S. Volbeda.

F. Question of Hymn and Choir Singing:
Ministers: L. Velkamp (Chairman), W. Groen (Reporter), H. J. Kuiper, M. Boudin, R. J. Frens.
Adviser: Dr. C. Bouma.

G. Varia:
Ministers: A. B. Voss (Chairman), J. J. Hiemenga (Reporter), H. E. Oostendorp, P. Hoekstra, E. Boeve, G. Zylstra.
Adviser: Prof. F. M. Ten Hoor.
H. Protests and Appeals:—
Adviser: Prof. L. Berkhof.
The report of the Committee is adopted.

ARTIKEL 16

The Committee on Rules of Synodical Procedure, appointed by the Synod of 1928, whose report appears in the Agenda, Part I, p. 149, submits the following supplementary recommendation:

“That Synod shall at this session provisionally adopt the rules proposed by the Committee for the purpose of ascertaining experimentally the desirability and practicability of these rules; and

“That in case Synod provisionally adopts these rules, a standing committee shall be appointed whose duty shall be to observe the manner in which the rules work out during the course of Synod and to advise Synod at its close regarding the final adoption of the proposed rules.

Reason for this supplementary recommendation:

“Whereas the proposed rules of synodical procedure are to be judged chiefly from the point of view of their practical value, the only way in which this value can be determined is by putting these rules into practice.”

After some discussion of this recommendation, it is rejected.

ARTIKEL 17

Synod decides to adjourn until Friday, 8:30 A.M., to give the Committees of Preadvice time to prepare their reports. This session is closed with prayer by the Rev. G. W. Hylkema.
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ARTIKEL 18
After the singing of No. 115 of the Christian Hymnal, the Rev. H. J. Knipper opens with prayer.

ARTIKEL 19
Roll call is held. All delegates are present.

ARTIKEL 20
The Acts of the first and the second session of Synod are read by the clerk, and approved by Synod.

ARTIKEL 21
The Committee on Preadvice on matters pertaining to Church Order requests Synod to assign the following matters to some other Committee or Committees: Report VI (Agenda, Part I, p. 69), inzake Uitbouw onzer Belijdenis; Report X (Agenda, Part I, pp. 111), inzake den Doop van Aangenomen Kinderen; Report XVI (Agenda, Part II, p. 216), on the American Federation of Labor; and Report XVII (Agenda, Part II, p. 231), on Christian Social and Industrial Organizations. Reasons:

1. The Committee on Church Order matters has altogether too much work;

2. The matters discussed in Report VI and Report X are not, strictly speaking, matters of church government, but of doctrine;

3. Other Committees have finished or will soon be able to finish the work assigned to them.

It is decided to refer this matter for readjustment to the Committee for Appointment of Committees of Preadvice. (Cf. Art. 29.)

* in re Expansion of our Confession
** in re the Baptism of Adopted Children

Synod now takes up the Report of the Committee of Preadvice on Publication Matters, which is read by the reporter, Dr. G. Heyns.
"ESTEEMED Brethren:-

"With regard to the 'Report of the Publication Committee,' which has been in your hands since the Wednesday afternoon session, your Committee advises Synod to accept this Report for information, and to express its appreciation of the labors of that Committee."

Adopted. (Cf. Supplement VIII.)

"Concerning the matters in this report which demand Synodical attention, your Committee advises the following:

"(1) With regard to point 6,e: 'As at the previous Synod ' (Acts 1928, p.39) the Publication Committee was of the opinion that also this time it ought to mandate one of its members to represent it. For this it appointed Mr. J.B. Hulst,' your Committee proposed its acceptance for information, in order that brother Hulst may serve Synod with advice in the further treatment of this report."

Adopted.

"(2) With regard to point 7,a: 'Members of the Publication Committee retiring at this Synod are: Hoekstra, Hulst, Hoffius, and Baker. (To serve Synod with a nomination, the Synod of 1922, Acts p.43, mandated the Committee of Preadvice for Publication matters),' your Committee advises its acceptance for information, and further suggests that the following brethren be appointed as members of the Publication Committee: Rev. H. Baker, Mr. M. Hoffius, Mr. J.B. Hulst, Rev. J.J. Steigenga."

Adopted.

"(3) With regard to 6,b: 'The Publication Committee strongly advises Synod to limit the financial reports of Treasurers of church funds, printed in the church papers, to one annual report, in which only the total receipts of contributions are reported. Reasons: The limited space available to our papers, as against the flood of articles regularly received,'
your Committee advises the adoption of this proposal, and adds as another ground: These financial reports are not of general interest for the Church.'"

Adopted.

"(4) With regard to 6,c: 'The Publication Committee requests Synod to change in the last clause of Art.4,c, of the new Regulations, in the Holland redaction 'advice' (advies) to 'decision' (be­ slissing), and in the English redaction 'advise the Editor-in­ Chief' to 'notify the Editor-in-Chief of its decision.' so as to bring the last part of this Article in harmony with the first, in a manner that excludes misunderstanding.' your Committee advises the acceptance of this proposal." Adopted.

"(5) With regard to 6,d: 'The Publication Committee received from the previous Synod the mandate to publish sections of the Report on Worldly Amusements in De Wachter and The Banner, and also to arrange for an edition in pamphlet form for distribution at cost price (Acts 1928,p.89). This mandate has not been carried out by it because it appeared that there was no interest in the implementation of this decision. To an announcement in The Banner that Consistories who desired a copy should contact the Secretary of the Publication Committee, not a single request came in. So the Committee when it met April 2, 1930, not having heard from a single Consistory, and only one person asked to receive a copy, came to the decision not to carry out the mandate,' your Committee advises Synod to accept this as information." So decided.

"In connection with this point, we have an overture from Classis Grand Rapids West as follows: 'The Classis requests your honorable body to provide ways and means whereby the decision of Synod of 1928 as per Acts, Art.
96,D, may be carried out at an early date. It becomes evident, in view of the experience of the Publication Committee above described, that such action will be of no avail unless Synod does more than provided for by Synod of 1928, Acts, Art. 96,D. Your Committee therefore recommends that this Report be published and distributed through the Consistories free, at cost of Synod."

This recommendation is Rejected.

"(6) With regard to 6,f: 'As nominees for Editors-in-Chief, with which the Publication Committee must serve Synod (Acts 1926, p.26; Regulations,Art.5) it presents:

for De Wachter: Revs. H. Keegstra and D. Zwier;
for The Banner: Revs. F.J. Kuiper and Dr. C.Bouma

your Committee calls the attention of Synod to this matter."

Synod decides to proceed to elect Editors-in-Chief for De Wachter and The Banner. The result of the election is that the present Editors, the Rev. H. Keegstra of De Wachter, and the Rev. H.J. Kuiper of The Banner, are reelected.

"(7) With regard to 6,g: 'The mandate of the previous Synod to provide for a translation of the new Reglement (Acts 1928, p.42) into English has been carried out, and the draft prepared for this is now presented to Synod for its approval.' your Committee advises the approval of this translation, with three minor changes; one for the sake of clarity, and the others for the sake of correctness."

Synod Approves these changes, and Adopts the translation in its corrected form, as it appears in the "Report of the Publication Committee." (Cf. Supplement VIII)

"(8) With regard to 6,h, your Committee advises the acceptance of these matters for information, and informs the Synod that the Committee has compared the figures given in the Report of the Publication Committee with those given in the report of the Public Accountant, and has
found that they agree. For your information we add the following figures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance, January 1, 1928</td>
<td>$9,798.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received during 1928</td>
<td>512,834.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$58,611.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paid Out in 1928:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenses as shown in Report</td>
<td>$40,627.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Depreciation</td>
<td>4,275.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Given Calvin College</td>
<td>83,331.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Paid Out</td>
<td>$111,294.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Balance on hand January 1, 1929       $9,432.00

Received during 1929, as per Report   $48,032.23

Receivables: 113,424.13

Paid Out in 1929:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenses as per Report</td>
<td>$43,715.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Depreciation</td>
<td>2,589.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$41,126.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paid Out for Machines and Alterations in
Building                                  $17,892.88

Total Paid Out                            $59,020.56

Balance on hand January 1, 1930          $2,412.47

Received as information.

(9) Overture of Classis Hockensack in re publication
    date of The Banner and De Wachter: "Synod enjoin the
    Publication Committee to publish The Banner on Wednesday
    and De Wachter on Friday, in order that The Banner
    may reach the wider scope of readers by the Sunday
    following its publication. Reasons:

    (1) At present The Banner reaches its destination after
        Sunday in most of the homes outside of Michigan
        and Illinois;

    (2) Our young people should be supplied with good
        reading matter on Sunday;
(3) *The Banner*, and not *De Wachter*, is read by our young people;

(1) If *The Banner* arrives after Sunday many of our young people have no time to read it until its contents have become 'old news'.

Your Committee advises against the proposed change.

Reasons:

a) *If The Banner* were to appear on Wednesday it could not contain the latest church news, as should be the case in the publication which is most read. On other material, e.g., Editorials, etc., the proposed change would have little bearing. In case of such change, however, those reading *The Banner* on Sunday would find the news about a week old;

b) *If The Banner* appeared on Wednesday, such change might appease the East, but would be likely to cause displeasure to our readers of *De Wachter* in the West where there are many such, since they would be receiving *De Wachter* after Sunday.

The advice of the Committee is adopted, but Synod requests the Publication Committee to make arrangements if possible to have *The Banner* delivered to the Grand Rapids Post Office on Thursdays before 9 a.m.

(10) Overture of Classis Holland regarding the Yearbook: "Classis overtures Synod to instruct its Publication Committee to undertake the annual publication, either directly or indirectly through the present editors, of the Yearbook of the Christian Reformed Church. Grounds:

(1) This is in line with the custom of many denominations in our country. The official statistics of the Church are as a rule published by denominational Publication Boards, and not by individuals;

(2) This will give this annual publication official standing. At present our *Yearbook* has at most but semi-official standing, yet it is considered by many, especially outside of our circles, as authoritative."

With regard to this overture, your Committee advises that Synod instruct the Publication Committee to take di-
rect care of the editing and publication of the *Yearbook*,
after having come to an agreement with the present editors.

**Reasons:**

(1) This will give this annual publication official standing;
(2) The Publication Committee is in position to undertake this work;
(3) This would be promotive of greater efficiency, since the Publication Committee possesses the machinery to gather the data needed, and is already in possession of much information not possessed by private individuals.

*Adopted.*

(11) Overture of *Classis Pella* with regard to inexpensive editions of *Psalter* and *Hymnals*: "De Synode drage er zorg voor dat er goedkoope uitgaven van onze "Psalter" gepubliceerd worden, alsook van eenig ander zangboek dat de Synode mocht approberen, dat gebruikt zou kunnen worden buiten den openbaren ceredienst."

With regard to this overture, your Committee advises Synod that it appoint a Committee to investigate the possibility of the proposed action and to report to next Synod.

**Reason:** This matter involves questions of copyright and printing costs which your Committee cannot now properly investigate.

*Adopted.* (Cf. Art. 128, Appointments, sub 10.)

**ARTIKEL 23**

Synod now takes up the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice on *Theological School and Calvin College*, which is read by the reporter, Rev. L. J. Lamberts:

"*ESTEEMED BRETHREN:—*

A. Your Committee appointed to give advice with regard to the Theological School and Calvin College and relative matters, has the following recommendations to make respecting the report of the *Curatorium* (Supplement II):

(I) Synod receive the first part of the report—all the material to Section K—as matter of information.

*Adopted.* *(Synod look carefully into the possibility of having editions of the Psalter published at reasonable cost, and also any other song book that Synod might approve, that could be used outside of the public worship service.)*
(2) Synod express its joy at the growth of our institution during the past decade; a matter mentioned in the introduction of the report.
Adopted.

(3) Synod give Mr. Tony Noordewier a vote of thanks for all the work he has done without remuneration as treasurer of our institution, and instruct Curatorium to give him some tangible recognition of appreciation.
Adopted.

(4) Synod approve the two matters mentioned in Section B:
   a) The merger of the Committee on Buildings and Grounds with the Board of Finance.
   Adopted.

   b) The changing of the Supervisory Committee's name so that it will be designated as the "Executive Committee."
   Adopted.

(5) Synod act according to the recommendation of Curatorium and re-appoint Prof. Kromminga for a term of six years.
Adopted. (Cf. Art. 38.)

(6) In connection with what was done to bring about the admission of Calvin College to the North Central Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools, Synod pass a vote of thanks to all who took part in this matter, especially to President Broene.
Adopted.

(7) Synod express its appreciation to the Hekman family for its royal gift.
Adopted.

(8) Synod instruct Curatorium Contractum to dedicate in a formal manner the new seminary to the high purpose of serving as the place where future ministers of the Christian Reformed Church are to be trained for their office.
(The Committee could not see its way clear to recommend what Curatorium suggested in view of the heavy expense involved.)

Adopted.

(9) Synod express its appreciation to Mr. and Mrs. W. B. Eerdman for the organ that is to be installed in the chapel this summer.

Adopted.

(10) Synod accept as information the matter mentioned in Section 26, paragraph 1, regarding the liquidation of the mortgage, but with this additional statement: "In order to do this, the Finance Board found it necessary to borrow the sum of $15,000 on a note."

Adopted.

(11) Synod accept the explanation of the Board given under G. 1, as to the reason why the instruction regarding an early report was not carried out by Curatorium.

Adopted.

(12) Synod adopt the following Rules for the Appointment of Professors in Theology, as given under Section K:

(1) When a theological professor is to be appointed, Curatorium shall present a nomination to Synod. The nominees shall preferably be ordained men that have had some experience in the ministry of the Word. The nomination shall not be made until a conference has been held with the Theological Faculty. It must be made in time so that it may appear in our church papers at least twice before Synod meets. To this end the Executive Committee of the Curatorium shall prepare a proposal in time for the meeting of the full Curatorium in May. When Curatorium convenes, its first duty shall be the making of the nomination and its publication. In order to lengthen the time for possible objections to reach Synod, the election shall take place at Synod as late as possible, and certainly not before the twentieth of June.
(2) As in the past, a professor will be appointed for a term of two years; in case of reappointment, this will be for a term of six years; if again reappointed at the completion of his second term, he will be appointed indefinitely.

(3) These rules, if adopted, are to supersede all former rules.

Adopted.

(13) Synod rectify the error made in 1928 with respect to the minimum salaries of Assistants, so that this will not be $1600 but $1800.

Adopted.

(14) Synod state that a minister can accept the presidency of Calvin College without losing his ministerial standing. *Grounds:

a) The College belongs to the Church;
b) Its headship is a position of the very greatest significance to our entire denomination; and
c) The position is one in which the incumbent can exercise a far-reaching spiritual influence.*


(15) Synod grant the request of Curatorium with respect to the changing of the name of our institution to Calvin College and Seminary. *Grounds:

a) This simple designation will remove the false impression that the Theological School and Calvin College are two separate institutions;
b) It is true that the Synod of 1926 objected to such a change of the name (see Acta 1926, p. 37) especially on the score that “our Theological School would (in the proposed change of name) lose the place to which it is entitled and which lives in the minds of our people,” but the change of the official title does not involve that the Seminary department of our institution will cease to be our Theological School.

*“In re the Status of Ministers in Non-Ecclesiastical Institutions of Learning.”
Synod authorize Curatorium to change the Articles of Incorporation in harmony with this change of name.
Adopted. (Continued in Art. 31.)

ARTIKEL 24
This session is closed with prayer by the Rev. B. H. Eenink.
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ARTIKEL 25
No. 146 of the Christian Hymnal is sung. The Rev. J. Manni opens with prayer.

ARTIKEL 26
The Rev. H. Baker of the Committee for Reception of Delegates from Other Churches and Organizations introduces to Synod the Rev. M. Wayne Womer, Secretary of the Michigan Lord's Day Alliance, who in a short and forcible address presents the cause of the organization which he represents.

Next he introduces Dr. David G. Wylie, President of the Lord's Day Alliance of the United States, who also in an earnest and able way pleads for the support of the Alliance in its efforts to safeguard the Sabbath, and requests that a member from our churches be appointed to serve as a member of the Board of the Alliance.

A resolution presented to Synod with respect to the participation of our churches in the work of the Alliance is laid in the hands of the Committee of Preadvice on Varia. (Cf. Art. 45, 9.)

ARTIKEL 27
* Wordt ter tafel gelegd een schrijven van de Gereformeerde Kerken in Nederland, waarin Dr. V. Hepp wordt aangewezen als Deputaat van de Synode dier Kerken naar onze Synode.
Dr. Y. P. De Jong leidt Dr. V. Hepp bij de Synode in.
* A letter from the Gereformeerde Kerken in Nederland is presented, in which Dr. V. Hepp is designated as the Deputy from the Synod of those churches. Dr. Y.P. De Jong introduces Dr. V. Hepp to Synod.
after which Dr. Hepp gives an address, which is printed in these Acts. (Supplement XV)

Dr. H. Kuiper responds to the esteemed delegate in a hearty manner.

ARTIKEL 28

The Rev. H. Baker now introduces Rev. J. L. McLaughlin, Secretary of the American Bible Society, who brings the greetings of the organization he represents and bespeaks the continued support of its work by our churches. The Rev. J. Beebe responds to this address.

A resolution with respect to the participation of our churches in the work of the American Bible Society is laid in the hands of the Committee of Preadvice on Varia. (Cf. Art. 43, 7.)

ARTIKEL 29

The Committee for Appointment of Committees of Preadvice proposes the following changes of its previously rendered report (Cf. Artt. 15 and 21):

a) That Report VI in re Uitbouw der Belijdenis be given into the hands of the Committee of Preadvice on Theological School and Calvin College.

b) That Report X in re Doop van Aangenomen Kinderen be given into the hands of the Committee of Preadvice on Varia.

c) That Reports XVI in re American Federation of Labor, and XVII in re Christian Social and Industrial Organizations be given into the hands of the Committee of Preadvice on Publication Matters.

So decided.

ARTIKEL 30

The following brethren are designated to serve Synod as a Committee for Appointments: The Revs. J. Manni, Dr. Y. P. De Jong, W. Groen, L. Veltkamp, Dr. G. Goris, G. Hoeksema, and Elders W. Bareman, J. Hofstra, Sr., P. Nieveen, Chas. Vander Kooi, and J. J. Holt. (Cf. Art. 128.)
ARTIKEL 31

Synod continues the discussion of the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice on Theological School and Calvin College (Cf. Art. 23):

(16) In connection with the Overture of Classis Grand Rapids West in re an Extra Post-Graduate Course at our Seminary, found in the Report of the Curatorium under K. 5, your Committee advises Synod to express itself as being in favor of introducing a post-graduate course in the Seminary, leading to a Th.M. degree; and to appoint a Committee of six (in which there will be two members of the Seminary Faculty and two members of the Curatorium), this Committee to report to Curatorium, with the understanding that this body after thorough investigation of the report, shall have power to act.

Adopted.

B. In connection with the Overture of Classis Ostfriesland in which attention is called "to Art. 40, Acta Synodi 1920, p. 62, ff. in re agreement with Grandy Center especially that part where Synod promises to maintain the two years' Seminary department, which since 1922 has been discontinued," and in which the wish is expressed "that Synod re-open the case," your Committee advises Synod not to accede to this request, but to abide by the decisions of 1922 (cf. Acta 1922, p. 24, Art. 20, paragraph 2), since the conditions on which that decision was based have not changed.

Adopted.

C. With regard to the request of Classis Grand Rapids East that Synod cancel the debt of Chatham to the Theological School, amounting to $156.21, your Committee advises Synod not to do this, but to urge Classis Grand Rapids East to take care of Chatham's debt. Grounds:

(1) The Synods of 1900, 1902, and 1926 have declared that each Classis is responsible for the payment of the assessment for the Theological School (see Acta 1926, p. 73);
(2) The Synod of 1926 (Acta, p. 76) has declared that
with respect to the actual debt, the Classis should as-
sist the weak churches in the payment thereof;

(3) Although the organization of the Chatham church
was in a sense a fruit of mission activity sponsored
by Synod, yet it was Classis Grand Rapids East
which organized the Chatham church, and since the
date of its organization, the church of Chatham be-
longs to Classis Grand Rapids East just as well as
any other church within its domain.

Adopted.

D. In addition to the report of the “Committee in re the
Chicago Mission Training School” (cf. Agenda, Part I,
p. 27. Report III) and the overture of Classis Illinois, which
asks Synod to make provision for the training of mission
helpers at our Theological School and Calvin College (cf.
Agendum II, p. vi), your Committee received the following
overture from Classis Grand Rapids East:

“The Classis Grand Rapids East overtures Synod to take
the necessary steps to organize a Day School for the train-
ing of mission workers. This school to be located in Grand
Rapids. We believe such a school to be an urgent need,
and that the time to organize such a school has come.

Grounds:

a) The work in Chicago, Grand Rapids, and other cities
has shown that the time is ripe for us to do mission
work in our larger cities. Many people know nothing
about the true religion;

b) This work, if it is to be successful with the blessing
of the Lord, must be done along strict Reformed lines. Only by continued teaching can these people
come to the truth. To do this our workers must them-
selves be well prepared for the work;

c) A school which has sessions only a few evenings a
week will not meet the need. The course given must
of necessity be very limited. Often the student cannot
come because of working conditions. The time avail-
able for him after work is too little to do justice to the
school work;
d) Such a school should belong to the Church, and its graduates available throughout the Church;

c) Students trained here would be able to carry on not only city mission work, but often could do good work on our Indian field, and possibly on foreign fields;

f) Finally, at present some of our young folk take their training at various Bible Institutes, and because of their training often cannot be used by our churches. Thus we are losing some of the talent which we have in our own circles.*

Your Committee advises Synod to appoint a Committee to make a thorough study of the advisability and feasibility of establishing a Mission Training and Bible School for our churches, either apart from or in connection with our Theological School and Calvin College. 

*Ground: We are here face to face with a pressing and intricate problem which merits thorough study.

We would suggest to Synod that the Faculties of our School and the Curatorium be represented on this Committee.

Adopted, with this amendment, that on this Committee shall serve also men in close touch with the actual mission work.

E. Your Committee having taken note of the fact that the Committee in re "Het Gereformeerd Beginsel van Vrije Studie" has not been able to carry out its mandate (cf. Agenda II, Report XV, p. 215), advises Synod to continue the same Committee. (Cf. Art. 128.)

Adopted, (Continued in Art. 126.)

ARTIKEL 32

The offer of the Grand Rapids churches to give the members of Synod an outing on Thursday afternoon, June 16, is thankfully accepted. (But see Art. 34.)

ARTIKEL 33

Synod decides to adjourn until Monday afternoon, June 16, at 1:30 o'clock. Elder H. H. Bosch closes this session with prayer.

* "The Reformed Principle of Free Study"
Rev. Abel J. Brink

1865-1930
ARTICLE 34

Deeply schocked by the sudden death of Rev. A. J. Brink, who on Sunday afternoon, at approximately two o'clock, lost his life in an automobile accident, the delegates gathered at the regular time in the auditorium of Calvin College and sang Psalm 103:8 "Gelijk het gras is ons kortstondig leven," after which Dr. Y. P. De Jong led in prayer.

After some discussion as to what should be done in these tragic circumstances, Synod decides to proceed with its business but instead of enjoying some recreation on Thursday afternoon, as was decided (Cf. Art. 32) it will have a memorial service for the departed brother who so unexpectedly was taken out of the militant Church on earth, and translated to the triumphant Church in heaven. (See further Art. 63)

ARTICLE 35

The roll is called. All delegates are present, except Rev. C. De Leeuw and Rev. K. Tebben, both of whom are lawfully absent because of congregational duties, but who are expected to be present again tomorrow.

ARTICLE 36

The Minutes of the third and fourth sessions are read and accepted and approved unchanged.

ARTICLE 37

Synod takes in hand the Report of the Committee of preadvice on Mission matters, which is read by the reporter, Rev. H. Baker:

"ESTEEMED FATHERS AND BRETHREN;

The following material was submitted to your Committee for its consideration:

I. The Report of the Director of Missions on behalf of the Christian Reformed Board of Missions (Supplement IV)"
III. The Report of 'Kerkhulp' (Supplement VII).
IV. The Report of the Nathanael Institute (Supplement V).
V. The Report of the Paterson Hebrew Mission (Supplement V).
VI. The Report of the Hoboken Seamen's Home (Supplement X).
VII. The Report of the Treasurer of the Jewish Mission, General Fund (Supplement V).
VIII. The Report of the Committee on Rules and Regulations for Home Missions.
IX. The Report of the Committee on Status of Missionaries.
X. The Report on 'Stamgenooten in Zuid-Amerika' (Supplement IX).
XI. The several overtures (see Agenda, Part II, pp. vii-xvi).

Received as information.

I. The Report of the Director of Missions on behalf of the Christian Reformed Board of Missions.
Your Committee has the honor to report:

We would ask Synod to request Dr. H. Beets to read this report.

We recommend that the report be received for information and printed in the Acts of Synod.

So decided.

We would ask Synod to grant Rev. L. P. Brink and Dr. R. H. Pouwena the privilege of the floor when the Expansion Program is discussed.

So decided.

A. Your Committee advises that Synod approve the election of the following brethren as members of the Christian Reformed Board of Missions:
Classis Hudson—Rev. W. Kuipers; Alternate—Rev. R. Bolt.
Classis Orange City—Rev. J. Peauw; Alternate—Rev. G. Andree.
Classis Sioux Center—Rev. J. C. De Bruyn; Alternate—Rev. J. Ruijtingh.

Adopted. Synod instructs its Secretary to cast the ballot for these brethren.

B. Relative to the election of Delegates-at-large, and in connection with this the Overture of Classis Holland, found in the Agenda, Part II, p. xvi, your Committee will bring this matter before Synod at a later session. (Cf. Art. 94, J.)

C. Your Committee advises Synod to adopt the proposal made by the Board of Missions: “To leave it to the discretion of our Board (owing to the uncertainty of the situa-
tion in China) to have a third mission post opened on the China field at the opportune time.” *Grounds:*

(1) Sound strategy of missions requires at present to have the choice of two posts;

(2) The China force unanimously requests this.

*Adopted.*

D. Your Committee advises Synod to give Fort Wingate on our Indian field the status of a post. *Grounds:*

(1) The Charles H. Burke Indian School affords an excellent opportunity for mission work;

(2) The place is of strategic importance.

*Adopted.*

E. We advise Synod, as requested by the Board of Missions, to leave the matter of a pension for the family of the late campworker, W. Microp, to the Executive Committee of our Board, to determine the amount, in consultation with the Rehoboth consistory. (Cf. Art. 25, Acta 1920, pp. 22 f.f.)

*Adopted.*

F. *Expansion Program.*

(1) In re the Expansion Program submitted by the Board as per mandate of Synod 1928 (Acta 1928, p. 44), your Committee presents the following in explanation of its advice to adopt Art. 1, second part, “a new and adequate hospital at Rehoboth”:

a) The present building can accommodate 40 patients, but is too small for efficiency. It has no facilities for patients who have contagious diseases and hence endanger all other patients. The operating-room and nursery are too small and inadequate for efficient service;

b) The proposed hospital will provide accommodations for 85 patients and will be built with a view to rendering service to our whole Indian field. This can be done best by erecting one hospital building and opening clinics in different sections of the field to care for patients who do not need immediate hospital treatment. At present we have two clinics which are taken care of by our Medical Missionary;
c) The proposed hospital can be built at an estimated cost of $95,000. To this sum must be added some $15,000 for heating and other equipment;

d) The maintenance cost of our present institution amounts to approximately $40,000, and that of the proposed hospital is estimated at approximately $20,000 annually. Of this amount we may expect to receive from $0,000 to $8,000 annually from the Government for the care of patients who have become government charges. Although we have no guarantee that the Government will continue this policy, we are reasonably sure that, if an adequate hospital is erected at Rehoboth, it will continue to send its charges to our institution;

e) The present hospital building, at no great expense, can be altered to provide a school-room for the white children, a sleeping-room for employees, post office, guest-rooms, and a school-room for the contemplated Training School for Missionaries.

Received as information.

(2) Your Committee, in view of the following considerations:

c) That Medical Mission Work has been successfully carried on in our Indian field for a number of years, and has proven itself to be the entering wedge in overthrowing the Indian religion;

b) The present building is unsafe, unsatisfactory, and inadequate for Medical Work;

c) The present building can be utilized for other absolutely necessary purposes;

d) The Church will be safeguarded against a large debt by the stipulation that the total estimated cost must be raised in cash and pledges before work is begun;

recommends that Synod sustain the Board in its proposal to erect a new hospital at Rehoboth.

Adopted.

(3) In regard to a Central Heating Plant at Rehoboth, your Committee will lay its advice before Synod at a later session. (Cf. Art. 43.)
(4) A new home for either the Pastor or Doctor at Rehoboth.
   
   (a) Your Committee advises Synod to authorize the Board of Missions to erect a new home for the doctor.  
      
      Ground:  
      The present residence does not provide the room and comfort his family requires.  
      
      Adopted.

   (b) We further recommend that the new home for the doctor be included in the hospital building project, with the same stipulations, viz., “the financial obligations involved shall be covered by cash and pledges.”  
      
      Adopted.

   (c) Your Committee also advises Synod to authorize the Board to erect a home for the pastor at Rehoboth when necessary.  
      
      Rejected.

   (5) A chapel and missionary home at the Fort Wingate Post.  
In view of the recommendation given in (D) above, your Committee advises Synod that the Board be authorized to build a chapel and home at Fort Wingate when the way is open.  

   Adopted.

Items 6, 7, 8, and 9 on the Expansion Program are referred back to the Committee for more careful formulation and stipulation. (Cf. Art. 43.)

(10) The purchase of a part of the Vander Wagen property at Zuni, N. M.  

This property adjoins the property of our Church at Zuni. The Committee on Expansion foresees that it will be used for a touring camp, which it considers undesirable in view of the proximity of our Mission.  

Some of the buildings on this property are rented by our Mission from Mr. Vander Wagen, and its purchase for the
sum of $3,500 is considered to be advantageous to our Mission at Zuni.

The other half of the Vander Wagen property will be retained by Mr. Vander Wagen. In view of the possibility that the second half will be sold by him at a later date, it seems to be the part of wisdom to obtain an option on it for at least five years.

Your Committee advises Synod to authorize the Board to purchase a part of the Vander Wagen property at Zuni, N. M., and to obtain an option for a period of five years on the other half of his property. **Grounds:**

a) Its purchase is recommended by the General Conference;

b) The buildings are needed by our Mission;

c) The purchase price is considered to be a fair one.

*Adopted.*

G. (1) Relative to the proposal of the Board of Missions that Synod appoint a central committee of five persons, empowered to appoint sub-committees and to add to its number as it sees fit, whose duty it shall be to put on a campaign for the funds needed for the hospital and the doctor's home at the opportune time, your Committee advises its adoption.

*Adopted.*

(2) Your Committee advises Synod to appoint the following brethren: John Hekman, G. Daane, Rev. J. Dolfin, Dr. G. Broedman, and H. Holtvluwer.

*Adopted.*

H. Your Committee advises that Synod authorize the Executive Committee of the Board to have the hospital and doctor's home erected when the money needed has been raised in cash and pledges.

*Adopted.*

I. (1) Your Committee advises that a communication of Miss J. Veenstra, pertaining to the assumption by our Church of a part of the Sudan Mission Field in the province
ARTICLE 38

The following letter from Prof. D. H. Kromminga is read:


"REV. MR. W. P. VAN WYK,
President of Synod of the
Christian Reformed Church, 1930.

"ESTEEMED BROTHER:-
-Permit me to thank the venerable body over which you preside
for the honor of the re-appointment conferred upon me, and to inform
it of my acceptance of the re-appointment.

"Respectfully,
"D. H. KROMMINGA."

Received as information. (Cf. Art. 23, sub 5.)

ARTIKEL 39

Mr. B. J. Bennink closes this session with prayer.

SIXTH SESSION, TUESDAY MORNING, JUNE 17

ARTICLE 40

After Psalm 25:2 is sung, Rev. C. De Leeuw opens the session with prayer.

ARTICLE 41

The roll is called. All delegates are present.

ARTICLE 42

The Minutes of the fifth session are read and accepted and approved without change.
ARTIKEL 43

Synod continues the discussion of Mission Matters (cf. Art. 37). The Committee of Preadvice now lays before Synod its advice on:

1. Central Heating Plant.

The Board of Missions proposes the erection of a central heating plant which shall heat all of our buildings at Rehoboth. The arguments advanced in favor of such a plant are:

- Some of the individual heating plants must be replaced;
- A central heating plant will diminish fire hazards;
- It is more efficient;
- It will bring about a substantial saving in fuel consumption.

Your Committee advises Synod not to sustain the Board in its proposal to erect a central heating plant. Grounds:

- Although the installation of a central heating plant may seem ideal, such a plant is not always as satisfactory in its results as it is generally presented to be;
- The present condition of the individual heating plants in the various buildings is not such that they need to be replaced at this time. According to the information received, it will require only $5,000 or $6,000 to repair them;
- The proposed heating plant will cost nearly $60,000. The annual interest on this large sum of money is more than sufficient to buy all the coal needed for all of the Rehoboth buildings for one year;
- Having a central heating plant is not an advantage when heat is required in one particular building, e.g., in the hospital, and not in other buildings;
- The saving obtained through the use of a cheaper grade of coal in a central heating plant can also be procured when stokers are installed in the individual heating plants;
- Although a central heating plant does diminish fire hazards, there is no specific danger in having individual heating plants if firing is properly done and the buildings regularly inspected.

The advice of the Committee is adopted.
In regard to points 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the Expansion Program, your Committee advises that these proposals, viz.: 
(6) A mission post at a station designated as Beautiful Mountain, with a home for a campworker; 
(7) A chapel at Toadlena, same type as at Crown Point; 
(8) A mission at Canyon Cilo; and 
(9) Field chapels—inexpensive buildings—for religious services, in Indian communities,
be accepted as information, and be approved as parts of that Program, but that these proposals shall not be carried out except upon a decision of a later Synod, save in the event one or more of these proposed buildings are offered to the Board without any expense to the Church.
This advice is adopted. (Continued in Art. 94.)

ARTICLE 44

The Committee for Reception of Deputies reports that Rev. G. Van Halsema, emeritus minister of the Gereformeerde Kerken in Nederland, is present at this gathering, who has with him a letter from the Deputies of that Church for Correspondence with foreign churches. Rev. Van Halsema once more brings greetings from the Gereformeerde Kerken and addresses Synod in hearty fashion, to which Dr. P.Y. De Jong responds.

ARTIKEL 45

The Report of the Committee of Preadvice on Varia is now read by Rev. J. J. Hiemenga:

"ESTIMATED BRETHREN:

(1) Your Committee has treated the Instruction of Classis Orange City, page iii of the Agenda, Part II, in re "Redistributie der Classes," and the Instruction of the Consistory of Prinsburg, Minn., in re "Classis-indeeling," as one for the following reasons:

d) According to a statement of Prinsburg's Consistory (see page iii) the content of both instructions is the same. Your Committee is of the same opinion and feels confident that neither Classis Orange City, nor the Consistory of Prinsburg will have any objection to treat their instructions as one;"
b) The difference between Classis Orange City and Prinsburg's Consistory is merely a formal one (see page liii). "The Prinsburg Consistory judged that each instruction legally must come to Synod from a specific Consistory. Therefore the delegates to Classis immediately stated that they maintained the right to forward their instruction."

c) There is nothing in the letter of Prinsburg's Consistory that requires or asks for the attention of the Synod, except the instruction proper (see bottom of page liii).

Therefore your Committee advises:

a) That Synod approve of this method, viz., to treat these instructions as one; and
b) Accept the first part of the letter of Prinsburg's Consistory—up to the instruction proper—as information.

Adopted.

(2) Your Committee would also call the attention of Synod to the fact that "historically" there was an essential difference in these two instructions. Prinsburg's original instruction to Classis Orange City mentioned "de wenselijkheid van een andere en betere indeeling der vier Iowa Classes."

Classis Orange City speaks in its instruction about a redistribution of the whole Church into ten, or twelve Classes, while in its instruction to Synod Prinsburg also refers to all Classes of our Church.

Synod also accept this as information.

Adopted.

(3) With respect to the Instruction we advise: Synod do not accede to this request at this time. Grounds:

a) The reasons advanced in these instructions are only of a practical nature, and proceed from the principle that representation or delegation should be based upon the number of families (see ground 2 of Prinsburg's instruction). This assumption is, of course, un-Reformed;

"the desirability of another and better division of the four Iowa Classes."
Instruction of Consistory of Emden, Minn.:

"The Emden, Minnesota Consistory requests transfer from Classis Ostfriesland to that of Orange City.

Grounds:

1) We are fully three hundred (300) miles distant from the center of Classis Ostfriesland and the usual meetingplace of that Classis. We are less than one hundred and fifty (150) miles from the center of Classis Orange City. The congregations of Classis Orange City are all closer to us than the great majority of Classis Ostfriesland. So because of the expenses (Classical as well as in case of vacancy for Classical Appointments, etc.) we request this transfer;

2) Usually the Consistory employs the Holland language, also in its instructions to Classis. This also causes difficulty and makes the transfer for us very desirable.

Your Committee advises that Synod inform the Consistory that this matter is not within the jurisdiction of Synod, as long as the Classes involved have not been consulted.

Adopted.

b) Ground 2 of Classis Orange City and ground 3 of Prinsburg's Consistory are very indefinite. It is not easy to determine "when a Classis is too large or too small";

c) A matter so important, affecting the whole Church, should come from more than one Classis before Synod take any action;

d) In case a re-distribution of certain Classes is desired, Synod refer this matter to such Classes, as the logical agencies to take the initiative, and then come to Synod for its consent.

Adopted, with this amendment of d: Synod holds that this question of possible desirability of the re-distribution of certain Classes belongs properly to the Classes particularly interested or involved as the logical agencies to take the initiative, and then come to Synod for its consent.
(5) Instructions of Classes Muskegon and Pella in re Calendar Reform:

"Classis overtures Synod to take note of the fact that the business interests at home and abroad are demanding a 'Calendar Reform.' Of all the plans that have been proposed, the Cotsworth International Fixed Calendar appears to have more supporters than any other.

"This Calendar, in brief, consists of thirteen standard months, with each month as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{S} & \text{M} & \text{T} & \text{W} & \text{T} & \text{F} & \text{S} \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 \\
22 & 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 & 28 \\
\end{array}
\]

"Each month in the year will always be exactly the same as that shown above. A new month will be inserted between June and July. The 365th day will be December 29, but will have no week-day name. This day, to be known as 'Year-day,' will be inserted between Saturday, December 28, and Sunday, January 1. In like manner in Leap Year, the extra day, June 29, will be placed between Saturday, June 28, and Sunday, the first day of the new month. It is proposed to have a fixed date for the Easter Sunday.

"In view of these facts Synod is asked:

"(1) To express itself as being opposed to the proposed Cotsworth International Calendar because of the insertion of the 'Year-Day' between Saturday and Sunday, making it very difficult for Christians to observe the Lord's Day at the proper time; and

"(2) To ask other Churches to take a similar stand with respect to the proposed Calendar Reform.

(Classis Muskegon.)"

"Classis requests Synod to register a protest with the organization sponsoring the adoption of a new calendar containing thirteen months of four weeks each to a month, doing away with one or more days each year. Ground: This is in conflict with the ordinance of God, calling for one day out of seven to be kept holy unto the Lord.

(Classis Pella.)"
Your Committee advises Synod to express itself as follows:

"We are not opposed to calendar changes as such; provided such changes preserve the ancient and divine arrangement of the fixed days of the week. The weekly cycle ordained by God Himself in the very beginning of time, according to the Word of God, should not be altered," and forward this statement to the National Committee on Calendar Simplification, and to Congressman Carl E. Mapes of Michigan.

Adopted.

(6) Instruction of Classis California: "Classis overtures Synod to register with the American Bible Society a vigorous protest against statements made by Rev. S. Parkes Cadman, D.D., LL.D., in an article 'How to Read the Bible,' appearing in the Bible Society Record of January, 1930, p. 14. The objectionable statements are: 'As a collection of tracts, treatises, and histories, it includes every type of literature. Folklore, myth, legend, drama, idyll, poetry, and biography are here associated with meditations, maxims, letters, sermons, parables, prayers, psalms, and canticles. Transmitted to successive generations by providential oversight, the Bible's teachings reflect the ever-advancing moral and religious intelligence of the nations.'"

Your Committee advises Synod to act in accordance with this instruction. Grounds:

a) Expressions as referred to in the Instruction of Classis California are entirely out of harmony with the policy of the American Bible Society;

b) Our Church should raise its voice of protest when such statements are published by a Society that receives our financial support.

Adopted.


Your Committee advises Synod to:

a) Accept this report as information;

b) Recommend this cause for continued financial support to our churches;
c) Appoint a representative of our Church to the American Bible Society.
Adopted. (Cf. Art. 128, 7.)

(8) Report of the Representative of the National Christian Association, the Rev. A. H. Bratt.

The report of your representative is a communication to the Synodical Committee. It contains a statement of the fact that the brother does not understand the meaning of Art. 144 of Acts Synodi 1928, page 156. He is of the opinion that Synod requires a complete financial statement of all the receipts and disbursements of the National Christian Association. Consequently such a statement is herewith submitted.

Your Committee advises Synod to:

a) Accept this report as information;

b) Express itself more definitely as to the meaning of said Article, as follows: "In re the National Christian Association, Synod decides to instruct its representative to include in his report a detailed annual financial statement covering receipts and disbursements of this Association, and to submit the same to the Synodical Committee";

Adopted.

c) Recommend the National Christian Association to our churches for continued moral and financial support.
Adopted.

d) Appoint a representative of our Church to this organization.
Adopted. (Cf. Art. 128, 6.)

(9) Lord's Day Alliance of the United States. (Cf. Art. 26.)

Your Committee advises Synod to:

a) Give this organization its moral support, unless our representatives advise to the contrary;
b) To appoint Rev. H. Beets, LL.D., as an Honorary Vice-President of said Alliance, and Rev. J. J. Hie­
mena as a member of the Board of Managers, as re­
quested by this Board.
Adopted. (Continued in Art. 84.)

ARTIKEL 46
This session is closed with prayer by Mr. A. De Vries.
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ARTIKEL 47
No. 176 of the *Christian Hymnal* is sung. Rev. C. Spoel­
hof leads in prayer.

ARTIKEL 48

The Report of the Committee of Presidince on the *Intro­
duction of Hymns* is now read by the reporter, Rev. W. Groen:

"Esteemed Brethren:—

Your Committee respectfully reports:

I. Relative to the *Introduction of a Greater Number of Hymns into the Public Worship of our Churches.*

A. Your Committee had the following material for con­
sideration:

2. Overture by Classis Pella (Agenda, Part II, p. xxxiii);
3. Overture by Classis Zeeland (Agenda, Part II, p. xxxiii);
4. Overture by the Consistory of First South Holland (Agenda, Part II, pp. xxxiii, xxxiv). Note that in the Agenda this overture is mistakenly attributed to Class­
is Illinois;
5. Overture by Classis Illinois, as follows: "Classis Illinois informs Synod that it favors the adoption of a collection of hymns for congregational singing."
Classis is convinced, however, that our Church should remain chiefly a psalm-singing Church. It would strongly disapprove the introduction of a very large number of hymns. It urges Synod to adopt only such hymns as are specifically New Testament in spirit and language;

(6) Overture by the Consistory of Highland, Ind., as follows: "Synod do not revise Article 69 of our Church Order. Grounds:
   a) This Article clearly shows that the Reformed Church shall be a psalm-singing Church;
   b) History has verified the standpoint of the Fathers. Wherever the songs were permitted the psalms have been crowded out, and the history will also now repeat itself. And the Scriptures command us to sing psalms (I Chron. 16:4-7; Ps. 105:2; Ps. 95:1,2; Eph. 5:19; Col. 3:16, etc);
   c) By accepting the standpoint of the Committee one gains nothing but confusion. In one place the singing of songs will be permitted but not in another, and that in the same city or town;
   d) By accepting the standpoint of the Committee one has left the standpoint Calvin, Dordrecht (1618-'19), the Afscheiding (1834), the Terugkeer (1857), and the Doleantie, and adopted the standpoint of Luther."

(7) A Protest by the Consistory of East Palmyra, N.Y., objecting to the introduction of hymns. The Committee considers this protest not legally before Synod because it was signed only by the Vice-President of the Consistory.

Received as information.

B. Recommendations:
   (1) Your Committee advises that Synod pass a vote of thanks to the Advisory Committee on the Introduction of Hymns.

Adopted.
(2) Your Committee informs Synod that full cognizance has been taken of the report of the Advisory Committee, and that their conclusions have been fully included in these recommendations.

Received as information.

(3) After an extended discussion of the next recommendation of the Committee, a motion prevails to re-commit this matter for another formulation in accordance with some suggestions which the Committee received during the discussion upon the floor of Synod. (Continued in Art. 90.)

ARTIKEL 49

The Committee for Appointment of Committees of PreAdvice informs Synod that Report XXI on Radio Preaching (Agenda II, p. 245) and Report XXIII on Reformed Literature (Agenda II, p. 264) have been referred to the Committee of PreAdvice on Publication Matters. It further informs Synod that an instruction of Classis Orange City has seemingly been lost. This instruction concerns a divorce problem on which said Classis desires the decision of Synod. Manuscripts completely covering the case are, however, present, and have been given into the hands of the Committee of PreAdvice on Protests.

Received as information, and approved.

ARTIKEL 50

Synod goes into executive session.

ARTIKEL 51

Rev. J. Gritter closes this session with prayer.

ACHTSTE ZITTING, WOENSDAGMORGEN, 18 JUNI

ARTIKEL 52

Psalter No. 280 is sung. Rev. K. Tebben leads in prayer.

ARTIKEL 53

* Appel wordt gehouden, waarbij blijkt dat alle afgevaardigden tegenwoordig zijn behalve Ouderling J. Hekman, wiens plaats wordt ingenomen door den secundus afgevaardigden.

* Roll is called, all delegates present except Elder J. Hekman, whose place is taken by secundus delegate Dr. G. Broodman,
digde, Dr. G. Broedman, die op verzoek van den Praeses instemming betuigd met de "Openlijke Verklaring."

ARTIKEL 54

Synod now takes in hand the Report of the Committee of Preach on Matters of Church Order. Emeriti, etc., which is read by the reporter, Rev. H. J. De Vries:

"HONORABLE BRETHREN:"

Your Committee on matters of Church Order, etc., begs to submit the following report:


The following brethren report that they have been present at the classical examinations and the admittance of candidates to the Ministry: Revs. K. Tebben and A. De Vries were present at the examination of Candidate J. Betten; Revs. C. Hiissen, T. Vander Ark and K. Tebben, the examination of M. Vanderwerp; Revs. C. Huissen, K. Tebben and D. Hollebeek, the examination of D. Hoitenga and C. Groot; Revs. D. Hollebeek and C. Huissen, the examination of R. Bronkema and J. Weidemaar; Revs. K. Tebben, A. R. Voss and D. Hollebeek, the examination of L. Verduin; Revs. J. Bruinooge and E. J. Krohne, the examination of J. H. De Haan and P. Voss; Revs. T. Vander Ark, C. Huissen and H. Blystra, the examination of C. Bode; Revs. T. Vander Ark and C. Huissen, the examination of R. Danhof, R. De Haan, and C. Witt; Rev. J. Bruinooge, E. J. Krohne and L. Veltkamp, the examination of A. Persenaire, H. Rikkers, and W. Van Pauwuw; Revs. J. Bruinooge, Y. P. De Jong and L. Veltkamp, the examination of J. Geels; Revs. L. Veltkamp, E. J. Krohne, and C. De Leeuw, were present at the meeting of Classis Wisconsin in re the case Racine—De Groot. Rev. J. Bruinooge, D. Zwier, and E. J. Krohne were present at the Colloquium Doctum of Rev. E. J. Masselink, Classis Grand Rapids West. Rev. H. Baker was present at the examination of M. Dornbush and J. Hanenburg. Rev. Baker reports that at that meeting of Classis Muskegon the examiners of Classis Holland and Classis Zegland failed to be present. Your Committee calls the attention of Synod to this last matter.

Received as information.

who upon the invitation of the Chairman, expresses agreement with the "Public Declaration."
II. Overtures.

A. Overture of Classis California (Agenda, Part II, p. xxiii): "Classis overtures Synod to amend the ruling regarding the announcement of names of those who have confessed before the Consistory transgression of the seventh commandment (Acta 1908, Art. 54, IX), so that the advisability of such announcement shall be determined by the Consistory in each case. Reason:

"Classis has in mind two specific instances that took place in churches within the jurisdiction of Classis. Communicant members confessed to the consistories of these churches transgression of the seventh commandment. These members had come as total strangers to these churches, but the fact of their transgression had been noted on their letters of dismissal by the Consistories of the Churches from which the members had departed. In their new church homes the fact of their transgression was known to no man. In these cases Classis is convinced that to announce the names of the members who had transgressed would be of profit neither to the churches before whose Consistories the confession was made, nor to the members themselves. On the contrary, Classis foresees that should the names be announced the reputation of these members would be greatly harmed."

Article 54, IX, Acts 1908, to which this overture refers, reads as follows: "In cases of transgression of the seventh commandment before marriage, the Synod of 1908 decided to leave the form of the confession to the consistory, with the stricture that the confession at least take place before the full consistory and the names are announced to the congregation."

Your Committee advises Synod to amend this Article by changing the last clause to read: "And in case the sin is known in the congregation, the names shall be announced."

Instead of the advice of the Committee Synod decides to ADOPT the overture of Classis California.

B. Overture of Classis Illinois (Agenda, Part II, p. xxiv): "Synod revise Art. 41 of our Church Order in such a way that the expression, 'whether the poor and the Christian
Schools are cared for' is split into two, and the reading henceforth be: 'Whether the poor are cared for,' and 'whether the consistories grant needy Christian Schools sufficient support'. Grounds:

a) These two matters, so different in nature, cannot be linked together, since the poor belong to the Church as institute, but not so the Christian Schools;

b) It creates confusion in congregations in whose midst there is not Christian School, to ask both matters in one breath;

c) Both matters cannot in all cases be answered with the same 'yes';

d) The responsibility of the Church toward the poor is different from that toward the Christian School."

The advice of your Committee is that Synod decide as follows:

(1) To split the question into two separate questions.
Reasons:

a) The question is a double one, and really requires two answers;

b) In this manner more justice will be done to both causes concerned.

Adopted.

(2) To approve of the formulation of the first question as given by Classis Illinois, but no to approve of the formulation of the second question, since the words "needy" and "sufficient" are too indefinite. Your Committee advises Synod to formulate the second question thus: "Does the Consistory support the cause of Christian Schools?"

Adopted.

C. Overture of the Consistory of Second Englewood (Agenda, Part II, p.xxv): "The Consistory of Second Englewood, Chicago, Ill. calls the attention of Classis to the following clause in the decisions of Synod of 1928 concerning industrial organizations: 'And in case the organization
in spite of this protest, continues in the practice of evil, then it becomes the Christian's duty to give up his membership in such organization' (II, 3,p.92).

"The Consistory proposes to the Classis that it request Synod to omit this clause for the following reasons:

1. It is not true that a member is obliged to leave an organization because his protest is not acted upon;
2. There may be very weighty reasons for remaining in the organization, such as to work for the improvement of the union;
3. The report as amended by the Synod of 1928 seems to contradict itself (see II,3, and III,3);
4. There are cases in which it is impossible for consistories to carry out the above decision."

The decision of Synod of 1928, to which this overture refers, is as follows:

"II. The questions: What is the nature of the solidarity of the Unions and similar organizations? Is every member of such a gathering responsible for the decisions and dealings of such an organization or not?, are answered as follows:

1. A Christian who is a member of a social organization, of whatever nature, is duty bound faithfully to exert his influence as a Christian and to contend for righteousness and justice;
2. By consent or silence he personally becomes fully responsible for whatever is sinful in the decisions and practices of the organization to which he belongs;
3. In order to be personally free from guilt he must with all seriousness protest against such practices which transgress the boundaries of justice, and according to ability he must endeavor to check the evil; and, if the organization in spite of his protest persists in perpetrating evil, it becomes his duty as a Christian to renounce his membership in such an organization (Cf. Agenda, 1926, pp.118,122).

"III. To the questions, What is the duty of the Church in regard to this area of life, and, more in particular:
How must the Church deal regarding members who find themselves placed before problems which arise out of the struggle in secular life, and who have affiliated with or another organization? the answer of Synod reads:

(1) The Church of Christ is in duty bound through her power of the keys to purify herself from those who have joined themselves to organizations that are essentially in conflict with the Word of God. Such are also those organizations in the social realm which either in their constitutions, official propaganda, or in their common practices reveal themselves as anti-Christian.

(2) With respect to those who have joined organizations that essentially are not in conflict with the Word of God, but in which is found much that is worthy of disapproval and in conflict with our Christian principles (as many organizations of employers and employees), the Church must constantly be ready with instruction and reproval. The Church, which always has the duty of letting the light of God's Word relate to each area of life, warning her members against evil, pointing out the right principles, and to encourage the use of these, should not neglect that task in the realm of labor, and should strongly urge her members to see their strength especially in Christian organizations;

(3) In the case of those who also are members of organizations that are not essentially in conflict with the Word of God but in which is found much that is worthy of disapproval and in conflict with our Christian principles, church discipline is to be resorted to only when it is evident that they are parties to and guilty of actions that are in conflict with the command of God. In other words, when the purpose of the society is itself lawful and the organization in its constitution demands nothing of its members, that is in conflict with justice, the Church cannot proceed with discipline simply because of membership in such an organization, even though in such an organization, but as to principle and practice, may defects can be found.
Here also the rule shall be applied that only if one is personally guilty of censurable sin shall one become the object of discipline. (cf. Agenda, 1926, pp. 122,123). Accepted."

Received as information.

Your Committee advises Synod not to accede to the request of the Consistory of Second Englewood, but to decide to maintain the reading adopted in 1928. Grounds:

a) The first objection of this overture is a mere unproven statement which Synod cannot accept in view of the reading of the entire paragraph II,3;

b) Article II,3, which the overture wishes eliminated, states clearly that it is aimed just at such cases as make impossible the "working for improvement" mentioned in the second objection;

c) Article II,3, and III,3, do not contradict each other. Article II,3, affirms correctly what is the duty of the Christian, while Article III,3, shows that the attitude of the Consistory should be, viz., that it may, if occasion demand, urge the duty of leaving an organization as described in II,3, but shall not proceed to discipline such a member unless the organization is in principle anti-Christian, or toe overt act of the church member constitute a censurable sin.

Adopted.

D. Overture of Classis Pella in re "willful desertion" (Agenda, Part II, p.xxv): "That Synod express itself clearly on the Question as to whether willful desertion is a Scriptural ground for divorce or not. Grounds:

(1) Concerning this there is much uncertainty in our Churches. And now it appears from the Synodical Agenda for 1930, Part I, p.76, that the Committee in re "Divorce" has omitted the matter of willful desertion;

(2) Leading Reformed Theologians (see Rutgers, Kerkelijke Adviezen,'II,233, and other places) and many Reformed Churches acknowledge willful desertion as a Scriptural ground for divorce:
(3) In this matter our Synods have never come to a clear, well-grounded declaration;
(4) Realities demand that we take a definite standpoint, based upon clear Scriptural grounds;
(5) Classis Pella needs such a declaration since it has a concrete case concerning willful desertion.

---

(1) It is in connection with this overture that we beg to inform Synod that according to a Supplementary Report of the Advisory Committee on Divorce, the churches of South Africa favor the position that there is only one ground for divorce. This is also the position taken by Prof. Dr. Riddersbos in the Minority Report which will be submitted to the Synod of the Reformed Churches in the Netherlands.

Received as information.

(2) Your Committee advises Synod not to accede to the request of Classis Pella inasmuch as our Church has taken a definite stand (see decision of 1906) and this abides, according to Article 31, Church Order, until it be proven contrary to God's Word.

Adopted.

III. Petitions.


"Request"

Whereas the content of this “Verzoekschrift” constitutes a protest against the decision of the Synod of 1928, and further contains a proposal, neither of which (protest or proposal) were brought to the attention of Synod through the prescribed channels of Consistory and Classis, although he had ample opportunity to do so, your Committee advises Synod to take no action on said “Verzoekschrift.”

"Request"

Adopted.

B. Petition of August Kroon (Agenda, Part II, page xxviii): “The undersigned petitions Synod to consider the advisability of urging the Consistories of vacant congrega-
The calling of Ministers from foreign countries is deemed less necessary and therefore urge vacant congregations to seriously consider whether they should refrain from this, with an eye to the Theological School, for calling ministers of other than the Christian Reformed Churches. **Grounds:**

(1) We have a precedent in part for such advice in Acts of Synod of 1898, p. 34, which reads: 'Het heropen van Predikanten uit het buitenland wordt geacht minder noodig te zijn en daarom wordt vacante gemeenten in ernstige overweging gegeven of zij zich daarvan niet dienen te onthouden, met het oog op de Theologische School.'

(2) Our own Seminary graduates a sufficient number of students to supply our denominational needs;
(3) We thereby conserve our own denominational principles and loyalty in that all our ministers have been trained in our Theological School;
(4) We already demand that students who have not had training at our School, shall at least take the last year of training at our Seminary before being declared eligible for a call in our Church. (See Acts of Synod of 1922, Art. 11, par. 3, and Acts of Synod of 1924, Art. 41. E and F, p. 38). These acts read as follows: (Acts of Synod of 1922): 'Students that have pursued their studies at institutions not positively Reformed must at least have attended the classes of the last year at our Theological School, before they are permitted to take the preparatory examination.' (Acts of Synod of 1924): 'Students who have pursued their theological studies at other institutions must at least have pursued the studies of the senior year at our Theological School, in order to be declared eligible for a call in our Church.'
(5) The calling of ministers and students graduated from other Theological Schools does not deal fair with our own ministers and theological students, in that it minimizes and ignores the value of their training given them by the Church; **Ministers from foreign countries.**
(6) The inconsistency of establishing and supporting our own schools and Seminary at a great expense paid for by the Church, and at the same time accepting 'outsiders' regardless of where such individuals obtained their theological education."

(1) Your Committee wishes to inform Synod that this petition is supported by Classis Grand Rapids West, although the Agenda fails so to state.

Received as information.

(2) Your Committee advises Synod to accede to this petition for reasons given therein.

Adopted. (Continued in Art. 57.)

ARTIKEL 55

Elder A. Butteyn closes this session with prayer.
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ARTIKEL 56:

No. 309 of the Christian Hymnal is sung, after which Dr. M. J. Wyngaarden leads in prayer.

ARTIKEL 57

The discussion of the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice on Matters of Church Order, Emeriti, etc., is continued:

IV. Report of Committee on Divorce (Agenda, Part I, page 76).

(1) Your Committee advises Synod to accept this report as information and to thank the Committee for its splendid work.

Adopted.

(2) In regard to Resume and Advice (page 89), your Committee begs to inform Synod that it came to an agreement on points one and two and advises Synod to adopt these conclusions on the grounds given in the report.
regard to point three, we failed to come to an agreement, the final vote standing five to five. It was decided to lay this matter before Synod as it is.

After an extended discussion, Synod decides to refer the matter back to its Committee on Divorce for further study, this Committee to be enlarged by the addition of two members, Prof. L. Berkhof and Prof. H. Schultze, and to report to Synod of 1932. (Cf. Art. 128, 14.)


(1) Your Committee advises Synod to accept this report for information and to thank the Committee for its splendid work.

So decided.

(2) The advice of the Committee of Preadvice in regard to this matter is rejected.

A motion to adopt the conclusions of the Advisory Committee appointed by Synod of 1928 (see pp. 36, 37 of Agenda 1930, Part I) is tabled.

On motion Synod decides to leave this matter in status quo, namely, that every case be judged on its own merits by the Classis to which the minister belongs.

(3) In connection with this, Synod takes up Part A, 14, of the Report of the Committee of Preadvice on Theological School and Calvin College, in regard to the ministerial standing of the President of Calvin College (cf. Art. 23):  

“Synod state that a minister can accept the presidency of Calvin College without losing his ministerial standing. Grounds:

a) The College belongs to the Church;
b) Its headship is a position of the very greatest significance to our entire denomination; and
c) The position is one in which the incumbent can exercise a far-reaching spiritual influence.”

Adopted.

* "In re the Status of Ministers in Non-Ecclesiastical Institutions of Learning"
In regard to the second part of the Report "Re the Status of Ministers at Non-Ecclesiastical Institutions of Learning," your Committee advises as follows:

a) First, we wish to inform Synod that points 1, 2, and 3, and 5, b, require no action since these matters have been disposed of at the Synod of 1928.
   Received as information.

b) In regard to II, 4, page 42, your Committee advises Synod not to express itself now in regard to this matter, but to accept the second advice of the Committee on p. 46: "That Synod appoint a Committee to make a basic study of this matter, and serve the next Synod with a report and advice." Ground: In this report the Committee calls our attention to the repeated occurrence of giving leave of absence to ministers for further study; a matter that certainly demands a decision of Synod.
   Adopted.

c) In regard to II, 5, a, p. 46, your Committee advises Synod to accept the advice of the Committee on p. 48: "To reject this proposal on these grounds."
   Adopted.

d) In regard to II, 7, your Committee advises Synod to accept the advice of the Committee on p. 49: "Also not to enter into this proposal because the grounds it wants declared for this, are already abundantly presented in the Church Order."
   Adopted. (Continued in Art. 83.)

ARTICLE 58

Elder J. Hofstra, Sr. closes this session with prayer.

TENTH SESSION, THURSDAY MORNING, JUNE 19

ARTICLE 59

After Psalter No. 281 is sung, Rev. H. Elystra opens this session with prayer.
ARTIKEL 60
Bij het appel blijkt dat alle afgevaardigden tegemoet zijn. The roll call shows that all delegates are present.

ARTIKEL 61
Synod now takes in hand the second part of the Report of the Committee of Preadvice on the Introduction of Hymns, etc., relative to the Revision of the Psalter:

II. Revision of the Psalter.
A. This Committee informs Synod that five overtures asking for a Revision of the Psalter are legally upon the Synodical table. These overtures are by:
- Classis of Grand Rapids East (Agenda, Part II, p. xxxi);
- Classis of Sioux Center (Agenda, Part II, p. xxxi);
- Classis of Holland (Agenda, Part II, p. xxxii);
- Classis of Orange City (Agenda, Part II, p. xxxii);
- Classis of Pella (Agenda, Part II, p. xxxii);
and are as follows:

"Synod decide to appoint a Committee to make a thorough study of our English Psalter with a view to advising the following Synod (1932), as to which of the 413 selections can be best eliminated, as being unsatisfactory, especially as regards the tunes, and to recommend as substitutes for some of these, new metrical versions of not fewer than twenty-five and not more than fifty Psalms which can be sung to the best tunes of our Dutch Psalter, those chorales also to be selected by the Committee. If necessary, this Committee shall be authorized to make the expense required for the procuring of these new metrical versions.

Grounds:
(1) Our present Psalter contains too many of the short, four-line stanzas. Not a few of the tunes, especially for these selections, are too dry to be used with satisfaction;
(2) Even if the corresponding Dutch chorale of such an unsatisfactory selection in our English Psalter would not be worth using, said number from our English Psalter could very well be omitted, since there are more than enough numbers (413) in this Psalter. Moreover, a new metrical version could be prepared to fit a satisfactory tune (chorale or otherwise) from another Psalter or Hymnal;"
The Classis gives its support to the proposal of Classis GrandRapids East in re the revision of our 'English Psalter'."

(3) Many of the Dutch Psalm tunes are beautiful chorales which our people have learned to love and would be glad to sing in our American-speaking churches. In view of this and also of the revival of interest in this type of music, it would be very regrettable if we made no effort to preserve our musical heritage."

(Classis Grand Rapids East.)

"De Classis hecht hare adhaesie aan het voorstel van Classis Grand Rapids Oost in betrekking tot het herzien onzer 'English Psalter'."

(Classis Sioux Center.)

"Classis overtures Synod to undertake the revision of our American Psalter, the revision to retain only those numbers of our present Psalter which experience has proved fit for public worship, substituting for the other a number of the best chorales from our Dutch Psalter. Grounds:

(1) Our present American Psalter contains too many numbers which are musically unattractive and unfit for public worship;

(2) Our Dutch Psalter contains some wonderful tunes which we should preserve as a precious part of the heritage of our fathers."

(Classis Holland.)

"Synod provide ways and means to effect a revision of our English Psalter, especially with a view to the following two matters:

A. The numbering of the songs in such a way that the number of the Psalm (as found in Scripture) receives the emphasis instead of the number of the tunes, as is at present the case in our Psalter. By the present arrangement the number of the Psalm tends to become practically lost as far as the consciousness of the congregation is concerned, and numbers are substituted which do not at all coincide with the numbers of the Psalms themselves;

B. The incorporation into our English Psalter of those 'Chorales' from our Dutch Psalter which are judged to be the most appealing and suitable."

(Classis Orange City.)

"De Synode nene maatregelen om onze 'Psalter' te verbeteren door het min geschikte in melodieën en berijmingen te verwijderen, en nieuwe melodieën op te nemen, met

Synod take measures to improve our "Psalter" by excluding less suitable melodies and rhymes, and adopt new melodies,
in particular, the beautiful choral music of our old Psalms and also
search for new versifications.

Such an improvement of our Psalter is necessary to make the sing-
ing of our Psalter more attractive and easier, and to render the
original in more poetic form. Then too such a revision is especially
demanded, where the hymns are increasingly popular, and there is real
danger that in the present situation our Psalm singing will be a thing
of the past."

(Classis Pella.)

B. Your Committee having considered the overtures of
the Classes of Grand Rapids East, Sioux Center, Holland,
and Pella, and Part B of the overture of the Classis of
Orange City, advises Synod to appoint a Committee to make
a thorough study of our English Psalter with a view to ad-
vising the following Synod (1932) as to which of the 413 se-
lections can best be eliminated as being unsatisfactory, espe-
cially as regards the tunes, and to recommend as substi-
tutes for some of these, new metrical versions of not fewer
than twenty-five and not more than fifty Psalms which can
be sung to the best tunes of our Dutch Psalter, these chor-
ales also to be selected by the Committee. If necessary, this
Committee shall be authorized to make the expense required
for the procuring of these new metrical versions, provided
that the expenses shall not exceed the sum of $1,500.

Grounds:

(1) Our present Psalter contains too many of the short,
four-line stanzas. Not a few of the tunes, especially
for these selections, are too dry to be used with sat-
sisfaction;

(2) Even if the corresponding Dutch chorale of such an
unsatisfactory selection in our English Psalter would
not be worth using, said number from our English
Psalter could very well be omitted, since there are
more than enough numbers (413) in this Psalter.
Moreover, a new metrical version could be prepared
to fit a satisfactory tune (chorale or otherwise) from
another Psalter or Hymnal;

(3) Many of the Dutch Psalm tunes are beautiful chor-
ales which our people have learned to love and would
be glad to sing in our English-speaking churches. In view of this, and also of the revival of interest in this type of music, it would be very regrettable if we made no effort to preserve our musical heritage.

*Adopted.*

C. Your Committee advises Synod to refer Part A of the overture of Classis Orange City to the Committee appointed under B.

*Adopted.* (Continued in Art. 90.)

**ARTIKEL 62**

The Report of the Committee of Preevice in re *Protests and Appeals* is read by the reporter, Dr. G. Goris:

"Esteemed Brethren:—

The Committee of Preevice in re *Protests and Appeals* has the honor to report the following:


A. There are legally before Synod the following documents bearing on the case:

1. An Appeal to Synod by Mr. L. M. Breen containing:
   a) Grievances against the Consistory;
   b) Reply of the Consistory;
   c) An Appeal to Classis;
   d) Reply of Classis;
   e) Appeal from decision of Classis.

2. A Report to Classis in re Consistory of Madison Ave. and Mr. Breen by Committee of Classis Hackensack.

3. Addenda to Appeal to the Synod by Mr. L. M. Breen.

4. A Reply of the Committee of Classis to the Addenda to the Appeal of Mr. L. M. Breen to Synod.

*Received as information.*

B. History of the Case.

In the year 1924 Mr. L. M. Breen promised to give $600 toward the remodeling of the Madison Ave. Church. Then (quoting his own words), "I was asked to prepare a sketch
for the said remodeling, and did so. Elder H. V. O. also prepared a sketch. I told the building committee that if I prepared the plans, specifications, and superintended the remodeling it would cost them $100. Elder H. V. O. was instructed to prepare plans for the said remodeling. When the committee was soliciting subscriptions before building operations were begun, I asked the pastor if I could change my subscription from $600 to $200. The pastor advised me to see the subscription committee;"

This Mr. Breen did. The committee referred the matter to the Consistory, where it was discussed at length. Finally the President of the Consistory advised the Consistory to instruct the committee to report to Mr. Breen, "We leave it with you and your conscience and your God." No formal action was taken in this matter and no record made in the minutes. Two members of the committee reported this informal action of the Consistory to Mr. Breen, whereupon he, on March 3, 1925, paid the $200. The $400 was carried on the subscription list as still being due.

January 1, 1927, Mr. Breen was elected Elder. Soon after he assumed his office of Elder, there developed at the Consistory meetings some discussion as to the authority of deacons. On July 9th it was necessary to discipline some members. After discussing the matter, a deacon made a motion and another supported it, to ask the individuals to appear at the next Consistory meeting. Mr. Breen then asked the Consistory, "Should not this be done by the Elders?" One deacon, a Mr. P. De Mol, was offended by this question, and refused to take part in the remainder of the discussion.

After the close of the meeting Mr. L. M. Breen approached Mr. P. De Mol on this matter, telling him that he regretted his (deacon De Mol's) attitude and actions in the matter. Deacon De Mol then said, "If you were any kind of a man you would pay the church the $400 you owe it." Mr. Breen emphatically denied he owed the $400, and demanded that he retract the statement and apologize. This Mr. De Mol re-
fused to do. Mr. Breen then, on July 19th, 1928, brought to the Consistory the following charges:

(1) "His (Mr. De Mol's) refusal to take part in Consistory because of Elder Breen's contention that a Deacon cannot, according to the Church Order, take a leading part in matters of Church discipline and government except in the province of the poor;

(2) "That at the close of the said meeting in the presence of Consistory members, Deacon De Mol accused Elder Breen with conduct unbecoming a Christian, with the words, 'If you were any kind of a man you would pay the church the $400 you owe it';

(3) Deacon De Mol does not honor the position of Elder Breen as an Elder, by refusing to be advised and speaks disrespectfully of him."

After some discussion, Consistory disposed of this matter with the following resolution (see Minutes of July 19, 1928), "Inasmuch as the campaign for raising subscriptions to Building Fund has been closed, we consider this matter final."

This, however, did not satisfy Mr. Breen. A committee was then appointed to seek to dispose of the matter, but while meeting with him, Mr. Breen stated that he feared the committee could not settle the matter. The matter was then again brought to the Consistory by the committee.

There were three matters to which Mr. Breen called attention:

(1) The matter of the authority of deacons. In regard to this matter the Consistory decided "to go on record as being in perfect accord with Art. 30 of our Confession of Faith."

(2) Unchristian and untruthful remarks of Mr. De Mol. Answering this, the Consistory decided, "that inasmuch as there is a difference of opinion as to the matter whether Mr. Breen owes the sum of $400 or not, we give Mr. Breen the benefit of the doubt and thereby release him from paying the amount, as there is no record on the minutes of the Consistory."
(3) The charge that deacon De Mol does not "honor Mr. Breen's position as an elder and speaks disrespectfully of him," in regard to which the Consistory decided to accept Mr. De Mol's statement that he "recognized Mr. Breen as an elder and that he regretted speaking to Mr. Breen in the manner in which he did on the night in question" (see Minutes of Aug. 13, 1928).

This did not satisfy Mr. Breen, since it was the contents and not the manner of Mr. De Mol's speech to which he objected. He gave notice that he would appeal to Classis. This he did Oct. 16, 1928 (see Exhibit 1). The appeal was based on the same three matters mentioned above: (1) The action of the Consistory in permitting deacons to take a leading part in the meetings; (2) The failure to keep a record of an important financial obligation of $400; (3) The failure of the Consistory to rebuke Mr. De Mol for the contents of his words as well as the manner in which he uttered them.

In regard to point 1, Classis decided not to sustain Mr. Breen. Grounds:

"a) There is no conflict between Elder Breen and the Consistory of Madison Ave. with respect to the question whether deacons take a leading part in the discussion of matters of discipline and church government."

"b) In as far as Mr. Breen contended on the floor of Classis that deacons do not form part of the Consistory, hence Classis sustains the Consistory of Madison Ave. because it is in complete harmony with our Confession (Art. 30) and the prevailing custom in the Christian Reformed churches."

In regard to point 2, Classis decided not to sustain Mr. Breen, since the minutes of the Consistory do show that a faithful record has been kept, as well as the original subscription list on which the brother signed his name for the $600 toward the remodeling of the church, although he only paid $200 toward his obligation.

In regard to not honoring Mr. Breen as an Elder (point 3), Classis decided not to sustain Mr. Breen "inasmuch as there
is no ground for his protest; since (1) Classis does not see
the need of adding the word 'honor' to 'recognize'; (2) de-
con De Mol has not stated that he did not honor Mr. Breen;
(3) the Consistory of Madison Ave. has required of Mr. De
Mol to state his regrets for the manner in which he spoke
to Mr. Breen."

Mr. Breen then made known his intention to appeal to
Synod. A copy of this appeal was received by the Classis
Oct. 14, 1929. Classis then decided to put forth another ef-
fort to bring about a reconciliation between Mr. Breen and
the Consistory of Madison Ave., and appointed a committee
charged with the task of seeking to bring these parties to a
"mutual and satisfactory understanding." This committee
assumed that there was a sincere desire on the part of both
parties to have the existing difficulties removed, and there­
fore drew up a basis of agreement which was to be pre­
sented to both parties, separately. Because of the additional
information and the purpose of the committee, the basis of
agreement differs somewhat from the action of the Classis.
(See report to Classis Hackensack in re Consistory of Mad­
sion Ave.)

This basis of agreement was submitted first to the Con­
sistory of Madison Ave. Dec. 12, 1929, and after much dis­
cussion was finally, because of a sincere desire for reconcili­
ation, accepted as a whole.

On Dec. 13, 1929, the Committee met with Mr. Breen and
submitted this same basis of agreement to him. After much
explanation and discussion Mr. Breen finally accepted the
first two points. But as soon as the matter of Mr. Breen's
obligation to pay the $400 was mentioned his attitude
changed completely and it soon appeared that as far as Mr.
Breen was concerned no reconciliation was possible. The
Committee then left. Upon leaving, Mr. Breen asked one of
the members if he could have a copy of this basis of agree­
ment. He promised to send him a copy. But the Commit­
tee after discussing the matter, decided that it would not be
fair to the Consistory of Madison Ave., which had not been
given a copy, and so notified Mr. Breen, adding that the
Committee was willing to meet with Mr. Breen again if he
so desired, to further elucidate any points concerning which
he was still uncertain. In answer to this notification, the Committee received the following statement: "The floor of the Synod of 1930 seems to be the only place where our problem can be properly adjudicated."

Addenda to the Appeal of the Synod were received by Classis, March 1930, from Mr. Breen, in which he protested against the action of the Committee. Classis placed this matter into the hands of the Committee to draw up a reply to these Addenda, and forward the same to Synod.

Received as information.

The "Basis of Agreement," referred to above, which was drawn up by the Committee of Classis Hackensack, and submitted to the Consistory of Madison Ave. and Mr. Breen, reads as follows:

"The Committee, in its deliberations, has assumed that there is a sincere desire on the part of both parties to come to a mutual understanding, and to have the existing difficulties removed, according to the will of our Lord, in the spirit of real Christian love, so that God's name may be glorified and brotherly love may continue.

"In order to obtain these results, the Committee has carefully and prayerfully considered both sides of the existing difficulties, and, depending upon the sincere desire of the Consistory and Mr. Breen to come to an understanding, the Committee is confident that the difficulties can easily be removed.

"Reviewing the case as it has come to the attention of the Classis, the Committee comes to the conclusion that there are three main difficulties, which in Mr. Breen's appeal take the form of grievances. The first is found in Mr. Breen's appeal, pages 4-6, 11, 18, and 19, in re "Deacon Question."

"A. At the present stage of the controversy there seems to be no essential difference between Mr. Breen and his Consistory. Both sides believe that 'Deacons should not take the leading part in matters of church discipline, or outvote Elders in spiritual matters.' When this question arose, the Consistory emphasized the prevailing custom in our churches and Art. 30 of our Confession, while Mr. Breen
emphasized equally strongly our Church Order and the Form of Ordination. Hence the difficulty.

"The Committee suggests that inasmuch as the Consistory also believes that deacons should not take a leading part in matters of church discipline, it (the Consistory) express this in the presence of Mr. Breen.

[Note: Leading part in this recommendation means that in all matters of discipline, deacons are not excluded from voting—as long as no definite action has been taken either by the Consistory or the congregation to divide the Consistory into two separate bodies of elders and deacons.]

"B. In re 'The Omission in the Minutes of the Consistory of the $400 and the action of the Consistory with respect to it.'"

"It appears that Mr. Breen is inclined to believe that this action was omitted from the Minutes because of 'a charitable motive to conceal my request and to protect my name.' (Appeal, p. 16). The Consistory claims that no formal action was taken, and therefore nothing could appear in the Minutes. The Committee concludes that the Consistory made a technical error. The request to cancel the $400 came to the Consistory in the proper way, through the pastor and through the committee. The Consistory should have taken definite action. And in case definite action was taken, this action should have been recorded in the Minutes. The Consistory should have acted upon the request and recorded its action.

"C. In re 'Remarks of Mr. De Mol.'"

"The whole question of the payment or cancellation of the $400 was informally disposed of by the Consistory when it left the matter "to Mr. Breen and his conscience and his God." If any exception or objection was to be made with respect to this advice, it should have been made at that time. While it was Mr. De Mol's privilege to have his private opinion on the matter, he should not have made the statement which he did make. Mr. De Mol, and in fact everyone, has the right to hold one's private opinion, but we have no right to say what we think at all times. Mr. De Mol had the privilege to protest against any advice or action of the Consistory; and should have done so at the proper time. Since he did not do this, his remarks at a later date were out of place."
"On the other hand, the Committee cannot escape the conclusion that a great deal of the controversy is due to the fact that Mr. Breen reduced his pledge from $600 to $200. A pledge once made in good faith ought to be kept. No Consistory can excuse a person from a moral obligation, once made to the Lord and His cause. Mr. Breen ought to realize that he placed the Consistory in a difficult position, when he asked the Consistory to reduce his pledge, once made in good faith."

Received as information.

C. Advice to Synod.

Your Committee would advise Synod not to sustain Mr. Breen in his appeal to the Synod, but to advise Mr. Breen to submit to the "Basis of Agreement" as drawn up by the Classis of Hackensack with but the change of a single clause in Part "C." Instead of reading: "No Consistory can excuse a person," it should read: "A Consistory cannot ordinarily."

Grounds for this advice:

1. To the first part of the Basis of Agreement which called for an explicit expression by the Consistory in the presence of Mr. Breen that deacons should not take a leading part in matters of church discipline, Mr. Breen has already agreed;

2. To the second part, namely, that the Consistory made a technical error in not taking definite action in a matter that came to the Consistory in a proper way, and that the Consistory should have acted upon the request and have recorded its action. Mr. Breen has also agreed;

3. In regard to the third point to which Mr. Breen did not agree, namely, the matter of the statement of Mr. De Mol, the Classis meets Mr. Breen in his protest by stating, through its Committee, that Mr. De Mol, if he had any objection to the way in which the matter of the $400 was disposed of, should have stated this objection at that time, and added that though a man has a right to his private opinions, he has no right to say what he thinks at all times, and since Mr. De Mol did not protest the action of the Consistory at the proper time, his remark at a later date was out of place;
(4) The only point on which the Committee insisted was the conclusion, that "A great deal of the controversy was due to the fact that Mr. Breen reduced his pledge from $600 to $200, and that a pledge once made in good faith ought to be kept." The Classis did not state that as far as the Consistory was concerned the amount of $400 was still due. It simply fell back on the original answer given Mr. Breen "that it be left to his conscience and his God";

(5) Madison Ave.'s Consistory showed its willingness to become reconciled in every way, as is evident from the fact that they acceded to all the points in the Basis of Agreement submitted by the Committee of Classis. One could therefore the more reasonably expect that Mr. Breen would be willing to accept the last point, the only one requiring any concession on his part.

On motion it is decided to give Mr. Breen the privilege of the floor during the discussion of his case.

After a lengthy discussion, the advice of the Committee is adopted. (Continued in Art. 67.)

ARTIKEL 63

The Committee for the funeral arrangements of Rev. A. J. Brink announces the following:

Burial will take place at Lynden, Wash., the home of Rev. and Mrs. A. J. Brink. A funeral service will be held in the Bates Street Christian Reformed church of Grand Rapids on Friday afternoon at 2 o'clock. The Synodical delegates will meet in the basement of this church, and attend the services in a body. Mrs. Brink leaves the choice of the speakers for this occasion to Synod.

On motion it is decided that Rev. W. P. Van Wyk, as President of Synod, and Rev. A. Guikema, as one of the delegates of Classis Pacific, shall be the speakers, the former in the English, the latter in the Holland language.

It is further decided to appoint the officers of Synod a Committee to express to Mrs. A. J. Brink the sympathy of Synod. (Cf. Art. 75.)

ARTIKEL 64

This session is closed with prayer by Elder W. Bareman.
ELFDE ZITTING, DONDERDAGNAMIDDAG, 19 JUNI

ARTIKEL 65

Psalter No. 237 is sung, and Rev. N. Jansen leads in prayer.

ARTIKEL 66

The Revs. J. L. Heeres and L. Veltkamp are absent for the afternoon. Their alternates are present, the Revs. E. B. Pekelder and J. O. Bouwsma, and at the request of the President express their agreement with the "Public Declaration."

ARTIKEL 67

Continuation of the Report on Protests and Appeals:
II. Protest of Rev. J. S. Dykstra against the decision of the Synod of 1928.

A. The protest is legally before Synod.

Received as information.

B. Your Committee wishes to inform Synod that Rev. Dykstra protests only against the third point of the decision of the Synod of 1928 in regard to his case, which reads: "Verklaart, dat zoo hij in 1930 nog niet in kerkelijken dienst is, daarmede zijn radikaal als predikant vervalt." Also that he bases his protest on the contention that he should be treated as a minister who has been declared Emeritus. He gives three reasons for this contention.

In regard to the first reason, your Committee feels that this cannot be maintained, since the ground for emeritation according to Art. 13 is inability to perform the duties of the office on account of age or sickness.

In regard to the second reason, it is the contention of your Committee that, though Rev. Dyksra has put forth efforts to secure a place of labor in the ministry according to the injunction of the Synod of 1928, he cannot contend that, since he failed to receive a call after having come to be without a charge due to circumstances, that his situation though analogous is identical with the case of a man who, due to physical disability or age, is no longer able to continue his work.
In answer to the third reason, your Committee would state that the Synod of 1928 was not penalizing Rev. Dykstra for supporting himself instead of asking for subsidy but was merely acting according to Art. 13 of the Church Order, which makes no provision for the retaining of the status of a minister in the circumstances in which Rev. Dykstra finds himself, namely, not actively engaged in the work nor declared an Emeritus.

Received as information.

C. Your Committee advises Synod to abide by the action of the Synod of 1928 in regard to the first two points of its decision, and to change point three so as to extend the time given Rev. Dykstra to secure a place of labor from 1930 to 1932. **Reasons:**

1. Rev. Dykstra has been putting forth efforts to secure a call during the last two years, which efforts may bear fruit within the next two years;
2. Rev. Dykstra declares it is his desire to remain in the ministry;
3. The Reformed Churches have always proceeded slowly with the matter of depriving an individual of his status as a minister.

**Adopted.**

III. Request of Consistory of Hospers, Iowa, in regard to divorce case.

A. Brief history of the case.

The Consistory of Hospers applied at the Classis of Orange City for advice in the matter of a divorce case in which one of the members of the Church at Hospers is involved. Classis Orange City referred this matter to the Synod. On May 13, 1930, the Consistory notified our Synod Clerk of Synod, "heel deze echtscheidingszaak terug te trekken, daar de vraag eigenlijk is: mag die vrouw echtscheiding aanvragen op beschuldiging van haar man, dat zij zo goed overspel bedreven hebben, wat zij beslist ontkent en door hem nog niet is bewezen. De meerderheid des Kerkeraads dacht dat dit geen grond was voor echtscheiding volgens Synodaal besluit, en had in dezen geen advies meer nodig."

Received as information.

"withdraw this entire divorce case, since the question really is: may that wife ask for divorce because of the charge of her husband that she had committed adultery, which she definitely denies and has still not been proved by him. The majority of the Consistory thought that this was no ground for divorce according to Synodical decision, and was not in need of advice any more."
B. These documents have come before the Synod in the proper way, and we advise Synod to receive them as information.

Received as information.

C. Your Committee has also received a communication from the Rev. A. B. Voss, pastor of the Church at Hospers, which we have taken into consideration.

Received as information.

D. Your Committee advises as follows: In view of that part of Art. 30 of our Church Order which reads, "In major assemblies only such matters shall be dealt with as could not be finished in minor assemblies," the Committee advises Synod not to enter upon this matter.

Adopted. (Continued in Art. 73.)

ARTIKEL 68

Continuation of the Report on Publication Matters:

Esteeemed Brethren:

The following documents remain to be treated by your Committee:

A. The report of the Committee on Education (Agenda, p. lvii f.f. (Report No. XXIV);

B. Overtures from Classes Grand Rapids East, Sioux Center, and Wisconsin concerning the "abolition of the International Sunday School Lessons and the introduction of a new system of our own" (Agenda, pp. xix-xxiii);

C. The overture from Classes Pella (Agenda, p. xviii) concerning "de noodzakelijkheid om onze jeugd meer onderwijs te geven in de Kerkgeschiedenis";

D. The overture from Classis Sioux Center concerning "het opstellen van een stel Vraagbokjes" (Agenda, p. xviii); "preparing a series of Question Books"

E. Report of the Committee to Investigate whether the American Federation of Labor is a neutral organization (Report No. XVI. Agenda, p. 216 f.f.);

F. Report of the Committee on Christian Social and Industrial Organizations (Report No. XVII, Agenda pp. 231-235);

* "the necessity of giving our youth more instruction in Church History"
G. Report of the Committee for Reformed Literature (Report No. XXIII, Agenda, pp. 264-265) (See Supplement XI);  

H. Report of the Committee on Radio Preaching (Report No. XXI, Agenda, Part II, pp. 245 f.).

Received as information.

A. (1) With Regard to the Report of the Committee on Education your Committee recommends that Synod:

   a) Accept it for information;
   b) express its appreciation of the work of the above Committee;
   c) bring the report to the attention of pastors and consistory councils for consideration.

Adopted. (See Supplement XII.)

(2) Further your Committee calls the attention of Synod to a question which the Committee on Education wishes to lay before your Honorable Body, i.e., that of the possibility and profit of providing a course of pedagogy and practical catechetical methods for prospective ministers (Agenda, p. lxxvii).

Your Committee recommends that Synod refer this matter to the Board of Trustees of Calvin College and Seminary.

Adopted.

(3) Your Committee calls the attention of Synod to the fact that the terms of Rev. L. J. Lamberts and Dr. H. J. Meecher expire, and that a new member must be appointed to fill the vacancy caused by the death of Mr. B. Sevenstr (Agenda, p. lxxviii).

Referred to the Committee on Appointments (cf. Acts 128, 17.)

B. With regard to the overtures of Classes Grand Rapids East, Sioux Center, and Wisconsin in re International Sunday School Lessons (Agenda, pp. xix-xxiii):

Your Committee refers to the decision of Synod of 1928 (Acts of 1928, Art. 46, p. 31, substitute motion at foot of page): "De Synode besluit de zaak van eigen Zondag school lessen te leggen in handen van de ‘Committee on X."

Synod decides to refer the matter of our own Sunday School lessons to the Committee on
Education', to serve Synod of 1930 with advice as to the desirability and possibility of having our own Sunday School lessons."

**Acta Synodi 1930**

Educatie', om de Synode van 1930 te dienen met advies inzake de wenselijkheid en de mogelijkheid van eigen Zondagsschool lessen."

Your Committee recommends that Synod request the Committee on Education to carry out this instruction and report to next Synod.

*Adopted,* with this amendment that the Committee on Education be requested to present at least an outline of the course of lessons they believe ought to be introduced.

C. In re the *Overture of Classis Pella* omtrent “de noodzakelijkheid om onze jeugd meer onderwijs te geven in de Kerkgeschiedenis” (Agenda, p. xviii):

Your Committee recommends that Synod refer Classis Pella to the Report of the Committee on Education for a temporary answer (Agenda, p. lxxii-lxxiv) and refer the overture to the Committee on Education for further consideration.

*Adopted.*

D. In re the *Overture of Classis Sioux Center* in re “het opstellen van een stel vraagboekjes” (Agenda, page xviii f.):

1. In view of the fact that from the Report of the Committee on Education it appears that no such variety exists with regard to books on junior doctrine (Agenda, p. lxi),

Your Committee recommends that Synod take no action with regard to textbooks of this type.

*Adopted.*

2. Since, however, the Report shows that there is need for a thorough course of Bible Study and Sacred History Work (Agenda, p. lxxvi),

Your Committee recommends that the Synod refer to the Committee on Education the matter of devising ways and means to meet the needs as to Sacred History texts, to report to next Synod.

*Adopted.*
E. In re Report of the Committee to investigate whether the American Federation of Labor is a neutral organization (Report No. XVI, Agenda, Part II, pp. 216 f.f.):

Your Committee recommends that Synod:

a) Accept the report of the Committee as information;

b) thank the Committee for its labors;

c) pass the information given by the Committee on to the churches. Your Committee advises Synod not to commit itself on the character of the A. F. of L.

Grounds:

(1) We have no assurance that the A. F. of L. will retain its present character and remain free, e.g., from radical socialism and communism;

(2) If Synod commit itself on the A. F. of L. the danger arises that similar investigation commitment will be requested for other organizations.

Adopted.

F. In re Report of the Committee on Christian Social and Industrial Organizations (Report No. XVII, Agenda, pp. 231-235),

Your Committee recommends that Synod:

a) accept the report for information;

b) thank the above Committee for its labors;

c) accept the conclusions reached in this report (Agenda, pp. 234-235), which read as follows:

"Now it is perfectly clear that the Church can accomplish whatever it may be able to do in this sphere, only with the means entrusted to her, that is, by the faithful preaching of the Word and the judicious exercise of church discipline. But by these means she may accomplish a great deal. She can best promote the organization of Christian labor organizations and of other Christian organizations in the social sphere of life:

(1) By preaching unceasingly and uncompromisingly the biblical principle of the Christian’s separation from the world. The Bible clearly teaches that believers constitute a peculiar people, and that as
a holy people they are in duty bound to separate themselves from all that is unholy, and should not be unequally yoked with unbelievers, but should avoid all social entanglements that might in any way compromise their Christian character and profession;

(2) By setting forth clearly and unequivocally the anti-christian spirit of the Marxian Socialism with its glorification of class hatred, class struggle, and class ethics, and its principle that might makes right; and by placing over against this the great fundamental biblical principles of justice as they apply in the industrial world and ought to be maintained by all those who profess to be followers of Jesus Christ;

(3) By calling particular attention to the principle of corporate responsibility, clearly taught in the Word of God (Acts 2:23, 36; 3:13-15; 2 Cor. 6:14-17; Eph. 5:11; 1 Tim. 5:22; 2 John 11; Rev. 18:4), affirmed by an enlightened Christian conscience, and recognized by sociologists; and by giving a discriminating answer to the question whether and in how far one can relieve himself of this responsibility by protesting;

(4) By exercising discipline in the spirit of love, but nevertheless with a firm hand whenever her members become guilty of propagating un-Christian principles in the world of labor, assume an unbrotherly attitude towards their fellow-Christians, take part in acts of violence, trample upon the fundamental principles of justice, or refuse to break with organizations that are avowedly anti-christian in character, or reveal throughout an anti-christian spirit in their activities.

By working along such lines as these with fidelity, the Church will naturally train the conscience of the laboringmen in her midst, and will make them feel more keenly than they do at present the need of distinctly Christian organizations in the industrial world. If the need is keenly felt and the necessity clearly seen, the laborers themselves will find ways and means for the establishment of such organizations. And when
they do show that they feel within them the urge to organize on a strictly Christian basis, that they are willing to take up the struggle in separate organizations for the sake of their King, and that they are ready for the sacrifices which it may entail — then the Church will undoubtedly find many ways in which it can encourage them in their laudable efforts."

Adopted.


Your Committee recommends that Synod:

a) accept this report for information (Supplement XI);

b) continue this Committee for Reformed Literature;

c) express its approval of the projects of this Committee, viz.:

A. To publish an announcement in our official Church papers to the effect that correspondence is invited from those who have in their possession or who are willing to prepare manuscripts on subjects taken from the list previously approved by Synod. Such manuscripts—if accepted by the Committee—to be published;

B. To offer a prize for writing a book on the subject: “What Our Church Stands for”—especially in the light of present-day problems;

C. To procure for publication in pamphlet form, for the purpose of propaganda, expositions on burning questions of the day, such as Undenominationalism, Law and Grace, Premillennialism, Church Union, Covenant of Grace, Infant Baptism, etc.

Adopted. (Continued in Art. 82.)

ARTIKEL 69

Ds. A. Keizer, als bezoeker ter vergadering aanwezig, sluit op verzoek van den Praeses deze zitting met dankzegging en gebed.

Rev. A. Keizer, visitor at the session, upon invitation of the Chairman, closes this session with thanksgiving and prayer.
TWALFDE ZITTING, VRIJDAGMORGEN, 20 JUNI

ARTIKEL 70

Nadat gezongen is Psalm 118:7, opent Prof. L. Berkhof deze zitting met gebed. (this session with prayer. After Psalm 118:7 in vast Prof. L.Berkhof opens

The roll call shows that all delegates are present except Elder A. De Vries of Classis Hackensack, whose place is filled by his alternate, Elder H. B. Ackerman. At the request of the President this brother expresses his agreement with the “Public Declaration.”

ARTIKEL 71

The Acts of the tenth and the eleventh sessions are read by the Clerk, and approved by Synod.

ARTIKEL 72

Synod now continues the discussion of the Report of the Committee of Preadvice on Protests and Appeals (cf. Art. 67):


A. Into the hands of your Committee was placed a comprehensive document from Rev. W. De Groot, containing, according to his own words, “protests against the decisions of Classis Wisconsin and actions of committees and persons in the matter of the illegal declaration and deposition of two elders, withdrawal of the censure imposed upon members who had given public offence, making it impossible for the Minister and the members of the Consistory to labor according to the Word of God and the Church Order at Racine, the many actions contrary to Church Polity with the resultant schism of the congregation and the consequences thereof.”

This document contains several protests numbered I, A, B, C, D, and E; II, A and B; and III, A, B, and C.

Accompanying this protest was the following documentary evidence:

(1) Answer of C. Kuiper to the Consistory after the suspension and before the deposition of the Consistories of Racine and Kenosha, dated May 2, 1928.
(2) Criticism of C. Kuiper's answer, given between suspension and deposition. Not dated or signed.

(3) Unsigned writing (evidently from Rev. De Groot) addressed to the Consistory of the Christian Reformed Church at Sheboygan, Wisconsin, dated Aug. 12, 1929.


(6) A document with the superscription, "Wat ik op de Classis Heb Gebracht voor Oct. Classis in 1929." Also this document is evidently from Rev. De Groot, though it is not signed nor dated.

(7) Brief report of three consistory meetings held at Racine under auspices of a committee from the Consistory of the Christian Reformed Church of Sheboygan. Only the date of the last meeting is given, May 27, 1929.

(8) Accurate copy of the document which D. K. Bergema, G. Zwaga, and H. C. Derksen submitted at the meeting of May 27, 1929.

(9) A writing of Rev. De Groot to the Classis of Wisconsin, convening at Alto April 1, 1930, and following days.

(10) Copy of the minutes of Classis Wisconsin, in session at Waupun, 1928, insofar as they bear on the case of Racine.

(11) A writing addressed to Dr. Beets as Stated Clerk of the Synod, dated May 8, 1930.


* "What I have presented to Classis for the October Classis in 1929."
This last named letter declares that the protest of Rev. De Groot should not be considered by Synod for the following reasons:

a) He (Rev. De Groot) refers in his protest to a time before the reconciliation. Rev. De Groot several times admitted guilt in the deposition of two elders, asked forgiveness for his errors, and as a result, a reconciliation with the consistory was made again and again.

b) He again and again, when attempts were made by Classis and the Synodical Deputies to find a way of of the difficulties, expressed his satisfaction with this;

c) He never, when a decision had been made, expressed his intention of protesting the decision, but when the work at Plover did not suit him, he drew up a protest against all that had occurred;

d) He has not in the least cooperated with those, who as much as possible to guard the congregation against schism and scattering, but through his influences contributed greatly to the formation of a Reformed church at Racine;

e) He has broken all official relationship with the Reformed Churches, and thus has lost the right of appeal to Synod. His protest does not concern an injury perpetrated against him as a member;

f) He has himself drawn up the formula for abdicating his office and supported the objections which the Classis had against the desired separation.

B. Your Committee does not share the opinion of Classis Wisconsin that Rev. De Groot, because he was released from official work in the Christian Reformed Church, has lost thereby his right to protest in the matter of an injury done him as an office-bearer. He is still a member of the Church, and as such possesses the right of protest. In addition, he stood unassailed in the office at the time he appealed to the Synod in 1929. Then, too, the protests of Rev. De Groot pertain largely to irregularities in the church life at
Racine and actions by the Consistory, Classical Committees, and Classis itself supposedly contrary to church polity, and not in the first place to injury done him as a minister.

Your Committee therefore advises Synod to declare that Rev. W. De Groot has the right of appeal to Synod.

Adopted.

On motion it is decided to give Rev. De Groot the privilege on the floor during the discussion of his case.

C. Notwithstanding the above, your Committee is of the opinion that the Synod cannot enter upon the protests of Rev. De Groot, and that on account of the following considerations:

(1) Protests I, A-E. These five protests all pertain to acts and decisions of Classis Wisconsin in its meeting held at Sheboygan in August, 1928. Your Committee advises Synod to declare that these protests are formally not in order. *Grounds:*

a) At the meeting of Classis at Oostburg, Dec. 11, 1928, Rev. De Groot withdrew all the objections which he had raised against several actions of Classis Wisconsin in session at Sheboygan. (Cf. Minutes of-August, 1928, Art. 28, point 6.

b) Rev. De Groot did not notify Classis Wisconsin of his appeal to Synod until the meeting of Classis at Vesper, Oct. 8 and 9, 1929, i.e., more than a year after the acts and decisions to which exception is taken in these protests, and even then did not specify that his appeal would also pertain to the acts and decisions of Classis in its meeting at Sheboygan, August, 1928. Classis could learn this only from the protest sent to it at its meeting at Alto, April 1 and 2, 1930.

Adopted.

(2) Protests II, A-B. These two protests are similar in that they are both directed against persons, the Revs. Borgman, Terpsma, and others. These men served the Classis in various capacities and official functions. Your Commit-
tee advises Synod to declare that these protests are formally not in order. **Grounds:**

a) These protests concern the work done by ministers while they were serving the Classis in various capacities and as such should be addressed to Classis;

b) If these protests were first brought to Classis (your Committee could not determine from the Minutes whether they were or not), Classis certainly did not, Classis certainly did not act on them and answer them;

c) And even if Classis had acted on them, but in a manner that did not give Rev. De Groot satisfaction, he should have come to Synod with a protest against Classis on this score.

**Adopted.**

(3) Protest III, A. This protest concerns the acts and decisions of Classis Wisconsin at its meeting at Waupun, Wis., April 9-11, 1929, more particularly against the decision that Rev. De Groot should labor at Plover for a period of six months. Your Committee advises Synod to declare that this protest is formally not in order. **Grounds:**

a) Rev. De Groot did not protest against this decision on the classical meeting at Waupun. It was only after he had started his labors there that he informed the Consistory of Sheboygan that he did the work at Plover under protest. This Consistory reported that to Classis at its meeting in Vesper Oct. 8 and 9, 1929;

b) He even informed the meeting, where the decision was taken, that if his helpmeet offered no objections, he would accept the transfer. (Minutes of April 9-11, Art. 40.)

**Adopted.**

(4) Protest III, B. This protest is also against a decision of Classis at Waupun, and particularly against “het plaatsen van Racine onder de curateele van Sheboygan, en tegen de wijze waarop deze curateele geoeefend werd.” Your Committee advises Synod to declare that this protest is formally not in order. **Grounds:**

a) The decision respecting this guardianship (curateele) was involved in the decision to transfer Rev. De Groot
to Plover for six months, against which Rev. De Groot did not protest at Classis;

b) In so far as this protest pertains to the manner in which this guardianship was exercised, it belongs to Classis, and it does not appear that a protest with reference to that matter was received and acted on there.

Adopted.

[Note: Rev. N. Jansen, one of the delegates of Classis Wisconsin, wishes to have it recorded that in the meetings of your Committee of Preadvice he did not vote in any of the matters pertaining to the case of Rev. W. De Groot.]

Received as information. (Continued in Art. 76.)

ARTICLE 74

Elder P. Nieveen closes this session with prayer.

THIRTEENTH SESSION; FRIDAY AFTERNOON, JUNE 20

DERTIENDE ZITTING, VRIJDAGMIDDAG, 20 JUNI

ARTIKEL 75

At two o'clock Synod met in the Bates St. Christian Reformed church to hold the service in memory of the Rev. A. J. Brink. The service was conducted by the Rev. H. Vander Ploeg, who led in prayer; the Rev. W. P. Van Wyk, who spoke on Psalm 73:23b, 24; the Rev. A. Guikema, who spoke on Psalm 39:5; and the Rev. J. Bolt, who closed with prayer and thanksgiving.

At four o'clock Synod met again in regular session, the Rev. J. Beebe leading in prayer.

ARTIKEL 76

Synod now continues the discussion of the Report of the Committee of Preadvice in re the appeal of the Rev. W. De Groot (Cf. Art. 73):

(5) Protest III. C. This protest pertains to several acts and decisions of Classis in its meeting at Vesper, Oct. 8, 9, 1929, as “huijensluiting van den predikant, aanwezigheid der deputaten, indienen van het voorstel tot losmaking,” etc. Because Rev. De Groot at this meeting apprised Classis of his intention to appeal to Synod, your
committee hesitates to advise Synod to declare that this protest is formally not in order. It would prefer to leave this matter entirely to Synod, though it doubts the propriety of declaring even this protest in order, for:

1. Rev. De Groot did not protest against the actions of Classis to which he refers in his protest;
2. The notice of his intention to appeal to Synod had been sent to the Stated Clerk of Classis before Classis convened at Vesper and therefore could not pertain to what took place at that meeting; and
3. Rev. De Groot voluntarily asked for an honorable discharge from the official relation in which he stood to the church at Racine, and thereby virtually effected the very thing aimed at in the motion "to lossmaking," against which Rev. De Groot now protests.

A motion to declare this protest formally not in order on the grounds as here given is rejected.

Synod decides to refer this protest to the Committee of Preadvice with instructions to enter upon the matter contained in it, and to advise Synod in regard to the same. (Cf. Art. 120.)

ARTIKEL 77
Synod now goes into executive session.

ARTIKEL 78
Decided to adjourn until Monday afternoon at 1:30 o'clock. Dr. C. Bouma closes this session with prayer.

FOURTEENTH SESSION, MONDAY AFTERNOON, JUNE 23
VEERTIENDE ZITTING, MAANDAGNAMIDDAG, 23 JUNI

ARTIKEL 79
Nadat No. 141 van de Christian Hymnal gezongen is, opent Ds. A. Guikema deze zitting met gebed.

ARTIKEL 80
Bij het appèl blijkt dat alle afgevaardigden tegenwoordig zijn behalve Oud. J. G. De Vries van Classis Illinois, wiens plaats wordt ingenomen door zijn secundus, Oud. H.

The roll call shows that all delegates are present except Elder J.G. De Vries of Classis Illinois, whose place is taken by his secundus, Elder H.
Bulthuis. Last named expresses his agreement with the Public Declaration.

ARTIKEL 81

De Acta van de twaalfde en de dertiende zitting worden met een kleine correctie goedgekeurd.

Minutes of 12th and 13th sessions are read and with a minor correction are approved.

ARTIKEL 82


Continuation of the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice on Publication Matters (cf. Art. 68):

H. In re Report of Committee on Radio Preaching (Report No. XXI, Agenda, Part II, pp. 245 f.f.):

Your Committee recommends:

a) That Synod accept this report as information with thanks;
So decided.

b) That Synod approve the recommendation given under A, p. 245, on the grounds there given;

c) That Synod approve the plan of action proposed by the Committee under B, pp. 246, 247; and

(1) Appoint a permanent Radio Committee and invest it with power to act to plan and operate according to needs, and as opportunities arise, as further proposed by the Committee under 3, 4, 5, and 6;

(2) Give this Committee the right to ask free-will offerings from the churches.

Instead of the advice of the Committee, Synod adopts a motion to leave Radio preaching to the local initiative of the various Classes.

ARTIKEL 83

Continuation of the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice on Matters of Church Order, Emeriti, etc. (Cf. Art. 57):

VI. The Report of the Emeritus Board.

Your Committee advises Synod to accept this report for information.
So decided. (Supplement VI.)
Matters in this report that require action on the part of Synod are the following:

(1) Your Committee advises Synod to approve of the granting of honorable emeritation to the brethren:
   Rev. P. Jonker, Sr., by Classis Illinois.
   Rev. H. J. Heynen, by Classis Zeeland.
   Rev. J. G. Vande Lune, by Classis Orange City.
   Rev. J. J. Dyk, by Classis Muskegon
   Approved.

(2) Classis Grand Rapids West requests the emeritalon of Rev. P. Steen on the ground of continued illness, the emeritation to begin June 1, 1930. The Classis recommends Rev. Steen to Synod for aid to the extent of $1,000 per annum with $200 extra for the first year because of special circumstances. Your Committee advises Synod to grant this request.
   Approved.

(3) Your Committee advises Synod to approve of the action of the Board, granting temporary subsidies as follows:

   Rev. E. J. Tuuk ............ $1,000.00
   Rev. H. Kamps ............. 1,000.00
   Rev. H. J. Heynen .......... 1,000.00
   Rev. P. Kosten ............ 800.00
   Rev. J. J. Dyk, $500 extra. and ........ 1,000.00
   Rev. S. S. Vander Heide 1,000.00
   Rev. P. Jonker, Sr....... 1,000.00
   Mrs. C. Bode ............. $ 500.00
   Mrs. N. Gelderloos ....... 1,000.00
   Mrs. J. C. Kruihof ......... 500.00
   Mrs. S. S. Vander Heide 600.00
   Mrs. B. Nagel ............. 300.00
   Rev. J. G. Vande Lune 1,200.00

   Approved.

(4) The Board informs Synod that those who were removed from our midst by death are Mrs. J. Post, Mrs. P. Schut, Rev. H. Van Wesp, and Rev. S. S. Vander Heide.
   Received as information.

(5) The Board also makes mention of the fact that one of its members, Mr. B. Sevensman, has passed away, and that his alternate, Mr. H. Hekman, was requested to take his place.
   Received as information.
(6) The Board further calls attention to the fact that three board members and their alternates are to be appointed by this Synod. The retiring members are Revs. H. M. Vander Ploeg, J. O. Vos, and Mr. W. Barends. Their respective alternates are Revs. J. O. Bouwsma, P. Jonker, Sr., and Mr. M. Holtjus. An alternate for Mr. H. Hekman will also have to be appointed. Your Committee advises Synod to refer this matter to the Committee on Appointments. (Art. 128, 5.)

Adopted.

(7) In connection with the financial statement found in the Report of the Board (Supplement VIII), your Committee advises Synod to strongly urge Classes that are in arrears to pay up their indebtedness to this Fund as soon as possible.

Adopted with this amendment that the Stated Clerk of Synod be instructed to write to these Classes in regard to this matter.

Decided to discuss the remaining part of this report in regard to the Emeriti in executive session.

(8) Your Committee advises Synod to approve of the following subsidies as advised by the Board:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. P. W. De Jonge</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Wengaarden</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. J. Dyk</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. G. Vande Lune</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. P. J. Hoekenga</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. J. Haveman</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. H. Tuls</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. H. J. Haarstra</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. N. Gelderloos</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. A. J. Brink</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. A. W. Meyer</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. E. Van Korlaar</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. T. W. R. Van Loos</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Keizer</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. A. Keizer</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. R. Vande Kieft</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. W. Kolle</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. K. Poppe</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Gulker</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. B. Vanden Hoek</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. A. Gerritsen</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rev. P. Kosten        $800.00
Mrs. F. Stuart        $800.00
Mrs. M. Vander Heide  $800.00
Mrs. H. Heyns         $700.00
Mrs. J. H. Groeseling $700.00
Rev. F. Welander      $600.00
Mrs. M. J. Booma      $600.00
Mrs. Benj. Post       $600.00
Mrs. D. R. Drucker    $600.00
Mrs. P. Van Vleit    $600.00
Mrs. A. Dekker        $600.00
Mrs. C. Cooper        $600.00
Mrs. E. Breen         $600.00
Mrs. J. Groen         $600.00
Mrs. G. L. Hoekker    $600.00
Mrs. F. J. Drost      $600.00
Mrs. S. S. Vander Heide $600.00
Rev. D. Weidenaar     $550.00
Mrs. H. Temple        $500.00
Mrs. J. E. Jonkman    $500.00
Mrs. J. Vissia        $500.00
(9) The Board also calls attention to the fact that this Fund faces a deficit unless the assessment be raised from $2.00 to $2.25 per family. In regard to which your Committee offers this twofold advice:

a) That Synod declare that each Classis, in accordance with Articles 4 and 5 of the Rules for the Emeritus Board, should be very diligent in inquiring whether the subsidy asked in each case is actually needed;

b) That Synod decide not to raise the assessment to $2.25 per family, but to leave the making up of the deficiency to the following means:

(1) That Classes in arrears pay their indebtedness;

(2) That Classes carry out the instruction given under a) above.

Adopted.

VII. The following matters were also referred to your Committee for advice:

(1) Classis Grand Rapids East requests Synod to cancel the debt which the Church of Chatham owes to the Emeritus Fund. The amount is $104.08. The reasons given are that said Church finds itself in financial difficulties, according to an accompanying letter, and said Church is a mission church of Synod.

Your Committee advises Synod not to accede to the request of Classis Grand Rapids East, but to declare that according to Acts of Synod 1926, page 73, in a case of this kind, the Classis to which such church belongs, is to be held responsible for the debt.

Adopted.
(2) Overture of Classis Ostfriesland (Agenda, Part II, p. xxx), which reads as follows: "Classis overtures Synod to add to Article 5 of the present Constitution for the Emeritus Fund: 'Every synodical year the consistories shall state what is needed for the support of the emeriti, widows and orphans under their supervision.'

Your Committee advises Synod to accede to the overture of Classis Ostfriesland and add the proposed rule to Art. 5 of Rules for the Emeritus Board. Adopted.

(3) Overture of Classis Zeeland, which could not be placed on the Agenda since this action was taken by the Classis on May 14, 1930. The overture reads as follows:

"Synod appoint a Committee to study whether there cannot be found a more satisfying method for the support of emeriti ministers and ministers' widows and orphans. Grounds:

a) There is much dissatisfaction regarding the present method;

b) The debt of 12 Classes to the Emeritus Fund is in arrears in the amount of fully $16,000.00, according to the report of the Emeritus Board at the last Synod."

In regard to said overture your Committee submits two reports, one of the majority and one of the minority.

Synod decides to adopt the minority report, which reads as follows:

"We would advise Synod to act according to the proposal of Classis Zeeland, and we would further advise that at least a majority of the Committee be appointed be Elders or former Elders with business ability. Grounds:

'There is considerable difference of opinion concerning the principle on which support is granted from this Fund. This difference of opinion exists not only among the laity but among the ministers and leaders as well. Some consider the principle to be practically the same as a pension, while others consider it to be a providing in the needs of the incapacitated ministers, their widows, and orphans to the extent that they are not able to provide for themselves. It is, therefore, not to be wondered at, where the conception of the underlying principle is so divergent, that the
practical application of such principle shall also vary greatly. It is therefore very urgent that the advice of Classis Zeeland be carried out so that this whole matter be studied and a complete report with recommendations be presented to our next Synod, so that all of our people will have a clear conception concerning this matter and that in consequence of the same we may come to a more happy and satisfactory execution of the principle which we shall adopt." (See Committee appointed, Art. 128, 18.)
(Continued in Article 93.)

ARTIKEL 84

Synod now continues the discussion of the Report of the Committee of Preadvice on Varia (cf. Art. 45):

10. "Baptism of Adopted Children."

A. Your Committee advises Synod:

(1) To accept the report, as found on pages 111-148, Part I of the Agenda as information.

So decided.

(2) To express its appreciation for the efficient way in which the Committee has accomplished its task.

So decided.

B. Your Committee also wishes to confine itself to the consideration of the problem as expressed in the Report on page 113, last part of d) where the problem is definitely stated: "Het punt dat onderzocht moet worden is derhalve, of Vondelingen, van wie afkomst men niets weet, maar die door gelovigen als hun kinderen zijn aangenomen, beschouwd kunnen worden als door God in Zijn Verbond opgenomen." We include in our report not only "Vondelingen," but all children whose past is unknown, or who were not born of believing parents, but who are adopted by believers. Reasons:

"**Foundlings"

(1) This sentence clearly defines the problem;
(2) Synod will be better prepared to decide this problem if many non-essential arguments and considerations are omitted.

Your Committee advises to approve of this.

Approved.

"The point that must be investigated therefore, is whether foundlings, of whose origin one knows nothing, but who are adopted by believers as their children, can be viewed as included by God in His Covenant."
C. Your Committee now presents to Synod the following considerations as grounds for its advice:

(1) The Covenant of grace is in essence the same in the Old and New Testament dispensation. There is only one Covenant of Grace, announced to Adam and Eve in Paradise, formally established with Abraham and continued until the present day. There have been different forms or dispensations, but all Reformed theologians agree that in essence the Covenant of Grace in both Old and New Testaments is the same. The Covenant which is mentioned in Heb. 7 is not the Covenant of Grace, as is evident from verse 9: "Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt." The covenant here mentioned is of Sinai, national, destined to disappear and to be replaced by a Letter (see verses 7 and 8). The Covenant of Grace established with Abraham is an everlasting Covenant (see also Form of Baptism: "An eternal Covenant of Grace").

(2) Baptism has come in the place of circumcision. It is not necessary to elaborate upon this ground. This doctrine has no uncertainty among us. It is clearly stated in our Form of Baptism; it is one of the strongest arguments for infant baptism; it is based upon Scripture. It is the New Testament Sacrament of the Covenant of Grace, as Circumcision was in the Old Testament.

(3) The ground for circumcision must therefore also be the ground for Baptism. In other words, the ground for both is the same. If for the same Covenant the Lord had intended to have different grounds, He certainly would have instructed His Church accordingly. This He did not do. There is no direct command in Scripture to baptize children. The Lord has given sufficient instruction in the Old Testament with respect to the Sacrament to be administered to those that entered into God’s Covenant. This also is one of our strong defenses for infant baptism. (Cat., Lord’s Day 27; Belgic Confession, Art. 34.)

(4) Instructions as to the administration, as well as to the parties to whom the Sacrament is to be administered, must be found in the Divine Command when God established the Covenant.
Accordingly we refer to the institution as given in Gen. 17:10-13.

What are the Divine instructions?

a) It is established with Abraham and his seed.

b) It includes those born in his house, or bought with money of any stranger.

Verse 12 is important. All those that are to receive the Sacrament are mentioned in one sentence, separated by a comma. They are coordinated. And they all must be regarded as members of the Covenant. The Lord certainly would not give any one the holy Sacrament, the seal of the promise, unless they were entitled to it.

c) Not only Abraham's seed, but also some that are "not of his seed" are to be circumcised. Such is the Divine command.

d) The Covenant of Grace here established with Abraham includes therefore all that are in Abraham's house. The ground is not the biological ground; not only the descendants after the flesh. Also others that belonged to Abraham's house are included. That all Abraham's slaves, even those bought from strangers, were believers is possible, although not probable. And because it is not certain, it can not be used as an argument either for or against. Only the simple, plain Divine instruction can serve and must serve as a ground.

(5) The principle that God includes in His Covenant, not only the head of the family, but through him his whole house, the whole family, is evident:

a) From other passages of the Old Testament as Ex. 12:43-45, where it is ordained with respect to the Passover, that servants bought for money (hence belonging to the house) shall eat of the Passover after being circumcised, but, vs. 45, a foreigner and a stranger (hence not belonging to the house) shall not eat thereof. It is here a question of ownership.

b) From similar passages, which indicate the same principle, in the New Testament, as Acts 10:44; Acts 16:31; Acts 16:15. House and household are the terms used repeatedly. That again does not prove that there were
slaves, but it would also be difficult to prove that there were none. The principle is here at least established, or re-affirmed, that the whole house receives the Sacrament when the head of the family becomes a believer.

c) From the principle, which is thoroughly Biblical and therefore Calvinistic, that all what a believer is and all that he possesses is sanctified unto the Lord.

(6) The Church has from the very beginning of its history followed this same principle.

a) It does not follow that, because the Church observed a custom or principle, it is right. But the fact that the New Testament follows the terminology and practice of the Old Testament with respect to the Covenant sacrament; and that the Church succeeding the Apostolic times again followed the example of the Apostles, indicates that the line followed is the correct one. We are informed that the Church of the first three or four centuries had no scruples to baptize children whose parents were unknown. (See quotation of Joseph Bingham, "Origenes Ecclesiasticae," pages 125 and 126 of Synodical Report).

b) The opinion of Reformed theologians are mentioned in the Synodical Report (pages 127-131) and again mentioned on page 136. We find ourselves indeed in good company.

(7) By the adoption of a child a more intimate relation is established than the relation between a master and his slaves.

According to the Divine Institution the physical is not the basis of the continuation of the Covenant, although it is the ordinary way in which it is perpetuated. Children adopted by believing parents thereby become not only part of, but members of that family. They are thereby by an act of God's Providence led into the fellowship of a Christian home where the promise of God is assured. It is not man here who brings such a child into the Covenant. Man never does that. God alone brings into that blessed relationship. It is always an act of the Lord Himself. If slaves, born in Abraham's house, and bought of strangers, were to receive the Sacrament of circumcision, the Old Testament Sacra-
ment of the Covenant of Grace, much more should children, adopted by believers as their own children, and sharing the blessings of that fellowship be baptized.

Therefore, your Committee advises Synod to answer the question “whether children who were not born of believing parents, but who are adopted by believers, may be baptized,” in the affirmative.

Adopted.

Rev. H. Keegstra, Dr. Y. P. De Jong, and Elder Charles Vander Kooi request that their negative vote on this matter be recorded in the Acta.

The Synodical Treasurer’s report is at hand. The report is in excellent condition. Your Committee advises:

a) To have the report read;
b) To accept it as information;
c) To reduce the assessment from 70c to 50c per family, as suggested by the Treasurer.

Adopted. (See Supplement I. B.)

ARTIKEL 87

In regard to the re-imbursement of traveling expenses of Synodical delegates, Synod decides to adopt the same rules as in 1928. (See Acta Synodi 1928, Art. 61, p. 49.)

ARTIKEL 86

This session is closed with prayer by Elder A. Peters.

FIFTEENTH SESSION, TUESDAY MORNING, JUNE 24

ARTICLE 87

Psalm 86:6 is sung, after which Rev. G.A. Lyzinga opens the session with prayer.

ARTICLE 88

The roll is called, all delegates are present except Mr. J. Hekman, whose place is taken by the secundus delegage, Dr. G.
Broedman; and Dr. G. Heyns, whose place is taken by the secundus delegate, Rev. J.O. Bouwsma.

ARTICLE 89

The Minutes of the fourteenth session are read and approved.

ARTIKEL 90

Synod now takes in hand the Revised Report of the Committee of Preadvise on the Introduction of Hymns, etc. (Cf. Art. 48):

"ESTEEMED BRETHREN:

This revised report takes up the matter of the introduction of hymns at I, B, 3 of the original report. The following recommendations are hereby submitted:

(3) Relative to the overture of the Classis of Zeeland (Agenda II, p. XXXIII) the Committee advises Synod not to grant the request contained in the overture. Reasons:

a) The fear of excessive haste in this matter will be met by the recommendations of the Committee (See below 6 and 7).

b) Sufficient light on this matter has been afforded by the advisory committee to warrant some action by Synod.

c) Synod is the major assembly designated by Article 30 of the Church Order to deal with matters concerning the churches in common.

Adopted.

(4) Your Committee informs Synod that certain considerations have led the Committee to the recommendations found below. These considerations are:

a) Two important statements by the Advisory Committee on the Introduction of Hymns.

I. Agenda I, p.195, paragraph 4: Where the Psalms in the Old Testament were intentionally given for public worship and used thus, (see e.g. Ps. 51:1; 52:1; 53:1, etc.) and where they do not belong to matters abolished by the New Testament, but the divine authority and continuing value of them is specifically acknowledged (Lk.20:42; 24:44; Acts 1:20;13:33,35), there
it must be viewed by us as a principle founded upon God's Word, shall be acknowledged and maintained, that Psalm singing must always constitute an element in our public worship. Not that it would follow from this that our singing must be exclusively Psalm singing, but it does follow that a service without Psalm singing would in that respect be in conflict with the purpose of God as revealed in His Word."

II. Agenda I, p.197, paragraph 1: If it is stated that there are no Hymns that can equal the Psalms in speaking out of the depths of the inner life, of all manner of need and misery, of dismay and agony of soul, of humiliation before God, of struggling and triumphant faith, of praise and glorifying of God, we wholeheartedly agree. Therefore we would not think of giving up the Psalms. But such speaking out of the depths of spiritual life is not, however, the only virtue that the songs of the church can have. It will have to be acknowledged that a song that speaks the language of the New Testament revelation of redemption, has its own virtue, which the Psalms could not have. Therefore we must have both, without elevating the one at the expense of the other, or rejecting the one because it has a different character than the other. Both are to be appreciated as complementing each other."

b) We are nowhere forbidden in Scripture directly or indirectly to sing hymns in our public worship. In case they were forbidden, Article 69 of our Church Order which approves the use of a small number of hymns would be contrary to Scripture.

c) The New Testament Church has the right, as in preaching and prayer, so also in song, to make its own formulation of the truth of God's Word; the essential requirement being, that the truth be expressed, regardless of whether or not the words of Scripture are used literally.

d) Because of the progress of revelation, which means that many truths are more clearly and more fully revealed in the New Testament than in the Old, there are truths concerning which we cannot adequately sing in the language of the Old Testament Psalms (e.g. the Trinity, Baptism, Communion, Gospel Invitation, etc.). Moreover, there are certain New Testament facts (e.g. birth
of Christ, His crucifixion and resurrection, and the outpouring of the Holy Spirit) of which, when we use Psalms exclusively we can sing only in the veiled language of prophecy.

e) There is no difference in principle between hymns which are versifications of passages of the New Testament and hymns which are free poetical renderings of New Testament truths. They have equally a place in the singing of our churches, as is indicated by Article 69 of the Church Order. In that article five of the first kind of hymns are designated and four of the second.

f) Our Church Order (Art. 69) prescribes the use, not only of the 150 psalms of David, but also of nine hymns. Thereby the concession is made that no objection to the principle of using hymns in public worship can be validly raised. This also explains why objections from the point of view of principle have never yet been made by any Synod of the Reformed Churches in the Netherlands or by any Synod of our own Church. In fact, our Synod (1896) has plainly shown itself to have no objection to the principle of using hymns in public worship, because to the Classis of Hackensack was conceded the right to use 192 hymns (called the Fifty-two Catechism Hymns).

g) Our Synod in 1928 definitely committed itself in the matter of the principle involved by deciding as follows: “Although the Synod does, from the point of view of principle, not object to the introduction of hymns into our public worship, . . . .” (Acta 1928, p. 48).

h) Synod in 1928 was concerned about objections of a practical or of a historical nature. Objections of this nature must be explained largely from the fact that in the days preceding the Secession in the Netherlands, a collection of hymns, some of which were unsound in doctrine, was forced upon the churches and that every congregation was compelled to sing these hymns (Agenda T, pp. 202, 203).

i) Not only among us but also among the Reformed Churches in the Netherlands, a movement to have a larger number of hymns in public worship is advancing. A committee, whose membership includes some of the leading men, has prepared a report favoring the introduction of more hymns and will submit this report to their Synod this summer.

Received as information.
5. In view of these considerations the committee advises Synod that:

Just as Synod in 1928 declared itself to have no objection from the point of view of principle to the introduction of more hymns, this Synod declares that the objections of a practical or of a historical nature to the introduction of more hymns, do not justify a refusal of the request of the Classes of Grand Rapids East, Hackensack and Ostfriesland. Your committee therefore advises Synod to amend Article 69 of our Church Order in such a way that a synodically approved collection of hymns may be added to the nine hymns named in this Article, and to take steps to procure such a collection which may be used in our public worship.

Adopted.

6. Your Committee further advises Synod that in order to comply with the request of these three Classes the following steps be taken:

a) that the advisory committee be continued;

Adopted.

b) that the advisory committee be instructed to formulate a revision of Article 69 in such a way that the singing of the 150 Psalms of David shall remain a requirement for our public worship but that our churches shall have the freedom also to sing a greater number of synodically approved hymns than is now permitted, this formulation to be presented to the next Synod;

Adopted.

c) that the advisory committee be instructed to select from the 197 hymns what they shall deem to be the minimum number of hymns that will satisfy the needs of the churches as set forth above under 4d; and in case the advisory committee cannot find a satisfactory number among the 197 hymns, that the committee be permitted to seek elsewhere;

Adopted.

d) that the advisory committee be instructed again to test such minimum number of hymns from the point of view of the following requirements: doctrinal soundness, New Testament character, dignity and depth of devotional spirit, and clearness and beauty of expression; and that
throughout this testing the Committee keep in mind the criticism and suggestions concerning the 197 hymns, that have been submitted to the advisory committee.

Adopted.

e) that the report of the selection (see "c" above) by the advisory committee shall be distributed in printed form to the consistories at least nine months before the next Synod.

Adopted.

(7) Your Committee advises Synod to declare that the use of hymns shall not be made compulsory upon the churches.

Adopted.

(8) Your Committee advises Synod to declare that by accepting decisions 6 and 7 Synod has complied with the overtures of the Classes of Illinois and Pella as far as is possible at the stage to which the movement for the introduction of a greater number of hymns has now advanced.

The Overture by Classis Illinois reads as follows: “Classis Illinois informs Synod that it favors the adoption of a collection of hymns for congregational singing. Classis is convinced, however, that our Church should remain chiefly a psalm-singing Church. It would strongly disapprove the introduction of a very large number of hymns. It urges Synod to adopt only such hymns as are specifically New Testament in spirit and language.”

The Overture by Classis Pella reads as follows: “Classis Pella dringt er bij de Synode op aan er voor te waken dat bij een eventuele invoering van het vrije lied, het Psalmgezang niet verwaarloosd en de Psalmschat niet begraven worde.”

Adopted.

(9) Relative to the Overture of the Consistory of the First Church of South Holland, Ill., your committee advises Synod:

a) in reply to ground “a” to state that the unity of the two Testaments is plainly taught in Scripture and firmly believed among us, but that to recognize a difference between the two does not deny this unity. The unity of Scripture can be consistently maintained only when we

* "Classis Pella urges Synod to be alert so that with an eventual introduction of free songs, Psalm singing will not be neglected and the Psal treasure not be buried."
recognize and honor the fact that God has revealed Himself progressively to man in Scripture.

Adopted.

b) In reply to ground "b" to state that all are agreed that Christ is found in the O. T. Psalter, but only prophetically; and that it is quite consistent with a deep spiritual life to sing and worship in terms of fulfillment as well as in terms of prophecy.

Adopted.

c) In reply to ground "c" to state that it is readily admitted that the meaning of the Spirit often times went beyond the understanding of the human authors; but that this does not mean that we are justified to read the New Testament into the Old. This would be equivalent to saying that after the Psalter was given, further revelation was virtually superfluous.

Adopted.

d) In reply to ground "d" to state that the synodical decision regarding the more extensive use of hymns in our public worship has taken history into account. It may be true that hymns have in other denominations crowded the psalms to the background. The causes for this situation are, we believe, not inherent in the singing of hymns.

Adopted.

e) In reply to ground "e" to state that the issue is not one of the comparative depth of psalms and hymns but instead, whether or not we should have some New Testament hymns to supplement the Old Testament Psalms.

Adopted.

f) In reply to ground "f" to refer the consistory to the consideration above under 4 f, h.

Adopted.

g) In reply to ground "g" to state that it contains assertions for which no proof is offered. First, the Consistory proceeds from the assumption (without offering proof) that chiefly among the English-speaking churches an aversion to the Psalter exists, and that from this aversion arises the desire for hymn. Secondly, the Consistory,
proceeding from this unproven assumption, asserts (again without proof) the conclusion that estrangement between the English-speaking and the Holland-speaking churches must necessarily follow the introduction of more hymns. 

Adopted.

h) In reply to ground “h,” to state that unfamiliarity with the Psalter is no argument against the introduction of hymns if the desirability of the introduction of a larger number of hymns has been established on sufficiently weighty grounds. Moreover, the familiarity with the Psalter always depends on whether in Catechism and Sunday School our Psalter is taught.

Adopted.

(10) Relative to the Overture of the Consistory of Highland, Ind., your Committee advises Synod as follows:

a) In reply to ground “a,” to refer to considerations under 4 a, f.

Adopted.

b) In reply to ground “b,” to refer to considerations above: 4 f and h. Moreover, whatever the Scripture passages cited by Highland may prove, they do not prove that God commands us to sing Psalms exclusively in our public worship.

Adopted.

c) In reply to ground “c,” to state that the decisions of Synod will no doubt introduce a period of adaptation. During this period there will be of necessity some differences between the various churches, but these differences need not cause confusion.

Adopted.

d) In reply to ground “d,” to state that the objection is answered in part by the considerations above: 4 f and h. As for the elements in d not satisfied by these considerations, no reply is necessary since the consistory of Highland has not submitted sufficient proof to establish them.

Adopted.

III. Relative to the Matter of Choirs.

A. The committee informs Synod that there is legally upon the table the report of an Advisory Committee on Choirs (Agenda II, p. 211ff).

Adopted as information.
B. Your committee advises Synod to decide as follows:

Though the introduction of choir-singing is left to the discretion of the consistory, Synod nevertheless discourages choir-singing as a distinct element of public worship on the following grounds:

(1) The danger exists that congregational singing shall be curtailed.

(2) If the choir sings separately there is the difficulty of maintaining the principle of Article 69 of the Church Order.

In cases where choirs exist or shall be introduced, Synod insists that only those Psalms or hymns shall be sung which are approved by Art. 69 of our Church Order; or such anthems which contain only the exact words of portions of Scripture.

Adopted.

ARTIKEL 91

Prof. D. H. Kromminga closes this session with prayer.

ZESTIENDE ZITTING, DINSDAGMIDDAG, 24 JUNI

ARTIKEL 92

* Nadat gezongen is Psalm 119:17, gaat Prof. W. Heyns voor in gebed.

*After Psalm 119:17 is sung, Prof. W. Heyns leads in prayer.

ARTIKEL 93

Continuation of the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice on Matters of Church Order, Emeriti, etc. (Cf. Art. 83):

VIII. Report of the Committee on Rules of Synodical Procedure (cf. Agenda, Part I, p. 149 f.f.).

(1) Your Committee advises Synod to accept this Report as information.

So decided.

(2) Your Committee advises Synod to adopt the Rules of Synodical Procedure proposed in this Report, but with a considerable number of changes.
After discussing some of the changes recommended by the Committee of Preadvice, Synod decides to refer the whole matter to a new Committee, consisting of two persons, whose duty it shall be to study this matter with a view to the simplification of the Rules of Synodical Procedure, and to report to the Synod of 1932. (Members, Art. 128, 19.)


In connection with this Report, the following matters were submitted to your Committee for consideration:

A. An invitation from De Gereformeerde Kerken in Nederland to send a delegate or delegates to the meeting of their Synod to be held August 18 and following days of this year. Your Committee advises Synod to accept this invitation, and to appoint a delegate to hear our greetings to these churches.

Adopted. The Committee on Appointments is instructed to present a nomination of three persons from whom Synod will choose a delegate. (Cf. Art. 103.)

B. The League of Evangelical Students requests Synod to lend moral support to the aims and aspirations of that movement. This request is strongly endorsed by the Faculty of our Seminary. Your Committee advises Synod to so express itself and to instruct the Stated Clerk to inform the League of said action.

Adopted.

C. The Back to the Bible Movement also asks Synod to endorse its movement. It is suggested that Synod pass the following resolution: Resolved, That this Synod, recognizing the need of greater knowledge of the Bible on the part of the people, endorse the "Back to the Bible Movement," which has for its general purposes a Bible being read daily in every home, a Bible being used by every Bible School pupil, and the placing of Bibles in every church pew.

Referred to the Stated Clerk of Synod and the Synodical Committee for further investigation.
D. A recommendation from a Committee appointed by the Synod of 1926 (see Acts, page 40), to investigate the labors of Rev. C. M. Loomis, reads as follows:

Your Committee advises Synod to adopt the last statement in this letter, "that Mr. C. M. Loomis be not permitted to speak in our churches for the purpose of collecting for any organization represented by him." Further that the Stated Clerk be instructed to inform our consistory of this decision.

Adopted.

E. There has come to Synod through its Stated Clerk a communication from the Committee on Presbyterian Unity of the United Presbyterian Church of North America, in which Synod is requested to appoint a committee representing our Church to sit in with a joint committee already existing and representing five Presbyterian and Reformed denominations, in order to perfect plans for effecting an organic union between all Presbyterian and Reformed bodies on the basis of their existing standards.

The cooperating churches are: the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A., the Presbyterian Church in the U. S., the Reformed Church in America, the Reformed Church in the U. S., and the United Presbyterian Church of North America.

It should here be added that the joint committee representing these five bodies has already met in Pittsburgh last January and has passed the following resolutions:

"I. The committee of the conferring Churches express themselves as approving the organic union of these Churches at the earliest moment.

"II. We recommend to the Church Courts appointing us:

"a) that we approve organic union with other Presbyterian and Reformed Churches on the basis of their existing standards;

"b) that our representatives be instructed, in cooperation with committees from other Presbyterian and Reformed Churches, to prepare a complete plan to make this organic union effective to be submitted for adoption to the properly constituted authorities of these Churches."
It is proposed that Synod declare as follows:

"However much we believe in the unity of the Church of Jesus Christ, a unity which, though essentially spiritual, should also as much as possible come to visible expression in the organized Church; and,

"However much we desire to cultivate the spirit of mutual understanding and cooperation between the Calvinistic branches of historical Christendom;

"We cannot cooperate in any movement whose avowed aim is to effectuate organic union between our Church and the five affiliated Churches mentioned above. Reasons:

(1) The Christian Reformed Church stands foursquare for the Reformed interpretation of Christian truth as expressed in its confessional standards, and cannot consider an organic union with ecclesiastical bodies in which there is widespread indifference toward great essentials of the Reformed faith, such as we are persuaded is the case in at least one of the cooperating bodies;

(2) In the struggle between Modernism and Orthodoxy which is raging in the historic Christian Churches today, the Christian Reformed Church stands committed to the orthodox, biblical, and supernatural view of the Christian faith, and cannot consider organic union with ecclesiastical bodies in which this position is not unequivocally affirmed and maintained. It is a matter of common knowledge that in at least one of these cooperating denominations Modernism is not only tolerated ecclesiastically, but also openly propagated in pulpit, press, and theological education;

(3) The Christian Reformed Church maintains discipline over its members both in matters of doctrine and of Christian living. It believes that such discipline is demanded by the Word of God and that it is indispensable for the welfare of the Church. We deeply deplore the fact that ecclesiastical discipline, as we conceive of it and as we believe a Church of the Reformed persuasion should maintain it, has in some of the five aforementioned cooperating Churches practically fallen into disuse, on which account we feel we cannot seriously contemplate organic union with them;
(4) In the matter of membership in secret, oathbound organizations the Christian Reformed Church not only holds that such membership is incompatible with membership in the Church, but also maintains and enforces this position by debaring from its membership those who are so affiliated. Organic union with the five aforementioned cooperating Churches could be effected only at the expense of maintaining this prerequisite for church-membership, a sacrifice which we are not prepared to make.

We welcome all efforts which may lead to strengthening the bonds of true unity between the Churches of Christendom, and especially between those denominations whose creedal position is historically rooted in the Calvinistic Reformation, but feel that for these reasons we cannot cooperate in any plan looking to organic union between the Christian Reformed Church and the five cooperating Churches mentioned above."

Adopted.

F. The Committee on Divorce, appointed by the last Synod, calls attention to a supplementary report to a question submitted to our Synod by the Churches of South Africa: "Door de Kerken van Zuid-Afrika wordt eveneens de vraag gesteld of het geoorloofd is, dat iemand trouwt met de vrouw van zijn overledene broeder?" (see Acts of Synod, 1924, page 90). The Committee states that it was unable to study this matter because the official document is not in its possession, and adds that it gladly awaits the instruction of Synod regarding the question whether it desires that we proceed in this matter, notwithstanding the absence of all official data.

Your Committee advises Synod to instruct the Committee on Divorce to study this question and to report to the next Synod.

Adopted. (Cf. Committee members, Art. 128, 14.)

"By the Churches of South Africa also the question is raised whether it is permissible, Continued of the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice in re Missions (cf. Art. 43):

that someone marries the wife of his deceased brother?"
J. Election of Delegates at Large.

(1) In connection with this matter we have considered the Overture of Classis Holland (Agenda, Part II, p. XVI).

The proposed Article differs in two respects from the present Article IV attached to the Articles of Association of the Mission Board (Acta 1928, p. 43).

The Classis Holland overture proposes:

(1) That the delegates at large be men "who are or have been Elders";

(2) That they have a deciding and not only an advisory vote.

For the first proposal no grounds are given, whereas for the second three grounds are furnished.

Your Committee advises that the overture, in as far as it purposes to give the delegates at large a deciding vote in the Board of Missions, be adopted.

Synod alter Art. IV of the By-Laws attached to the Articles of Association of the Mission Board of the Christian Reformed Church, to read as follows:

"This Board is composed of one representative of each Classis of the Christian Reformed Church, elected by these Classes and approved by Synod, and three delegates at large to be elected by Synod out of a nomination of six presented by the Mission Board, unless Synod desires to add nominees. The delegates at large shall also serve as members of the Executive Committee."

Grounds:

(1) Experience during the past two years has proved the value of having such men, who have the training and judgment of business men, on the Board and Executive Committee;

(2) It seems like a slight cast upon these men to give them only an advisory vote, as the Synod of 1928 did. They should have a deciding vote in all questions coming before the Board and the Executive Committee;

(3) Since these delegates at large are elected by Synod, and therefore represent the whole Church, there can be no objection to the fact that they are chosen from Classes centrally located, so as to be able to attend all meetings of the Executive Committee without
undue expense. To this may be added that it is recommended by the Board of Missions.

Adopted.

(2) To the six nominees proposed by the Mission Board, viz., J. Kos, R. Van Noord, E. Wieringa, D. Bloksma, H. R. Gezon, G. Trap, your Committee has added the names of H. Hekman, H. Denkema, and Jacob E. Feenstra, and advises approbation of this nomination.

Approved.

(3) Your Committee recommends that Synod proceed at this time to the election of three men, to serve as delegates at large, from this nomination.

Adopted.

The result of the election is that the following brethren are chosen as delegates at large: H. Hekman, H. Denkema, and J. Kos. Their alternates are R. Van Noord, E. Wieringa, and D. Bloksma.

K. In view of the desirability of having men with business ability on the Board of Missions, your Committee requests that Synod remind the churches of a decision taken at the Synod of 1910 (Acta 1910, p. 22) by incorporating it in the Acts of this Synod. It reads thus:

"Aangezien Art. 4 van het Reglement der Heidendeling, in overeenstemming met presbyteriale Kerkregeering, ruimte laat voor de verkiezing van ouderlingen, zoowel als predikanten voor den Board, de Synode hierop de aandacht der Classes vestigt" (Acta 1910, p. 22).

Adopted. (Continued in Art. 99.)

ARTIKEL 95

Ouderling D. Heuseveldt sluit deze zitting met dankzegging en gebed, closes this session with thanksgiving and prayer.

SEVENTEENTH SESSION, WEDNESDAY MORNING, JUNE 25
ZEVENTIENDE ZITTING, WOENS DagMORGEN, 25 JUNI

ARTIKEL 96

Psalter No. 49 is sung. Rev. A. Koning leads in prayer.

"Seeing that Art. 4 of the Constitution of the Heathen Mission, in accord with presbyterial Church Order, allows for the election of elders as well as ministers for the Board, Synod directs the attention of Classes to this."
ARTIKEL 97
The roll call shows that all delegates are present.

ARTIKEL 98
The Acts of the fifteenth and the sixteenth session are read by the clerk, and approved by Synod after one correction has been made.

ARTIKEL 99
Continuation of the Report of the Committee of Pre-Advice on Missions (cf. Art. 94):

A. Your Committee recommends that this Report be received for information and incorporated in the Acts of Synod.
So decided (see Supplement III).

B. Proposals:
(1) We recommend that Synod approve the proposed budget for 1931 and 1932. This includes the grants for Canada toward Mr. Wyenberg's salary, and that of a new missionary to labor in Western Canada, under auspices of Classis Pacific.

PROPOSED PLAN AND BUDGET FOR HOME MISSIONS
FOR 1931 AND 1932

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>No. of Fam.</th>
<th>Total Must Raise</th>
<th>Total Must Pay to</th>
<th>To Receive from Gen. Fund</th>
<th>Must pay to Gen. Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>$10,200</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>$483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids East</td>
<td>2986</td>
<td>8,170</td>
<td>8,358</td>
<td>2,972</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids West</td>
<td>3387</td>
<td>7,370</td>
<td>10,161</td>
<td>6,178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>4,700</td>
<td>5,715</td>
<td>2,920</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson-Hackensack</td>
<td>2051</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>6,153</td>
<td>3,704</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>2,730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskegon</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>7,400</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange City</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>6,150</td>
<td>4,950</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oostfriesland</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>3,850</td>
<td>2,350</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>*11,215</td>
<td>3,365</td>
<td>7,850</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pella</td>
<td>1946</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>1,046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sioux Center</td>
<td>1413</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>4,239</td>
<td>3,461</td>
<td>1,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>642</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeeland</td>
<td>1576</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>4,728</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>4,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$31,711</strong></td>
<td><strong>$31,911</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes $2,000 for new missionary in Western Canada.
† Includes $3,000 for portable church in Chatham (see point 5 below).

Adopted.
In connection with the proposed budget, your Committee calls Synod's attention to the Overture of Classis California. (Agenda, Part II, pp. XIII to XV).

The overture is as follows:

"Classis requests Synod to grant Classis California aid from the General Home Mission Fund to the extent of $8,500.00 per year for 1930-1932.

Explanation:

The budget adopted by Classis for home mission work is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries of three Home Missionaries</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto allowance for three Home Missionaries</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent of two homes</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy to Bellflower</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy to Ontario</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidental expenses (telephone, moving, etc.)</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total ........................................................................................................ $10,200.00

Towards the raising of this budget, Classis of California can contribute as follows:

- Churches numbering a total of 461 families, assessed at $3 per family, contribute $1,383.00
- Mission Stations contribute ........................................ $177.00

Total ........................................................................................................ $1,700.00

Consequently Classis requests $8,500.00 in order that the budget may be met.

Synod will observe that Classis requests $1,000.00 less than in 1928. This is remarkable, since work is being done in two more mission stations than in 1928, and one church is being heavily subsidized to enable it to call a pastor. That a smaller amount of aid is being requested is due to the fact that the Classis is growing in numbers and the mission stations are contributing regularly to the Home Mission Fund of the Classis. Synod may expect that henceforth the amount of financial aid needed by Classis will steadily decrease. Classis hopes to be able soon to bear its own home missionary burdens and to impart aid to others.

Due to the blessing of God, Classis has succeeded in estab-
Classis requests Synod to grant it the sum of $750.00 from the General Home Missions fund, so that we may assist the Birnamwood congregation in obtaining a minister. In case this cannot be

lishing churches and mission stations in localities most strategically located from the standpoint of the agricultural and industrial development of California. Thus far our people have known this state as a land for invalids and as a winter resort. However, California is at present advancing rapidly as a commercial and industrial state. Consequently California offers in addition to a salubrious climate increasingly better prospects for the business man and for the working man. Accordingly, to whatever locality in California our people are most apt to go, our Church is prepared to keep in touch with them and to provide a church home for them.

Moreover, Synod should consider that opposition to the Church of Christ, and especially to the principles for which our Church stands is exceedingly bitter in California. Therefore, whatever our Church has begun there, must be vigorously prosecuted. Half-measures do not avail. Consequently Classis has aimed to provide every church and every mission station with the regular services of ordained ministers.

Classis trusts that Synod will grant the request of our Classis as willingly as in 1928.

In view of the extraordinary circumstances and special needs of the Home Mission Field of Classis California, your Committee advises that a special allowance of $1,500 per year from the Home Mission Fund be granted that Classis for the next two years.

Adopted.

b) We also call Synod's attention to the overture from Classis Wisconsin (Agenda, Part II, p. XIII). The overture is as follows:

"De Classis verzoekt de Synode om haar de som van $750.00 toe te staan uit de Algemeene Inwendige Zendingskas, opdat wij Birnamwood's gemeente kunnen bijstaan in het verkrijgen van een leeraar. Indien ons dit niet toegestaan kan worden, zullen wij als Classis het verzoek van Birnamwood tot landopenig moeten weigeren."

Your Committee advises that this matter be placed in the hands of the General Home Mission Committee for investigation.
gation, and that it be left to its best judgment in the matter.

Ground: Your Committee is convinced that a better arrangement than is proposed in the Agenda can be made.

Adopted.

c) In re the grant toward Mr. Wyenberg's salary.

Relative to this matter we can report that the support, up to $1,000 per annum till the 1932 Synod, toward the salary of the field agent at Winnipeg is conditioned on the willingness of the Winnipeg Reformed Immigrant Aid Society to have its field agent serve impartially all of the Railroad and Steamship Lines with which the Director of Missions is able to make arrangements as to remuneration for the obtaining of employment of the new-comers, with a view to ultimately have the work maintain itself without financial aid coming from our side as a Church. We recommend that the Director of Missions be charged to take this up with the Winnipeg brethren.

Adopted.

(2) Church Building at Hamilton, Ont.

The General Home Missions Committee asks the approval of a grant of $3,000 from the Church Help Fund toward the purchase of a Church at Hamilton, Ont. (See Report of the Committee.)

We advise that Synod recommend the Hamilton congregation to the Church Help Fund for a loan to the extent of $3,000, special offerings for this purpose to be requested of the churches in Classes Grand Rapids East, Grand Rapids West, Holland, Muskegon, and Zeeland.

Adopted.

(3) Church Building at Chatham, Ont.

The General Home Missions Committee asks Synod to allow the grant of $3,000 from the General Fund for the purchase and erection of a temporary, preferably portable church building at Chatham, Ont., this building to remain the property of the Christian Reformed Church.

Your Committee advises that this request be granted.

Adopted.
(4) Relation between the District Committees and the Classical Committees.

The General Home Missions Committee asks for a clearer specification of the relation between these two.

Since a new Order for Home Missions is about to be adopted (see Section VIII below), your Committee advises that this request be tabled.

So decided.

(5) We further recommend that Synod take grateful cognizance of the receipt of 1500 guilders, contributed by the Reformed Churches of the Netherlands per Prof. Aalders, and the $100 obtained by the Secretary of Missions at Rotterdam.

Adopted.

C. Overtures of Classes California and Pacific:

(1) “Classis California expresses its gratitude to Synod for financial help received during 1928-1930.

Classis again requests Synod for aid in bearing the classical expenses to the extent of 50 cents per family per classical meeting.

Reason: The same reason as given in 1928, when Classis submitted a similar request, holds today. The churches of the Classis of California are widely scattered not only within the State of California, but also in the State of New Mexico. As a result the expenses of meeting, even though Classis meets only twice a year, are very high. Seldom does the assessment for the expenses of a classical meeting fall below $1.10 per family.”

Your Committee advises that Synod assist the Classis of California for the reasons given in its overture to the extent of 50c per family toward its classical expenses.

Adopted.

(2) “Classis Pacific verzoekt vriendelijk, dat de Synode haar nogmaals steun in het dragen der reiskosten voor de Classicale vergaderingen. Deze reiskosten belopen voor iedere vergadering $1.50 per gezin. Indien de Synode steunt met 50c per gezin, dan blijft het nog $1.00 per gezin voor de Classis.” “Classis Pacific kindly requests that Synod again assist it in travelling expenses for Classical gatherings. These travelling expenses for each meeting amount to $1.50 per family. If Synod assists with 50¢ per family, it still means $1.00 per family for the Classis.”
Your Committee advises for the reason given in this overture, that Synod allow Classis Pacific 50c per family towards its Classical expenses.

Adopted.

III. The Report of "Kerkhulp."

A. The Committee advises that the report (Agenda, Part II, pp. 236-239), be received for information. (Supplement VII.)

So decided.

B. The "Kerkhulp" Committee raises a question in its Report (Agenda, Part II, pp. 236-237). We also find two overtures in the Agenda regarding this matter, one from Classis California (Agenda, Part II, pp. ix-xi), and the other from Classis Pella (Agenda, Part II, pp. xi-xii).

(1) The overture of Classis California follows:

"Classis overtures Synod with reference to the Fund for Church Aid as follows:

A. That Synod shall express its disapproval of the practice of recommending churches that are in financial difficulties to our people for special offerings that need not be repaid. Reasons:

1) This practice is unjust. In the past well-established churches, temporarily embarrassed, have received large sums which need not be repaid, while small and needy churches that have obtained aid from the Fund for Church Aid by the regular channels, have been required to repay all that they have received;

2) While offerings are being received throughout our denomination for individual churches, the Fund for Church Aid does not receive the necessary consideration, and as a result small and needy churches which expect aid from the Fund must wait a year or even longer.

B. That Synod shall rule that in case a church requires immediate aid and is recommended to our people for a special offering, this church shall repay the money received to the Fund for Church Aid in the same manner as is done by churches that have received aid in the regular way.
Reason:
In the past churches that were well-established but had suffered some unforeseen disaster, received rather large sums of money as gifts; while small churches, that needed aid to obtain their church properties, could obtain such aid only in the form of a loan. Such procedure is very evidently an unjust one, and recurrence of it ought to be made impossible.

C. That as the method for repayment of sums received from the Fund for Church Aid, Synod shall adopt the following:

a) Churches that repay all money received from the Fund in five years after the date on which the loan was made, shall receive a discount of 20% of the principal;
b) Churches that repay all money received from the Fund within ten years after the date on which the loan was made, shall receive a discount of 10% of the principal;
c) Churches that repay all the money received from the Fund within fifteen years after the date on which the loan was made, shall receive a discount of 5% of the principal;
d) Churches that owe any of the money received from the Fund fifteen years after the date on which the loan was made, shall be required to repay the principal entirely. Reasons:

1) This method gives equal consideration to all;
2) It encourages the prompt repayment of money borrowed by various churches from the Fund. Such repayment will render the Fund in a position to aid promptly all that are recommended by the Classes. One of the weaknesses of the method now in operation is that loans are held for a very long time, and the Fund, as a consequence, cannot aid promptly those churches that have been recommended;
3) Although the method proposed by Classis will, because of the discounts, entail some loss for the Fund, this loss should be considered in the light of a gift (and therefore a gain) for the deserving
church that has done its utmost to repay the money that was borrowed from the Fund.

D. That Synod shall apply the benefit of discount as outlined above in C also to churches that on January 1, 1931, owed unpaid balances to the Fund for Church Aid, the time being computed from January 1, 1931.

Reasons: The same as under C."

Your Committee recommends the adoption of this overture.

Adopted.

(2) The overture of Classis Pella follows:

"Since the present method of dealing with the Fund for Church Aid, satisfies neither the Committee for the Fund for Church Aid, nor the churches, Classis Pella requests Synod:

1) Henceforth the collections asked from the churches for congregations that have suffered losses from fire damage or other reasons, that such received monies are forwarded through the Fund for Church Aid, with the obligation that these monies must be paid back, even as such is expected from those who receive monies from the Fund;

2) That the Committee do not grant help or request special collections from the churches, before the needy congregation stipulates:

a) How much it can itself do;

b) How much it needs; Grounds:

1. Congregations asking for permission and receiving it, to ask for collections from the congregations of the church, are not under obligation to pay these back, which is not fair toward congregations which receive help from the Fund directly, but which are under obligation to refund these as soon as they are able;

2. Often more assistance is given than a congregation needs;

3. In this way more congregations will have opportunity to obtain church properties;

4. The interest and trust in the Fund would in this way increase."
Your Committee advises:
   a) That the first part of Pella's overture is covered by that of California;
   b) That the second part of Pella's overture be adopted and that it be added as part E of California's overture.
So decided.

C. Instruction from Colton, So. Dak., supported by that of Classis Sioux Center (Agenda,II,pp. xli and xlii):
"The Consistory of the Colton Christian Reformed congregation at Colton, So. Dak., comes again with an urgent request that through Synod a collection be recommended in the congregations of our Church (the congregations of Classis Sioux Center excepted, since they have already taken a collection for us).
Our Grounds for this request are threefold:
1) Our congregation is unable to pay off its debt by itself.
2) The way, directed by the Synod of 1928, namely, a collection in Classis Sioux Center, proved to be insufficient;
3) Nov. 2, 1929 our bank in Colton was closed. The money in the funds of our congregation, then in the bank, are therefore beyond our reach. And a large part of it we will not get back. Dec. 21 our mortgage was due. In the fund for Debt Reduction was part of the money given to us by congregations of our Classis. Our plan was to pay our mortgage on that 'interest-bearing date'. That however, has now become impossible for us.
Some members of the congregation had temporarily fully $1,000 for safekeeping in the bank when the bank was closed; others less. Thus also the closing of this bank, just at this time—when in our Debt Retirement Fund there was a goodly amount temporarily in the bank for safekeeping—and when members of the congregation had paid their tax, but their checks bounced, and they were on account of this required to pay their tax once again), is a not to be minimized financial reverse for our congregation.
Your Committee advises Synod to recommend the congregation of Colton, So. Dak., to Church Help, and that it according to Article 3 of the Constitution for the Fund for Church Aid ("in special cases the Committee has the right to grant monies, however such a grant will require the approval of Synod") shall be free from the obligation to repay the granted help. Ground: The financial need as described in the instruction.

Referred to the Committee for "Kerkhulp" with power to act.

Church Help

IV. Report of the Nathanael Institute.
A. The Committee recommends that the report be received for information and printed in the Acts of this Synod. So decided. (See Supplement V.)

B. Further we advise that Synod request the Committee of the Nathanael Institute to send its reports to Synod to its Stated Clerk in time to have them printed in the Agenda. Adopted.

C. No financial report was received, hence the Committee advises that Synod request the Nathanael Institute to present a financial report at our Synodical meetings. Adopted.

D. The Proposed Budget of the Nathanael Institute, 1930-1932, is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries of 6 full-time and 5 part-time workers</td>
<td>$15,404.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas, Electricity, Telephone</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair and Decorating</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books, papers, tracts</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispensary</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>$265.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials and miscellaneous</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual interest</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of debt</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total annual budget: $24,519.30

In view of the economic condition of our country your Committee judges that the contribution of $1.10 per family annually for Jewish Missions, as recommended by the for-

A. Your Committee advises that the Report of the Paterson Hebrew Mission (Agenda, Part II, pp. 242-244) be received for information (Supplement V).

So decided.

On motion it is decided to extend a vote of thanks to Dr. Walter L. Dunning, who gives his services to this Mission free of charge.

B. No financial report was received. Synod requests the Paterson Hebrew Mission to send a financial report to our synodical gatherings in time to be placed in the Agenda.

So decided.

C. The proposed Budget for the Paterson Hebrew Mission is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>$4,460.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>306.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundries</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidents</td>
<td>540.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In view of the economic condition of our country we advise that the Paterson Hebrew Mission be allowed $5,500 annually till next Synod.

Adopted.


A. The Report will be read. We advise that it be received for information and printed in the Acts of Synod.

Adopted. (See Supplement X.)
B. Your Committee advises Synod to request that its reports to Synod be sent to its Stated Clerk in time, to have them printed in the Agenda.

Adopted.

C. We recommend that Synod, since no financial report has been received, request the Eastern Home Mission Board to send a financial report to our synodical meetings in time to be placed in the Agenda.

Adopted.

D. The proposed annual budget for the Seamen’s Home is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asst. Superintendent</td>
<td>1,560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janitor and Matron</td>
<td>1,560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNNING EXPENSES:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas, Electricity</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs, maintenance</td>
<td>520.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTEREST and DEBT REDUCTION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Interest</td>
<td>$1,260.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of Debt</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: $10,000.00

To be received from the churches—44c per family annually.

Your Committee recommends that Synod approve of the proposed Budget, provided the debt be reduced to the extent of $2,000 yearly. If the debt reduction is not obligatory your Committee recommends, in view of the economic conditions of our country, that Synod approve of a Budget of $8,000 annually.

Adopted.


A. The Report will be read. We advise that the report be received for information and printed in the Acts of Synod.

So decided. (See Supplement V.)
B. We recommend that Synod advise the Treasurer to send in his reports to Synod in time so that they can be printed in the Agenda. Adopted.

C. The Financial Report was received and has been audited in a satisfactory manner. We recommend that Synod receive this report for information, have it printed in the Acts of Synod and urge our churches to support the cause of Jewish Missions liberally. The Budget of both the Nathaniel Institute and the Paterson Hebrew Mission have been reduced to a minimum. An average contribution of $2.20 for 2 years per family is required to carry on the work among the old covenant people. Adopted. (See Supplement V.)

VIII. The Report of the Committee on Rules and Regulations for Home Missions.

A. Materials.


(2) Instruction from Classis Pacific (Agenda Part II, pp. VII):
"Synod approve the draft for a new order for the Home Missions, as presented by the Committee appointed for this at the Synod of 1928 Acts of Synod, Art 143, Point 22. Grounds:
1) By this new order the objections stated at the last Synod against the proposed "Rules and Regulations" disappear;
2) By this plan the task of Home Missions acquires a clear-cut basis while:
   a) the classical committees continue to function as at present;
   b) the district-committees are dispensed with;
   c) the general committee is appointed by the Synod.

(Classis Pacific.)
(3) Instruction from Classis Pella (Agenda, Part II, p.VIII):
Classis Pella proposes to Synod that Synod revise Art. I of the Rules for Home Missions, established in 1910 (Acts of Synod 1910, p.17), and amended in 1912 (Acts 1912, p.12); and an article be placed in the New Order for the Home Missions, in which the right of calling and sending and rules of the labor of Missionary Ministers is given to Consistory, Classis or Synod, from which the respective mission proceeds and by which it is carried out. Grounds:
1) In principle this is already accepted (Acts 1912, p.12; Acts 1920, China Missionary p.89);
2) One of our Classes already follows this method. (Muskegon);
3) In former times this was acknowledged in church polity (Rutgers, Kerkrechtelijke Adviezen, I, p.324);
4) The old method is more appearance or form than reality;
5) This regulation is safer for Classis, Congregations, and missionaries.
   (Classis Pella.)

B. Your Committee advises:
(1) That the Report of the Committee appointed by the previous Synod, with thanks for its thorough work, be received as information.
(2) That Synod declare that for the reasons named in the instruction of Classis Pacific, is ready to consider the Order for the Home Missions. So decided. (1) So decided.
(3) That Synod make grateful us of the hint given in the instruction of Classis Pella.
   So decided.

C. Your Committee now presents the "Order For The Home Missions" to Synod for approval. Here and there a few changes, and in our opinion, improvements, have been made.
The Committee proposes that each article be read separately and compared with the corresponding article in the Order prepared by the Committee of the previous Synod, and that afterwards the reading of the article be approved.

So decided. The Order for Home Missions is thus accepted and approved:

RULES AND REGULATIONS CONCERNING THE HOME MISSION WORK OF THE CHRISTIAN REFORMED CHURCH

Article 1. For the work of Home Missions are necessary:

a) Classical Committees, whose task it shall be, in the service of the Classis, to promote the interests of Mission work within its territory.

b) A General Committee, whose task it shall be, in the name of the Synod, to direct the Mission work outside of the Classical territory, and regarding the work of the Classis, to do what is necessary, in the interests of unity and co-operation.

CLASSICAL HOME MISSIONS COMMITTEES

Article 2. Each Classical Home Mission Committee shall consist of three members, with their alternates, chosen by the respective Classis for the time of four years; bi-ennially and in rotation, two members and one member are to retire. The same rule pertains to their alternates.

a) The election of Classical Mission Committees shall take place at the spring meeting of the Classis, during synodical years.

b) The persons proposed to Synod as members of the General Committee shall be chosen from such members of the Classical Mission Committee as were recently elected, for a term of four years.

Article 3. The work of these Committees is to be:

a) The regulation of the labor of the Home Missionaries in the service of the Classis.

b) Looking for new mission fields and reporting about them to Classis.

c) The execution of what its Classis charged it to do.

Article 4. Every Classis has for its own Mission work, a treasury of its own, and under its separate management.

GENERAL HOME MISSIONS COMMITTEE

Article 5. The General Home Missions Committee shall be composed of those members of the respective classical mission committees, who were appointed for four years (compare Art. 2), and elected by Synod, with the Director of Missions ex officio member and secretary.
That Synod may proceed to this election, every Classis shall propose one member of its classical Home Mission Committee to serve as primarius, and one as secundus.

At every Synod one-half of the number of these members shall retire, but the retiring ones may be proposed anew and re-elected.

For the appointment of these members it is to be borne in mind that permanence, as much as possible, is in the interests of the cause which the Committee is serving.

Article 6. The work of the General Committee shall be:

a) The regulation and direction of all Home Mission work outside of the respective classical territory.

b) The supervision of the entire work of Home Missions, in order to assist efficiently in such a manner that this work be carried on harmoniously in the whole territory, that there be neither neglect nor overlapping, and to see to it that the synodical decisions be faithfully carried out by all the parties concerned.

c) The administration of the General Treasury, judging about the applications for support, that may come in, and in synodical years, to serve the Synod with advice regarding this, as well as preparing the budget to be laid before Synod.

d) Laying a complete report before Synod.

e) Executing what Synod charged it to do.

Article 7. The General Committee shall meet in synodical years, for this purpose convened in time by the Director of Missions.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Article 8. The members of the General Committee of the Michigan and Illinois Classes shall constitute an Executive Committee for the execution of the decisions of the General Committee and for the regulating of ad interim matters, as well as of such things as require speedy action. As much as possible, the Executive Committee is to obtain, by correspondence, the approval of the majority of the members of the General Committee for these decisions.

The Executive Committee is to send a complete report of all of its transactions to all the members of the General Committee.

Article 9. Every member of the General Committee shall keep his Classis and Classical Mission Committee posted on the transactions of the General Committee, and the General Committee shall lay a report of the same before the Synod.

Article 10. Expenses connected with the General Committee and the Executive Committee, are to be paid from the General Treasury.

THE DIRECTOR OF MISSIONS

Article 11. The Director of Missions shall prepare and keep up to date, complete statistics of the following particulars:
a) What is done by every Classis in its territory.

b) How much subsidy churches in need of aid require, and how much they have received.

c) How many Home Missionaries are needed in every Classis to perform its task.

d) Which salaries are received by these Home Missionaries in different localities.

e) How much support each Classis has received from the General Treasury.

Article 12. The data for these statistics shall be furnished annually and in time, to the Director of Missions, by the members of the General Committee, each one for his own Classis.

On the basis of these data the General Committee, before every Synod, must prepare a Home Mission Budget, to be submitted to its judgment and approbation.

Article 13. Through the Director of Missions, the General Committee is to establish and maintain contact with the churches and Emigration Bureaux in the Netherlands, in regard to those who emigrate from the Netherlands to the United States and Canada.

THE GENERAL TREASURY

Article 14. Besides the Classical Treasuries for Home Missions, there shall be a General Treasury, of which the General Committee shall have charge. This Treasury shall serve for financing all the work of Home Missions that pertains to the Church in common. It is also to serve for the support of such Classes as are needing financial assistance. The amount of aid to be extended, is to be submitted to the judgment and decision of Synod.

For this General Treasury offerings are to be taken in all our congregations, at least once a year.

Article 15. Applications for support from this Treasury are to be sent annually, in due time, by the Classes, to the Secretary of the General Committee.

Article 16. The General Committee is charged in the course of a year, to diminish the support promised the respective Classes, if through removal of one or more missionaries, or ministers of subsidised churches, the expenditures of these Classes decrease.

Article 17. The promised support, unless there be no need of it, ought to be paid out in full. The General Committee therefore has the right, and is charged with the duty, to do all within its power to render full payment possible, as for instance, by means of an appeal for a special offering.

Article 18. The General Committee appoints a treasurer, who shall receive all moneys for the General Treasury, but is not to pay out any, except as directed by the General Committee.
Article 19. The amount needed by the General Treasury shall be fixed by Synod, which at the same time shall indicate how much is looked for from each family.

During the years in which the Synod does not convene, the General Committee is authorized according to circumstances, to fix a budget.

On motion it is decided that the Director of Missions, Dr. H. Beets, shall be requested to translate this "Orde voor de Inwendige Zending" into English. (Vide Supplement XVI.)

(Mission Report continued in Art. 102.)

ARTIKEL 100

This session is closed with prayer by Elder J. J. Bolt.

EIGHTEENTH SESSION, WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON, JUNE 25

After Psalm 119:3 is sung, Elder A. Ten Hamsel led in prayer.

Continuation of Report on Missions (cf. Art. 99):

D. Since Synod has accepted (Art. 99, VIII) the proposed "Rules and Regulations for Home Missions," your Committee recommends that the Classes be advised to elect the members who are to serve on the General Committee at their earliest convenience; that the election of these members be approved at this time by Synod; and that until the General Committee, as defined in the Rules and Regulations, is constituted, the present arrangement be continued.

Adopted.

E. We submit for your consideration and approval changing the name "Home Missions Committee" to "Committee for Church Extension." Reasons:

(1) The work of the Committee is one of Church Extension;
(2) The name Home Missions Committee creates confusion;
(3) Committees similar to our Home Missions Committee are commonly called Committees for Church Extension.

Rejected.

F. We advise that Synod authorize the General Home Missions Committee to become incorporated.

Adopted.

G. In re the instruction from Classis Sioux Center (Acts1928,p.109),which can be found Agenda, Part I, p.16, your Committee advises to accept the advice of the Committee on "Rules and Regulations", viz. not to enter upon the request of Sioux Center, seeing the concrete case no longer exists (Agenda, Part I, p.17).

So decided.

Article 103

The Committee for Appointments now submits a nomination of three-brethren, from whom Synod chooses Dr. Y. P. De Jong as delegate to the Synod of the "Gereformed Kerken in Nederland," to be held in August of this year. Rev. J. De Haan, Jr., is appointed as his alternate.

(Cf. Art. 93, A.)

On motion it is decided to allow the delegate two hundred dollars towards traveling expenses.

Article 104

Continuation of the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice on Protests and Appeals (cf. Art. 76):

V. The Case Rev. Kamps.
Your Committee received a letter from Rev. H. Kamps, in which he once again asks attention for his case. This letter contains two complaints: (1) that the Synod has not yet approved his emeritation; and (2) that Classis Ostfriesland asked for his emeritation on the ground of the fact that he has a weak intellect and
a bad character. Especially the last designation, "bad character" hurts the brother. He insists, that the Classis has branded him with a bad character, and says, that that slander must be taken away or proved. This letter even contains an intricate threat: "Whatever happens, brethren, the Synod is faced with it and must do the right, so that the slander is removed or by basic proof or through recall. Let us not be forced to call upon the civil courts.

In connection with the same case your Committee had the report of the Synodical Committee, which was appointed by the Synod of 1928, to submit the case of Rev. Kamps to a careful study and report to the Synod of 1930. This is a paper of 11 pages, including all the minutes of the consistory of Wright and of Classis Ostfriesland, which deal with the case of Rev. Kamps. At all of the inquiry initiated by the Committee, Rev. Kamps was also present. The remarks which he made in connection with the minutes, are recorded in the report. Further the Synodical Committee, composed of the ministers W.D. Vanderwerp and D. Hollebeek, did not go. It says, "Our mandate does not include pronouncing judgment on this case, but only to conduct a careful investigation, and we think that we have faithfully carried out this mandate."

From the report your Committee can see very clearly, that it would not be difficult, to point all kinds of mistakes in the whole matter, mistakes by Rev. Kamps, mistakes by the Consistory at Wright, and mistake by Classis Ostfriesland. However it does not consider it necessary, to reveal all of these. It only wants to point out, that in connection with the second complaint, included in the letter of Rev. Kamps, that Classis Ostfriesland did not attribute a "bad", but a "weak" character.

The report confirms what was already determined by the Synod of 1924 and at the Synod of 1926 stated in a report of Classis Ostfriesland, viz. that Rev. Kamps is "in good standing" (Acts 1924, p. 59; 1926, p. 160). Likewise it confirms, what the Committee at the last named Synod claimed, viz. that "the
grounds on which Classis Ostfriesland asks for the emeritation of Rev. Kamps" are not covered by Art. 13 C.O. unless one proceeds from the idea that "the otherwise" of Art. 13 covers such cases. This your Committee cannot determine with certainty, although it doubts it. J. Jansen adds to this in his volume of De Kerkordening, Van de Diensten, p. 355, "or otherwise in disability, defects, diseases, and accidents."

According to the letter of Rev. Kamps the brother seemingly desires two things: (a) that Synod approve his emeritation; and (b) that it require Classis Ostfriesland, because of the humiliation implied in the grounds given by Classis for his emeritation, to retract these, or to confirm the intricate charge with proofs. And the last point is according to his letter the most important. Should Synod not satisfy him in this, the he might feel it necessary to appeal to the civil courts. Received As Information.

Your Committee advises Synod:
(1) To refer Rev. Kamps with this protest to Classis Ostfriesland, seeing that this protest, is so far as your Committee can judge, has never been at Classis.
So decided.
(2) Not to approve the emeritation of Rev. Kamps as yet, seeing the brother is himself, at the present time, protesting against the ground on which his emeritation is recommended, and the grounds named in Art. 13 do not apply.
So decided.
(3) To grant Rev. Kamps also in the following two years (till 1932) some support from the Emeritus Fund, the amount to be determined by the Board.
So decided.

Rev. E.J. Krohne and Elders W. Bareman, H.H. Bosch and B.J. Bennink state that they cannot agree with this decision and request that this be noted in the Acts.
Received as Information.
Synod further decides to appoint a Committee, consisting of three persons, to investigate the case of Rev. Kamps vs. Classis Ostfriesland once again, and if possible to bring it to a good conclusion.

VI. Protest of Mr. M. Dykstra in re individual cups.
Your Committee received a letter for its consideration from Mr. M. Dykstra, a member of the First Congregation of Roseland, Chicago, containing a protest against the decision of the Synod of 1920 concerning the use of the 'individual cup' in the celebration of Holy Communion. The brother does not give the page and the Article of the Acts, where the decision can be found, but likely he refers to what we find on page 3, Acts 1920, where we read, "Your Committee advises in this (the use of the 'individual cup') to make no regulation, but to leave it to the wisdom of the respective consistory. So Decided." The brother believes, that the introduction of the 'individual cup' is in conflict with the institution of Holy Communion. Jesus used the common cup. He points to places in Holy Scripture as Mt. 26:27; Mk. 14:23; Lk. 22:17; and I Cor. 11:23-27; and further to Articles 31, 53, and 62 of the Church Order of Dort.

Your Committee advises Synod to call the attention of the brother to the fact that the Synod of 1920 just decided to make no regulation regarding the introduction of the 'individual cup', but to leave this entirely to the local church, and besides, that each protest against the introduction of it in the first place belongs with the consistory."

In place of this advice Synod accepts the following: "Synod advises the brother that it finds no grounds in his protest that would demand that Synod change its standpoint in this matter."

VII. Protest of Emden's Consistory vs. Classis Ostfriesland.
Your Committee was given a protest from Emden's Consistory against Classis Ostfriesland regarding
the permitting of preaching in congregations by persons who have no preaching license, and the use of monies from the treasury of Home Missions to pay these persons. According to the letter of Emden's Consistory, Classis Ostfriesland has declared that it agrees with it in this matter, but in practice it does not abide by the rule. This protest is formally in order. The Classis however, has been neglectful in forwarding the related information, and therefore it was difficult for the Committee to enter into the matter. From a letter from another Consistory, in which reference is made to that in Emden, your Committee gets the impression that the infringement has been relatively minor.

Your Committee advises that it simply remind Classis Ostfriesland of the decision of the Synod of 1924, Acts, p.38, Art.41, E. So decided.

VIII. Case of Columbus Consistory vs. Thomas Van Dyke and others.

A. The following documents, which are legally before the Synod, were placed in the hands of your Committee:

(1) A protest of Thomas Van Dyke against his Consistory;
(2) Protest of four persons: Abraham Kornelis, Mrs. Kornelis, Sydney Kornelis, and Mrs. Sydney Kornelis;
(3) Protest of John Van Dyke against Consistory and Classis;
(4) A copy of the Classical Minutes regarding this matter, and a report of the Classical Committee;
(5) Some correspondence regarding this matter from several individuals;
(6) Protest of Thomas Van Dyke to the Synod.

B. Information.

This protest of T. Van Dyke contains:

(1) Serious objection to the action of the Consistory, the Congregation, and the Counsellor;
(2) Attempts made to settle the matter and their outcome.
The serious objections under point 1 are:
a) "That a decision of the Consistory was presented to the Congregation for approval;
b) As a result of this an elder, for more than an hour, was publicly slandered by a part of the Congregation.
c) That the clause of the original incident of the Consistory-meeting, was in an illegal manner rescinded."

According to the extract of the Minutes of March 6, 1929, Classis decided that this document was formally in order. The Classis appointed a committee to consider this protest and to report. After considering it the committee reported and Classis decided to appoint the Church Visitors: Rev. J. W. Brink and Rev. A. H. Bratt, to act in the matter of Columbus. On the 15th of April, the committee met with the Consistory and acted in this matter, with the result that a reconciliation was brought about between all the parties concerned.

According to the Minutes of March 15, 1929, there appeared a letter at the Consistory from Mr. T. Van Dyke, the contents of which are not stated. He was requested to appear at the Consistory meeting of March 21st, but did not do so. On March the 21st the Consistory decided to ask him why he did not appear and to inform him that the Consistory was of the opinion that he had made himself guilty of unfaithfulness in his office. According to the Minutes of April 30, 1929, Art. 21, T. Van Dyke answered the Consistory as follows: "Ik zie geen mogelijkheid om voort te gaan in het ambt." It also appears from the Minutes that he there neglected to perform the duties of the office and withdrew his reconciliation. On this account, Consistory placed him under censure May 24, 1929. On July 1st, 1929, a triple Consistory meeting was held, with Rev. A. H. Bratt presiding. At this meeting it appeared that T. Van Dyke could not acquiesce in the reconciliation for three reasons:

1) The confession came too late;
2) It was formally not in order;
3) It was not in all its parts in harmony with the truth.

"I see no possibility of continuing in the office."
The combined Consistories judged that if the brother did not follow the judgment of this meeting by recalling the breaking of the reconciliation, the Consistory would be compelled to deal with the case according to the Church Order. He was then asked whether he was ready to accept the judgment of the meeting and to recall his breaking of the reconciliation. He requested a few days time for consideration, which was granted. On July 5th T. Van Dyke presented the following writing: "I accept the decision of the double Consistory of July 1, 1929, under the following protests, and request that they be considered in the decision:

(1) Conclusion have been reached, but no grounds presented;
(2) The case is not investigated, April 15, 1929, and hence no reconciliation can take place; moreover it was not formally accepted;
(3) Because the Consistories did not meet separately, it has not proceeded in accord with church polity. Further I protest against the handling of the whole case."

On the 15th of July, there was again held a double Consistory meeting, from the Minutes of which we find that they refuted the grounds of his protests one by one, and gave him a copy of this refutation. On August the 31st, 1929, the Consistory again, under the leadership of Rev. Roman as Counsellor, demanded that T. Van Dyke should make confession before the Consistory of the sin of having publicly withdrawn from the office after the reconciliation had been brought about April the 15th, and that he shall agree to have this confession made known to the congregation. This T. Van Dyke refused to do. On the 14th of October, 1929, it was decided: "Since brother T. Van Dyke obstinately continues to withdraw from his office, the Consistory decides with advice from three Consistory members of Shepherd, Mont., to depose brother Van Dyke from his office, to notify brother Van Dyke according to Art. 9, and afterwards announce it to the Congregation."

All these matters were considered by Classis Pacific, which approved the work of its Committee and decided that
the Consistory of Columbus had done right in suspending and deposing Mr. Thomas Van Dyke. Also, a few irregularities in the action of the Consistory were corrected by the Classis. Against all these actions of the Consistory and Classis, Mr. T. Van Dyke protests to the Synod and asks the Synod to investigate this matter in loco at Columbus. Also to investigate the actions of the triple Consistories. It does not appear that Classis Pacific took any further action in regard to this protest except that it was forwarded to Synod in accordance with the request of T. Van Dyke.

It is the judgment of your Committee that the entire treatment of the case of T. Van Dyke, with the exception of a few minor matters, which Classis rectified, was in accordance with the regular procedure of the Church. This becomes evident from a brief summing up of the entire case:

a) When T. Van Dyke handed in his protests against the Consistory at the Classical meeting, the case was considered by a committee appointed to investigate;

b) This Committee performed its work at Columbus and brought about a reconciliation between all the parties concerned;

c) When T. Van Dyke shortly thereafter withdrew his reconciliation, he was warned against this and also against his faithless desertion of his office;

d) When those warnings proved to be of no avail and he resisted the Consistory, a triple Consistory meeting was called and the protests of T. Van Dyke were treated;

e) When he persistently refused to abide by the ruling of the Consistory he was deposed from his office on Oct. the 14th, 1929, and was notified of this decision;

f) Classis approved all these actions of the Consistory.

Received as information.

C. Advice:

In view of the above, your Committee advises Synod not to sustain Mr. Thomas Van Dyke in his protests, for the following reasons:

1) The entire treatment of the case of Mr. T. Van Dyke, according to the Minutes and the report of the Class-
sical Committee, was, with a few exceptions, according to the Church Order;
(2) The few irregularities in the action of the Consistory were rectified by Classis Pacific;
(3) Classis did investigate in loco, as requested by Mr. T. Van Dyke, and that their findings were not as he desired, does not destroy their validity;
(4) The Classis sustained the Consistory and found the grounds for the suspension and deposition of Mr. T. Van Dyke sufficient to warrant its action;
(5) The Classis approved the work of the committee which investigated the matter;
(6) Mr. T. Van Dyke does not refute their findings.
Adopted.

In connection with this case we have also received the following documents: a protest jointly signed by Abram Kornelis, Mrs. A. Kornelis, Sidney Kornelis and Mrs. Sidney Kornelis; a letter from Mrs. Abram Kornelis; one from Abram Kornelis, and one from Sidney Kornelis. The joint protest is addressed to the Synod, while the letters are addressed to the Classis Pacific. The contents of this protest and these letters cover the same material as taken up in the case of Mr. Thomas Van Dyke, namely, the action of Consistory and Classis in re suspension and deposition of Mr. Van Dyke. Since Classis did not consider this protest and these letters separately but as a part of the case of Mr. Thomas Van Dyke, your Committee is of the opinion that the decision reached in the case of Mr. Thomas Van Dyke covers the matter contained in the protest of A. Kornelis and others, and would advise Synod to refer these protestants to the decision of Synod in regard to the case of Mr. Thomas Van Dyke.
Adopted.

IX. The case of John Van Dyke.
A. The following documents are legally before the Synod and have been placed in the hands of your Committee:

(3) A protest to the Synod from the action of Classis, dated May 12, 1929, and signed by John Van Dyke.

(4) A copy of this protest sent to Classis, dated May 17, 1929, signed by John Van Dyke.


(6) A personal letter to Rev. J. Van Den Hock, signed by John Van Dyke.

(7) A report of the Committee of Preadvice in re Columbus, signed by the Stated Clerk of Classis Pacific.

B. Information.

(1) John Van Dyke protests against the action of Classis in upholding the Consistory of Columbus in the matter of his censure. In this protest there is also, as in that of Thomas Van Dyke, a request for investigation in loco by the Synod. In the protest and the request he mentions a number of reasons for his action, such as the fact that the Classis considered the minutes correct in spite of his protest, that the Classical Committee did not investigate, that the Classical Committee was given power to act, that the Consistory which censured him, was not qualified to judge in his case because they were all related, etc.

(2) The Classical Committee which had been appointed to investigate the situation, reported that his protest against the Consistory which he handed in to Classis, though formally in order, was not handed in on time for the Consistory of Columbus to answer it properly.

(3) Notwithstanding the Committee advised Classis to express that there were no grounds for protest of John Van Dyke, since the investigation showed that he was guilty of the sins charged against him by the consistory, namely:

* a) “Taal gehuikt te hebben tegenover ambtsdragers, die hem niet betaamt.”

   b) “Weigert de Com. van den Kerkeraad behoorlijk te ontvangen. Leeft in opstand tegen Kerkeraad.”

   c) “Verzuim van de genademiddelen, d. i. kerkedienst, enz.”

** a) "Having used language against officebearers, unbecoming to him.

b) Refuses to receive the Committee of the Consistory in a respectable way. Lives in rebellion against the Consistory.

c) Neglect of the means of grace, that is, church attendance, etc."
Also in his protest he did not refute this charge.
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* (4) "Ook heeft hij in zijn protest deze beschuldigingen niet weerlegd."

(5) Classis decided that there was no ground for protest because of the reasons given above.

Received as information.

C. Advice:

Your Committee advises Synod not to sustain Mr. J. Van Dyke in his protest against the action of Classis, for the reasons given in 3 and 4, namely:

a) The investigation showed that he was guilty of the sins charged against him.

b) In his protest he did not refute these charges.

Adopted.

X. Appeal from the action of Classis Ostfriesland by the Consistory of Ackley:

Advice:

Synod should not enter upon the matter of this protest since:

(1) A written copy of the appeal was not placed into the hands of the Classis by the consistory until after its adjournment and hence Classis has not had the opportunity to answer the appeal.

(2) Your Committee has not the necessary documents to judge in this matter.

(3) The appeal itself is not signed.

Adopted.

(Continued in Art. 127.)

ARTIKEL 105

Synod now takes up the Report of the Committee on Order of Worship:

Esteemed Brethren:

1. This first part of our report covers Report VIII of our 1930 Agenda, Part I, pages 91 to 103 and one matter that is found in the Agenda, Part II, pages XXIV and XXV
A. Your committee suggests that the Introduction of Report VIII of the advisory committee of 1928, Agenda, Part I, page 91, be read and received for information.

*So decided.*

B. *Translation of Prayers and Confessions,* Agenda, Part I, page 92, II, A.

1. The translations referred to are presented to us in a separate typewritten document of 20 pages.

2. On page 93, Agenda, the Advisory Committee of the 1928 Synod suggests “that a Committee be appointed with a new mandate and that Synod indicate which text should be followed and which type of language should be used.”

3. Your present Committee of Pre advice advises Synod, in the matter of these translations of prayers and creeds, to appoint a committee to prepare translations in idiomatic English following the text of Dr. Rutgers which is generally acknowledged to be the superior text.

*Adopted.*

C. “Revision of a clause in the answer to the 37th question of the Heidelberg Catechism,” Agenda, Part I, page 93:

“The Synod of 1928 decided to refer the overture of Classis Muskegon relative this matter to the Committee on Revision of our ‘ecclesiastical’ forms. The overture read as follows: ‘Classis Muskegon overtures Synod to revise the clause in the answer to the 37th question of the Heidelberg Catechism so that it will no longer read: ‘he bore .... the wrath of God against the *sin* of the whole human race,” but that it will be expressed thus: “He bore .... the wrath of God against the *sin* of the whole human race.” Grounds:

1) The term *sin* appears in the singular both in the original edition of the Heidelberg Catechism (see Schaff’s “Creeds of Christendom,” III, p. 319) and in the Holland translations; and

2) The present rendering of the term in the plural form gives an erroneous impression of the atonement.”
"The Committee of pre-advice advised Synod 'to accede to the overture of Classis Muskegon, to declare that the reading 'sins' in the specified portion of the Heidelberg Catechism is erroneous and that "sin" should be substituted, and to thus inform the publishers.'

"Your Committee advises Synod to adopt the recommendation of the Committee of preadvice of the Synod of 1928 with respect to this matter. Your Committee is of the opinion that this is a matter of translation. The question is easily answered by reference to authentic documents. This investigation proves that Classis Muskegon is correct. Sins should be sin. The rendering in our Psalters is a mistake."

Your present Committee of Preadvice advises that the recommendation of the Advisory Committee of 1928 and the Overture of Classis Muskegon in this matter (Acta 1928, pp. 62, 63) be adopted. Ground:

It is well established that the matter referred to is an error in the English translation. The word "sins" should be "sin."

Adopted.

D. English translation of the questions to be asked on the occasion of Public Profession of Faith, Agenda, Part I, page 94 (see Acta 1928, pages 156, 157, for accepted Holland text).

(1) Two renderings are presented to this Synod. One is called "A Literal Translation," page 94, and the other "A Suggested Rendering," page 95. The "Suggested Rendering diverges from the accepted Holland text both in form and content.

(2) Your committee recommends that Synod adopt the literal translation emended as follows:

Beloved in the Lord Jesus Christ:

You are here present to profess your faith before God and His Holy Church, in order that you may thus receive admission to the Lord's Supper.

You are therefore requested to answer sincerely the following questions:

First—Do you acknowledge the doctrine contained in the Old and the New Testament and in the Articles of the Christian Faith and
taught in this Christian Church to be the true and complete doctrine of salvation, and do you promise by the grace of God steadfastly to continue in this confession both in life and in death?

Secondly—Do you believe God's covenant promise, which has been signified and sealed unto you in your baptism, and do you confess, as you seek admission to the Lord's Supper, that you abhor and humble yourself before God because of your sins, and that you seek your life without yourself in Jesus Christ, the only Savior?

Thirdly—Do you declare that it is your heartfelt desire to love and to serve the Lord your God according to His Word, to forsake the world, to mortify your old nature, and to lead a godly life?

Fourthly—Do you promise to submit to the government, admonition and discipline of the Church, also in case it should occur—may God graciously forbid—that you either err in doctrine or offend in conduct?

N. N. What is your answer?
Answer: Yes. (Should there be more than one person, they should answer one by one.)

Then the Minister shall speak as follows: Now may the God of all grace, who has called you unto His eternal glory by Christ Jesus, after that you have suffered a while, make you perfect, establish, strengthen, sette you. To Him be glory and dominion forever and ever. Amen.

Adopted.

In connection with this matter, Synod on motion decides to appoint a Committee once more to subject the Dutch and the English formulary for public profession of faith to a thorough examination, and to provide us with more fluent and satisfactory formulations than the ones adopted. This Committee shall also consider the suggested rendering on pages 95 and 96 of the Agenda, Part I, and the Forms used in the Reformed and Presbyterian Churches of this country.

E. A Revision of the Liturgical Form for the Solemnization of Marriage before the Church, in the English and Holland languages, Agenda, Part I, pp. 96 ff.

(1) Your committee recommends that Synod thank the Advisory Committee for its work and especially so in view of the indefinite mandate that it received. (See Agenda, Part I, page 92.)

So decided.
(2) Your Committee has made a detailed analysis and study of the proposed English Formulary. We had the Revised Holland Formulary from the Netherlands by which we could profit. We believe that our proposed Formulary may be improved in content and in the employment of better idiomatic English.

(3) Your Committee recommends that Synod recommit the work of this revision to the Advisory Committee to report at our next Synod. And that Dr. S. Volbeda, who has done much of the work of your Committee in connection with this matter, be added to the Committee.

Adopted.

F. *Instruction from Classis Grand Rapids West*, in session May 13, 1930. The instruction, which was too late to be inserted in the Agenda, reads as follows:

"Classis Grand Rapids West requests Synod to insert in the proposed revision of the Form for the Solemnization of Marriage a warning against the popular sins known by the general term of Neo-Malthusianism in connection with the purity and sanctity of the marriage state."

(1) Your committee feels that while warning against these sins is entirely in place in the preaching of the Word (e.g. on the 7th commandment), mention of these things is out of place in the Form for the Solemnization of Marriage.

(2) We advise Synod to refer this instruction to the Advisory Committee on the Revision of our Liturgical Forms for its consideration.

Adopted.

F. In connection with the Revision of our Liturgical Formularies the Advisory Committee of 1928 states (see Agenda, Part I, page 92, last part of first paragraph): "Should Synod desire revisions of other Forms your committee suggests that a Committee be given a new, specific mandate."

(1) We advise Synod to appoint an Advisory Committee and to request it to prepare a revision of the Formu-
Iary for the Ordination of Elders and Deacons to be presented to our next Synod. \textit{Ground:}
Of all our Formularies this one is probably the most in need of revision. 
\textit{Adopted.}

G. \textit{Instruction of Classis Holland} (see Agenda, Part II, page XXIV) and \textit{Counter-Instruction from Classis Sioux Center}, page XXV.

(1) Your committee advises Synod to accede to the Overture of Classis Holland "to erase from the Form for the Ordination of Deacons: 'Let him that has stolen steal no more, but rather let him labor, working with his hands the things which are good, that he may give to him that needeth.' \textit{Grounds:}

a) It needlessly offends the deserving poor who receive support.

b) The reference (Eph. 4:28) does not primarily have in mind people who are supported by the church.

c) The conditions condemned by Paul in Eph. 4:28 are so exceptional that it seems unnecessary to incorporate this injunction in this Form for Ordination. 
\textit{Adopted.}

(2) In reply to the \textit{Counter-Instruction} from \textit{Classis Sioux Center} your Committee recommends that Synod answer:

It is our opinion that the matter is not of sufficient importance to necessitate a consultation with the churches of the Netherlands and South Africa.

\textit{Adopted.}

(Continued in Art. 113.)

\textbf{ARTIKEL 106}

The \textit{Obituary Committee} (cf. Art. 8) now presents the following Report:

\textit{Esteemed Brethren:}

Since the previous Synod until the beginning of this Synod the Lord removed from our midst by death six of our ministers. They are the following:

The Rev. Peter Schutt, Pastor Emeritus. He died at the age of 76. He was born Nov. 23, 1851. He died Aug. 4, 1928.


The Rev. S. S. Vander Heide, Pastor Emeritus. He was born Dec. 3, 1869, and departed this life Sept. 11, 1929, aged 59 years.


The Rev. B. Nagel. He died at the age of 64, having been born June 7, 1862, and dying Oct. 10, 1929.

But while Synod was in session the Rev. Abel J. Brink, Pastor Emeritus, and Elder-Delegate of Classis Pacific, met with a tragic death. His sudden departure from life truly reminds us all that there is but a step between us and death, and that we should always be ready to meet the Lord.

The Rev. A. J. Brink died at the age of 64. He was born Oct. 2, 1865. His departure took place June 15, 1930.

This account shows that we mourn the loss of seven of our pastors since the last Synod, four of whom were Pastors Emeritus, and three in active service.

Your committee advises Synod to accept the following resolutions:

(1) Synod humbly submits to the wise Providence of God, by which these brethren after a longer or shorter period of active service were removed from the Church Militant to the Church Triumphant.

(2) Synod gratefully acknowledges the faithful services of these ministers in the different churches they served to the glory of Christ our heavenly King.

(3) Synod expresses its sympathy with the bereaved, praying that God may comfort them in their sorrow.

(4) Synod resolves to place these resolutions in the Acta of 1930, and to send a copy to the bereaved relatives.

Respectfully submitted,

C. De Leeuw,

S. P. Eldersveld.

Adopted.

ARTIKEL 107

Dr. H. Beets closes this session with prayer.
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ARTICLE 108

Psalter No. 413 is sung. Rev. G. Zylstra leads in prayer.

ARTICLE 109

The roll call shows that all delegates are present.

ARTICLE 110

The Acts of the seventeenth and eighteenth sessions are read by the Clerk, and approved by Synod.

ARTICLE 111

Continuation of the Report of the Committee of Pre-Advice in re Missions (cf. Art. 99):

IX. Calling and Sending of Missionaries.

Concerning this matter a Postscript (A) was added to the report of the Committee for "Rules and Regulations," signed by Prof. W. Heyns, Rev. G. Hofmeyer, and Rev. J.K. Van Baalen (Agenda, Part I, pp. 17-19); and an instruction from Classis Pella (Agenda, Part II, Page viii).

In both the Postscript "A" and the Instruction from Classis Pella there is a request that Synod officially rescind the old stipulation of Art. I of the old Regulations for Home Missions.

Your Committee advises as follows:

(1) Synod revise Art. I of the Rules for Home Missions, established in 1910 (Acts of Synod 1910, p. 17), and amended in 1912 (Acts 1912, p. 12); and an article be placed in the New Order for Home Missions, in which the right of calling and sending and rules of the labor of Missionary Ministers is given to Consistory, Classis or Synod, from which the respective mission proceeds and by which it is carried out. Ground:

"The calling and sending of Missionary Ministers shall be done by a local church, but if the
circumstances demand it, then the calling shall be done by the combined churches in a manner to be determined by these churches themselves, and in agreement with the Synodical and Classical stipulations. So decided.

(2) The revision of Art. I of the Regulations for Home Missions be entrusted to a Committee to be appointed by Synod for the revision of the Mission Order (see below, XI,C,2), with the mandate to report on this at the next Synod. Ground:
Your Committee does not have sufficient time to complete this revision.
So decided. (Art. 139, sub 23.)

X. Postscript B (Agenda, Part I, p.29).
Your Committee advises not to enter into this material, seeing no grounds are presented for the conviction of the signer, that the expression "the entire church" is not entirely free from a collegialistic coloring.
Instead of accepting this advice, Synod declares that it favors the expression: "the Church in general". (Cp.Art. 14, Mission Order.)

XI. The Report of the Committee on Status of Missionaries.
A. We recommend that this report (Agenda, Part I, pp.21-16) be received as information.
So decided.

B. In this report there are three matters that are considered:
(1) The Status of Missionaries in foreign fields;
(2) The Status of Missionaries in the Indian field;
(3) The Status of Home Missionaries.

C. Your Committee recommends:
(1) That Synod adopt the statement of the Committee in its report (Agenda, Part I, p.21) concerning the status of Missionaries in foreign fields: Such Missionaries have the
same status as all our ministers, being officially pastors of
the churches which called and sent them."

Adopted.

(2) That, for the reasons given in the Agenda, Part I,
p. 22, Synod adopt the advice given by the Committee on
Status of Missionaries on our Indian and Chinese Fields:
"We advise Synod to appoint a committee whose task it
shall be to thoroughly revise our Mission Order,—to study
the conditions of our Indian and Chinese Mission fields and
to propose such changes in our Mission Order that the con­
fusion now existing in regard to various matters may be
eliminated. A proposal simply affecting the status of the
Missionaries on our Indian field, or a Synodical decision in
that regard, would very likely create still greater confu­
sion. The proposed change must be well defined in our
Mission Order" (page 23). (Cf. Art. 128, sub 23.)

Adopted.

(3) In re Status of Home Missionaries, the Committee
appointed by the Synod of 1928 advises adding the follow­
ing amendment to Art. 42 of our Church Manual (Agenda,
Part I, p. 26): "It is within the jurisdiction of each clas­
sical assembly to grant the privilege of membership to its
Home Missionaries."

Your Committee does not sustain this proposal because it
conflicts:

(1) With Art. 33 of our Church Manual, "Those who
are delegated to the assemblies shall bring with them their
credentials and instructions, signed by those sending them,
and they shall have a vote in all matters, etc." It would
seem fair to conclude that only they that are delegated
and have consistorial credentials shall have a vote;

(2) With Art. 41 of our Church Manual, "The Classi­
cal meetings shall consist of neighboring churches that re­
spectively delegate with proper credentials, a minister and
an elder, etc." It appears that Classis, by adding to its
membership those who are not delegated by churches, does
violence to this article.
Your Committee is of the opinion that a better way can be found and advises that Synod attach the following to Article 41: In re delegating Home Missionaries to Classical Assemblies:

a) Wherever possible, a Home Missionary should be connected, as minister, with one of the congregations which he serves, in order that it may delegate him to the Classical assemblies;

b) In the event it is not possible for a Home Missionary to be delegated to Classical assemblies as stated in a) above, it is within the jurisdiction of Classis, by way of exception to Art. 41, to grant a Home Missionary, when delegated by a church together with its Minister and an Elder, the privilege of membership in the Classical assembly. Reasons:

(1) Art. 33 requires that members shall have credentials signed by those sending them;

(2) Art. 41 states that the Classical meetings shall consist of neighboring churches that delegate. (The conflict with Art. 84 is more apparent than real.)

Adopted.

XII. Fellow-Believers in South America.

A. Report of Deputies for South America is presented:

(1) Report of Deputies for the Care of the Spiritual Interests of our Fellow-Believers in South America (Agenda, Part I, p.104).

(Supplement IX.)

(2) Postscript of the Report of the Deputies for South America, with two supplements:

a) A letter from the Netherlands Deputies for South America, containing an advice from Dr. H.H. Kuyper, in complete agreement with the advice of Prof. W. Heyns, concerning the proposed loan;

b) A letter from the Consistory of Tres Arroyos-San Cayetano;

c) Concept of a Letter of Call;

Your Committee advises that all these items be included in the Acts, but that only 1, 2, and 3 shall now be read, without the Supplements since the contents of the Supplements, a and b is included in the postscript of the Report of the Deputies, and the Financial Report comes at the close of this report. (See p. 153 infra.) So decided.

B. The loaning of a Minister of the Word to the Congregation of Tres Arroyos-San Cayetano.

(1) Information: The mandate of the Synod of 1928 given to the Deputies for South America reads as follows: "Your Committee advises: In view of the promise made in 1924...our Committee on work in South America be authorized to take the preparatory steps to fulfil this promise. Adopted." (Acts 1928, p. 44).

a) In this connection the Report of the Deputies contains the following (Agenda, Part I, p. 104): "Also the preparatory steps to fulfill the promise made were blest. After a proper announcement in De Wachter and in The Banner, a suitable coworker for Rev. Sonneveldt was found in Student B. Bruxvoort. Deputies can freely recommend him and his helpmeet (a daughter of Missionary Fryling)."

b) Deputies propose (Agenda, Part I, p. 105): "That again Deputies be appointed with mandate as before, and besides, with the special mandate to loan him as a Minister of the Word for two years to Classis Buenos Aires and the congregation of Tres Arroyos-San Cayetano, trusting that then there will be sufficient light enabling us to see how to proceed further."

Accepted as information.

(2) Advice: Your Committee advises:

a) That again Deputies be appointed with mandate as before;
So decided. (Art. 128, sub. 9.)
b) Moreover that the special mandate be given to loan a Minister of the Word for two years to the congregation Tres Arroyos-San Cajetano in Classis Buenos Aires, trusting that then there will be sufficient light enabling us to see how to proceed further. Grounds for b):

(1) Already by our Synod of 1924 a promise was made to loan a minister to this congregation, should the emigration from the Netherlands strengthen it considerably (Acts 1924, P.74);

(2) In 1928 the Deputies could report (Acts 1928, p.265): "San Cayetano now has its own church building, an organ, a lay preacher, a Young Men's Society (twenty members), a flourishing Sunday School, and very serious plans are being weighed for starting a Sunday School among the Argentines and even a Christian School. Here is partly as a result of the immigration a definitely lively and blessed church life. In connection with a promise made earlier, the Consistory of San Cayetano and Tres Arroyos requested your Deputies to send a Minister now. The conditions set have been pretty near or possibly fully satisfied, and so the time has come to begin planning with that Consistory, nd to look for a person and the necessary finances. The Deputies look for an encouraging word from Synod to proceed with it.

"Also Tres Arroyos has new life, and now has a good, faith­ful nucleus. With grateful memory of Elder Pluis, a J. Pluis Fund was begun, so that later, if possible, ground may be purchased and a church built. The Sunday School with its sixty pupils works, in the Spanish language, with blessing; also the Young People's Society, and there is evidence of a serious desire for a Holland school.

(3) In agreement with the mandate of the Synod of 1928, the Deputies have recommended a candidate, who is available to be loaned
to the congregation Tres Arroyos-San Cayetano. There is a balance of $3,000 in the treasury.

(4) As to the proposed loan, regarding this we have received a favorable advice from Prof. W. Heyns, and also from Dr. H.H. Kuyper, whose advice was obtained through the Netherlands Deputies, while a written contract, covering this matter, signed by the Consistory members of Tres Arroyos-San Cayetano, and signed by the Counsellor, Rev. A.C. Sonneveldt, is in our possession. (See De Wachter of June 18, 1930, p. 395.) Further Supplement IX.)

So decided.

C. Further your Committee advises that Candidate B. Bruxvoort be called, according to church custom, to be loaned to this church. Grounds:

(1) Deputies report: "A suitable coworker for Rev. A.C. Sonneveldt was found in Student B. Bruxvoort, who has declared himself available for this purpose. . . . Deputies can freely recommend him." (Agenda, Part I, p. 104);

(2) A balance of $3,025 may be considered sufficient, since the collections are still coming in well.

So decided.

D. Your Committee advises that Synod itself determine the method of calling.

It is decided that Synod itself will call Candidate B. Bruxvoort for the work in South America as described above.

The Letter of Call composed by the Deputies is read and approved, then signed by the President and Clerk of Synod, and presented to Candidate Bruxvoort. It reads as follows:

Mr. B. Bruxvoort,
Holland, Michigan.

Dear Brother in Christ, Greetings:

The Synod of the Christian Reformed Church in America, gathered in Grand Rapids, Mich. U.S.A. June 11, 1930 and following days,
moved by the desire to offer assistance to the Congregations of our fellow-believers in South America, judged that the time had come to fulfill the promise made by an earlier Synod to these Congregations and decided to proceed to the election of a person who would be suitable to send hence as Minister of the Word to labor in the service, toward the perfection of the saints and the edification of the body of Christ.

The result of these deliberations was that You, Esteemed Brother Bruxvoort, were chosen unanimously.

Accordingly Synod approved calling You, as it now calls You, so that when you eventually accept the call, after examination and ordination, and further placed in office, your will be loaned to the Church of San Cayetano-Tres Arroyos, to labor as Shepherd and Minister in her midst, in the Ministry of the Word and Sacraments, and further, insofar as desired by authorities, to labor cooperatively for the edification and strengthening of other of scattered South American Congregations, and the gathering of scattered believers toward an organized ecclesiastical life.

The intent of Synod to first place You in office, is to answer the question of the nature of a loan. As one can only loan that which belongs to him, and during the time of the loan remains his possession, so also Synod can only loan You as Minister of the Word, and during the period of the loan You will remain one of its Ministers of the Word. Moreover, in this way Synod want to assure You of continued rights which, if your entering into the service of the Church of San Cayetano-Tres Arroyos also would be entering into the service of the Reformed Churches of the Netherlands, could not any longer be Yours.

Anyway the condition has been placed before the Consistory of San Cayetano-Tres Arroyos that it, without it having called You, and without your becoming a Minister of the Reformed Churches in the Netherlands, it will receive You as a loaned Minister of the Christian Reformed Church in America with acknowledgment of the full rights which according to the C.O. belong to a Minister of the Word. This condition has been approved by the Netherlands Classis Rotterdam, to which the South American churches are bound, and the Consistory of this Congregation has solemnly declared to willingly abide by this.

Accompanying such an acknowledgement of full rights from the Consistory, from Your side it requires a complete service. For this reason
the Synod outlined, expressed above, the task that it expects from You, namely, as a task to not only serve the Congregation San Cayetano-Tres Arroyos and in its midst to do that which a faithful Shepherd and Minister finds to do, but also to cooperate in the edification and strengthening of other Congregations and the gathering of those scattered.

But as a member Synod means that You, by the claims of the communion of the saints and in the interest of Your Ministry, you will affiliate and be inscribed in the Congregation San Cayetano-Tres Arroyos, and that You as such and as a loaned Minister will be under the supervision and discipline of that Consistory, with the understanding that in case of discipline this Consistory will have the right of suspension, but that definite suspension or deposition shall not occur without consultation and approval on the part of our Churches.

In order to supply your temporal needs, the Synod promises to pay the sum of $1,350.00 for your salary, as well as underwriting the transportation costs of You and Yours, and You goods, from Grand Rapids, Mich., to Your field of labor. Regarding an automobile, further arrangements will be made, with mutual approval.

And now, Brother, may the King of His Church impress upon your heart the need of the concerned Churches and give you light and guidance in this matter. We expect that You, eventually accepting, will report to our Deputies quarterly.

Expecting that you will gladden us by accepting this call,

Best wishes, Yours,
For the Synod,
W.P. Van Wyk, President.
D. Zwier, Clerk.

Done in Synodical session of Thursday, June 26, 1930.

(See further Art. 116.)
E. Concerning the time of the examination, the ordination and the installation, the following is found in the Report of the Deputies, and in the Appendix of that Report:

(1) In the Report: "That this Synod, now in session, give this firstling for South America, the peremptory examination, and if successful, solemnly ordain;"

(2) But in the Appendix: "Concerning the placing of Brother Bruxvoort in office, in the Report included in the Agenda, we suggested that we could see no reason, why Synod, in whose hands the whole matter rests, could not itself or through its Deputies, do this. If it makes the Call, why not also the examination and ordination? In view of the right and the competence of a General Synod to do this, we are still of the same opinion. Considering, however, that possibly Synod might not feel inclined to busy itself with this, and that the number of its Deputies for this matter is very small, we are now of the opinion that we must recommend to mandate the examination to a Classis to be designated by it. Since Brother Bruxvoort is a member of Sherman St., it would be natural that Classis Grand Rapids East be designated by Synod."

Your Committee advises that Synod itself determine the manner of the peremptory examination, the ordination and the installation.

DECIDED to appoint a Committee, consisting of one delegate from each Classis, to examine Candidate Bruxvoort and to make further regulations for his ordination and installation. The following brethren are appointed for this: Revs. W. Groen, Y. P. De Jong, L. Veltkamp, H. Kuiper, A. B. Voss, M. Bordman, J. Gritter, H. E. Oostendorp; Elders B. J. Bennink, A. DeVries, M. J. Broekhuizen, S. Ellens, F. Santjer, Chas. Vander Kooi; C. Visser. (See further Art. 125.)

F. Further your Committee advises that again the same Deputies be appointed, but with the addition of
Prof. W. Heyns. This latter is in harmony with the advice of the Deputies. So decided.

G. Your Committee advises that the work among our fellow-believers in South America be recommended to our churches for an annual collection or contribution. So decided.

H. Here follows the Financial Report of the Treasurer:

**RECEIPTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classis</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>$ 159.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids East</td>
<td>$ 744.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids West</td>
<td>$ 695.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackensack</td>
<td>$ 103.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>$ 325.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>$ 286.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>$ 629.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskegon</td>
<td>$ 628.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange City</td>
<td>$ 341.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostfriesland</td>
<td>$ 74.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>$ 253.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pella</td>
<td>$ 260.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sioux Center</td>
<td>$ 387.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>$ 125.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeeland</td>
<td>$ 408.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISBURSEMENTS**

- Subsidy for Rev. Sonneveldt  $ 2,000.00
- Subsidy for Brother Bruxvoort  $ 312.00
- Wachters and 1 Banner  $ 73.50
- Charges, meetings, correspondence, and map  $ 2429.26

In Treasury  $3,025.32

Of the 262 congregations 182 collected; 80 have not since the last Synod. The Deputies are given mandate as in 1928. The People's State Bank of Holland also these two years served us gratis by transmitting funds; and the brethren in South America several times asked the deputies to express their thanks to Synod.

Respectfully submitted,
J. Wyngaarden, Treas.

Received as information.
XIII. *Overture of Classis Illinois* (Agenda, Part II, pp. IX):

* Synod decide that the “Concept-Regeling tot Pensionering van ‘Ongeordende Workers’ op ons Zendingsveld,” as found in Acta Synodi 1920, pp. 22 and 23, Art. 25, par. 12, be made to apply also to the Jewish Mission field, with the one exception that “kas der Jodenzending” be substituted for “kas der Board der Heidenzending.”

Your Committee recommends that this Overture be adopted by Synod on our Missions field.

* Adopted:

**"fund for Jewish mission" for "fund of the Board of Heathen mission"**

XIV. *Overture of Classis Illinois*. (Agenda, Part II, pp. IX.)

In connection with the Status of Home Missionaries (dealt with under XI), your Committee considered the Overture of Classis Illinois, concerning the Status of ordained Jewish Missionaries.

The Overture reads as follows:

“Classis petitions Synod that it also consider the Status of Ordained Jewish Missionaries when considering the Status of Home Missionaries. *Reason:* An ordained missionary is to be called for the work at Nathanael Institute.”

We advise that Synod declare that the privilege of membership be granted, by classical assemblies, to ordained Jewish Missionaries, in harmony with the ruling which applies to Home Missionaries.

* Adopted.*

ARTIKEL 112

A letter expressing the thanks of Mrs. A. J. Brink for the sympathy shown her in her recent bereavement is read, and received as information.

ARTIKEL 113

Continuation of the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice on *Order of Worship* (cf. Art. 105):

Your Committee on the New Order of Worship and the Revision of certain of our Liturgical Forms, continues its report as follows:
II. Protests against or requests for revision of the New Order of Worship for the First Service as adopted by the Synod of 1928.

A. The following documents were presented to us by the Stated Clerk by means of the official Agenda, Part II, pages xxxiv to li:

a) Overture of Classis G. R. West;
b) Overture of Classis Illinois;
c) Overture of Classis Muskegon;
d) Overture of Classis Sioux Center;
e) Overture of Classis Pella;
f) Overture of Classis Zeeland;
g) Overture of Classis Pacific;
h) Overture of Classis Orange City;
i) Overture of the Consistory of Ellsworth, Mich.;
j) Overture of the Consistory of Aetna, Mich.;
k) Overture of the Consistory of Hull, Iowa;
l) Overture of the Consistory of Shepherd, Mont.;
m) Overture of the Consistory of Ripon, Calif.;
n) Overture of the Consistory of Rock Rapids, Iowa.

The Stated Clerk also presented to us the following documents:

(1) Protest of the Consistory of the Second Chr. Ref. Church of Kalamazoo, Mich.
(2) Protest of the Consistory of the Chr. Ref. Church of Muskegon Heights, Mich.
(4) Protest of Mr. Ate Dykstra, of Grand Rapids, Mich.
(with reply of Broadway Consistory.)
(5) Protest of H. Meninga, Ripon, Calif.
(6) Protest of K. Winters, Ripon, Calif.
(7) Protest of G. H. Schaapman, Ripon, Calif.
(8) Protest of Wm. Verner, Ripon, Calif.
(9) Protest of Gerhard Vander Beek, Ripon, Calif.
(10) Protest of G. Meninga, Ripon, Calif.
(11) Protest of Thies Schuiling, Ripon, Calif.
(12) Protest of G. Vrieling, Ripon, Calif.
(Protests 4 to 12 are mentioned in the Agenda, Part II, p. 51, though the contents are not given.)

Finally, your Committee finds in the report of the Synodical Committee on the Improvement of our Public Worship (Agenda, Part I, pp. 50 to 68) considerable material in connection with the question of a possible revision or rescinding of the New Order of Worship adopted in 1928. We shall make reference to this material once and again in our report.

All the documents above mentioned are legally before Synod.

B. Brief review of the nature and contents of the overtures and protests mentioned under A.

On the question of rescinding or revising the New Order, there are before this body overtures from eight Classes (two from Classis Muskegon), eight consistorys, and ten individuals. Of these individual protests, eight are from one church, Ripon, Calif.

The objections entered against the New Order can be roughly divided into three classes: First, we meet the practical objection that the New Order endangers the peace of the churches—the view that the New Order is already a disturbing influence, and that the attempt to enforce the decision of 1928 would further disturb the churches, or that the peace of the churches demands revision or rescinding—is plainly stated or suggested in the Overtures from six of our Classes. We quote briefly from these Overtures: Classis G. R. West, "with a view to the peace of the churches"; Classis Illinois, "the danger of disturbing the peace of the churches"; Classis Sioux Center, "because introducing of the new order will arouse unrest in many congregations. The matter in question is of too little weight than that the rest of the congregation might be sacrificed", Classis Pella, "through this promote the much desired unity and peace"; Classis Zeeland, "The New Form of Worship will not
have the desired unity as a result, but will promote division"; Classis Pacific, "Although this freedom will not immediately bring about the greatly desired unity in divine worship, it would nevertheless promote peace in the churches."

This same view is expressed in several of the overtures from Consistories, as, for instance, Elssworth, "With an eye to the peace of the church"; Aetna, "the present general dissatisfaction"; Shepherd, "because it endangers the honor and peace of the churches"; Ripon, "the disturbance in our churches"; Second Kalamazoo, "because the consistory is of the opinion that compelling the introduction of this form will sooner bring about strife and discord than blessing in the churches."

Secondly, objections are entered that are of a formal nature—objections that give expression in various forms to the general idea that Synod has no authority to establish a uniform order of worship and to force it upon the churches. More extended reference to this class of objections will be found in the second part of our report.

Thirdly, objections raised against the material contents of the New Order. A few isolated minor objections or requests for revision are found in the overtures as, for instance, the request of Classis Muskegon, that "the question of whether or not the offering shall be received during the singing of a Psalm be left optional with the various consistories." However, practically all the objections of a material nature are entered against the service of reconciliation and its various elements, particularly against the absolution. All the protests from individuals emphasize this objection. It is found in very pronounced form in seven overtures from consistories. And of the Classes, Classis Zeeland and Classis Orange City object to the absolution in very emphatic fashion; Classis Illinois requests reconsideration, particularly of the absolution and the service of reconciliation; Classis Pella desires a new order containing only the elements agreeable to all (which would, of course, eliminate the absolution), and Classis Muskegon asks that the absolution be revised so as to consist merely of quotations from Scripture.
Various and manifold are the objections entered against these new elements. Without attempting a complete enumeration, we mention the following as being some of the most prominent and most often repeated.

They (absolution and service of reconciliation) are not founded on Scripture; they are unnecessary; they foster formalism and ritualism; the absolution is lifted to a sacrament; it will push the preaching into the background; it is a step in the direction of Rome; God alone can forgive sins; the absolution transfers the exercise of the power of the keys from the Word to the man and his office.

Again, objections are entered against other particular elements of the service of reconciliation as, for instance, that the law occurs primarily as the teacher of sin, not as the rule of life, and that the special confession of sin by means of a separate liturgical act impoverishes our general or long prayer. Again, objection is made against the whole idea of a service of reconciliation as being unnecessary, improper, and even leading us away from the "rechte lijn" (Orange City).

The question arises in what way we can give proper consideration to these objections in this report and on the floor of Synod. It would be an endless task and also a very tiresome task to consider each overture and protest separately. Moreover, since they in many cases cover the same ground, such a method of treatment is unnecessary and would be an unwarranted waste of time. We propose to discuss briefly a few of the main objections.

Before we do this, there is a preliminary problem that demands consideration. It has been averred by some that Synod of 1928 adopted only the bare skeleton of seventeen items found on page 55 of the Acts. For instance, according to this view, Synod adopted No. 6, Absolution—merely that one word, nothing more. No particularly formula of absolution, we are told, has Synodical sanction. Nor are the names given to the various groups of liturgical elements, such as "service of reconciliation," approved by Synod.

Now, whatever element of truth there be in this view, it is surely only one side of the truth. First, the seventeen
items enumerated on page 55 of the Acts must be interpreted in the light of the report of which this decision is the fruition. When Synod adopted "No. 6, Absolution," it gave a certain sanction to the absolution that was presented to it by its committee. Secondly, the words, "the absolution is found essentially in the form (italics ours) used for the administration of the Lord's Supper" (Acts 1928, p. 52, 4), are an implied Synodical approval of a particular form of absolution. Again, the words, "Holy Scripture does indeed make reconciliation the center of the Christian's relationship with God" (Acts 1928, p. 52, 2), are an implied Synodical approval of the name and idea of a service of reconciliation. (We also remark in this connection that the formula for absolution, and the name "service of reconciliation" are found, and of necessity had to be found, in the Directory for Public Worship sent to our churches. This directory was prepared upon Synodical instruction and it was to have been incorporated in succeeding editions of our Psalter.)

It is perfectly true that, in the strictest, most technical sense of the word, Synod adopted only the seventeen items of the New Order, and this will have to be remembered when the question of rescinding comes up for consideration. But the point we seek to make is that the name and idea of a service of reconciliation and the particular formula of absolution now in use in a few of our churches have a certain Synodical sanction and are therefore properly brought to the attention of this body in the overtures and the protests enumerated above.

C. We shall now consider briefly some of the most important objections entered against the service of reconciliation, and particularly against the absolution.

(1) The absolution as a separate liturgical element apart from the preaching is nowhere demanded by Scripture. This point is made over and over again in the overtures and protests presented to this body. And the significant fact must not be lost sight of that this point is conceded by all the friends of the New Order. Nobody claims to find any proof in Holy Writ for the position that our
churches must introduce a special absolution apart from the regular preaching of the Word. Nor is there any trace of such a liturgical element in the worship of the Church as it is pictured to us on the pages of the New Testament.

Your Committee believes that this fact (the special absolution not demanded by Scripture) should receive the very earnest consideration of this Synod, in view of the danger of further disturbing the peace of the churches. May we endanger the peace and the welfare of our denomination by insisting upon a liturgical element that has no clear Scriptural foundation?

(2) The service of reconciliation is not needed, since all its elements are now found in the Old Order. This objection again is the burden of many overtures and protests. Your Comm. holds that this objection is valid in this sense that whatever good there may be in the separate elements of the service of reconciliation is now present in the old order. We express ourselves carefully at this point, because we believe there is a flaw, a foreign element in the absolution and in the whole idea of a service of reconciliation, an element we have never had and do not want today.

But the point is well taken, that we have the confession of sin in the general prayer, and the declaration of pardon in the preaching. Why, then, do we need them in this new form? And if the confession be lifted out of the general prayer, do you not impoverish that prayer so that it no longer is patterned after the model prayer our Savior gave us in which confession of sin is one of the elements? Again, your Committee feels that the introduction of a special absolution apart from the preaching would, especially after the lapse of a few years, inevitably have this result that our people would consider the matter of receiving assurance of forgiveness a settled matter before the sermon begins. They would feel that they receive the assurance of pardon then on special formula and that after that matter has been attended to, they then receive the Word, primarily for other purposes. Indeed, this is the inevitable logic of the New Order as expressed by the Committee itself in its 1920 report.
Having received the assurance of pardon and engaged in acts of thanksgiving to God its Savior, the Church now needs the ministry of the Word that it may persevere in Christian gratitude, and grow in the knowledge and grace of the Lord Jesus Christ and also that the unconverted may turn to God" (Agendam 1920, p. 68).

We contend that this is not the Reformed view. According to the Reformed view our people must rejoice in the service of the Word and eagerly look forward to it, because of its glorious message of pardon. (Catechism, Lord's Day XXXI.)

All this does not, however, state our most fundamental objection to the absolution and service of reconciliation.

(3) A special service of reconciliation has no proper place in the worship of the reconciled Church of Christ. We shall explain our position on this matter somewhat at length.

Just as the phrase, "service of thanksgiving" means: service consisting of thanksgiving, so also the name "service of reconciliation" would seem to mean: service consisting of a reconciliation. Notice also that it is a transaction between God and His people. God comes with His law to His people, they confess their sins, God assures them of pardon, etc. The service of reconciliation is therefore manifestly a transaction of reconciliation between God and His people.

And it is a service of reconciliation that must take place, we are told, at the very beginning of the service. We quote from the report of 1928, p. 280: "Note first of all that it follows the opening service. In this service the Lord and His people greet each other. After the greeting comes the meeting or the actual exercise of communion. But since God's people are a sinful people, God can have fellowship with them and they with God only on the foundation of Divine grace, a grace realized for them in Christ's atonement, and bearing fruit in God's reconciliation with them and their reconciliation with God. Hence the service of reconciliation must follow the opening service in which God and His people greet each other."

In a word, a sinful people can enjoy fellowship with God
in public worship only after it has first engaged in a preliminary transaction of reconciliation. That is the reason given by the Committee for the service of reconciliation. That is the logic of the quotation just rendered and the impression the service has made upon our people.

Your Committee holds that this line of reasoning constitutes a serious flaw in the New Order. The Church of Christ is reconciled with God. It is forever reconciled with God. That is its permanent status. "For if, while we were enemies, we were reconciled to God by the death of His Son, much more being reconciled shall we be saved by His life" (Romans 5:10).

True, we sin daily. And those sins must be confessed in all humility of heart, in private devotions and in public worship. And as those who sin daily, we ever rejoice to hear in the preaching God's assurance of forgiveness. But, the sins that the believers commit daily, do not again place him in an unreconciled relation to his God. Therefore, a service or transaction of reconciliation between God and His redeemed people is never necessary. On the contrary, the whole service of worship must be permeated with the idea that God is meeting with His reconciled people. As Dr. A. Kuyper puts it in his *Onze Eerderijen*, "De derde conditie ligt in het verzoend zijn der gemeente." And this is said by Kuyper in the chapter entitled, "Saamkomen met God als Verzoende Gemeente."

The correct Reformed conception of worship is, we take it, that it is from the very beginning a meeting of fellowship between God and His people, and fellowship in the full sense of the word. It is part of that fellowship that we as God's people confess our sins. We do that in humility, in the spirit of contrition; however, not in the spirit of fear, but with the assurance of God's love and forgiveness in our hearts.

The idea dominating the New Order appears to be that, when God's people proceed to worship, there is an obstacle between them and their God that must first be removed, and only after that removal, after that service of reconciliation, can they enter into the fulness of fellowship with their God. *"The third condition lies in the congregation as redeemed."And this is said by Kuyper in the chapter, "Meeting with God as Redeemed Congregation."
But let us proceed. This flaw, as we conceive it to be, in the very idea of a service of reconciliation, can be clearly seen in the place given to or the use made of the separate elements of this service, such as law, confession, and declaration of pardon. In themselves, these are good and necessary liturgical elements. But the place given them and the use made of them in the service of reconciliation are vitiated by the flaw in the very idea of such a service.

Consider, for instance, the law. Your Committee holds that the great majority of our people have always thought that the law came to them in our services for public worship as the rule of Christian gratitude. Dr. A. Kuyper, in his Onze Eereldienst, p. 215, says: “Is de schare in het kerkgebouw niet een groep hoorders, maar een vergadering der geloovigen, dan kan de wet niet onder hen op­treden als een tuchtmeester tot Christus... In een verga­dering der geloovigen daarentegen weerklinkt de wet als Goddelijke regel der dankbaarheid, en wel als regel der dankbaarheid voor de praktijk des levens.”

The Committee on Public Worship, however, gives it a very different place. The law is found in the New Order primarily as the teacher of sin. The response to it must be a humble and contrite confession of sin.

And this new place given to the law inevitably follows from the idea of a service of reconciliation. The law must convict of sin. Confession must be made. Pardon must be granted. That is the only way reconciliation can take place.

Again, confession of sin must become a separate liturgical element, instead of, as is the case in the old order, being joined with petition and praise and thanksgiving in the same general prayer. For, reconciliation must take place. And in all reconciliation confession of sin is a separate, distinct stage in the proceedings. And then pardon must follow again as a separate act. And only after that can there be fellowship and praise and rejoicing and thanksgiving.

Thirdly, the absolution or assurance of pardon must follow immediately upon confession, and as a separate act. It is not enough, according to the viewpoint we are criti-

* Is the multitude in the church building not a group of hearers, but a gathering of believers, then the law cannot be among them as a disciplinarian to bring them to Christ...In a gathering of believers, on the contrary, the law resounds the divine rule of gratitude, and indeed as the rule of gratitude for practical life."
cizing, that there be a general proclamation of pardon in the preaching. No, the transaction of reconciliation must be rounded out or completed. The obstacle that has arisen (presumably the sins of the past week) between God and His people must be removed. Absolution must therefore follow immediately upon confession, in order that the people may pass through the preliminary portal of reconciliation into the temple of full fellowship.

We ask in this connection, does not the absolution, thus conceived of, naturally become something different from the general proclamation of pardon in the preaching? Is it not true that only a judicial pardon can effect a reconciliation? And when the Committee on Public Worship says in its report to Synod of 1928 (Acts, p. 286) of the special absolution: “No divine service is complete without it, since it is an essential element of the fellowship of God with His people and of His people with Him,” does not the Committee itself take the position that the essence of the special absolution is not found in the preaching? Granted that all this be meant well, is not then the special absolution, which certainly is an exercise of the power of the keys, conceived of as a third key of the Kingdom, contrary to Lord’s Day XXXI of the Catechism?

After thus analyzing the flaw in the service of reconciliation and its various parts, your Committee wishes to present briefly to Synod what it conceives to be the correct place of law, confession and declaration of pardon in our worship. We proceed from the general principle subscribed to by all, that in public worship God meets with His redeemed people. After the salutation, God comes to His people with His law, that law which was once, in our conversion experience, our pedagogue to Christ, and which is ever after, in the life of the believer, the rule of Christian gratitude. And the response that God expects of His people is that response which only the redeemed can give by virtue of the new life God has wrought in their soul. It is the response that by God’s grace they love God’s law; not merely, not even primarily, the confession of our sinfulness and guilt, but the response prompted by our new self, by our regenerated heart, the response of Psalm 119, “I will
delight myself in Thy statutes, I cleave unto Thy testimonies."

We do not hesitate even to aver that the only specifically Christian response to the law is that which gives expression to the oneness of our will by God's grace, with the will of God as expressed in His law. Fear of the law, admission of our vileness and guilt, all this is not sufficient. God wants from His people the beautiful declaration that they have learned to love that law, that they are in the depths of their new regenerated self one with God's will.

However, that very response of love for and consecration to God's law will ever be accompanied in the heart of the sincere Christian by the confession that, as the Catechism puts it, "we have but a small beginning of this obedience," that many sins cleave to us daily. But this confession also will be a specifically Christian confession; not merely the confession of the sinner that he is vile and guilty in God's sight, but primarily the contrite admission of God's child that he as a Christian does not live up to his ideal. And this confession will inevitably lead to a prayer for forgiveness.

But this confession and prayer for forgiveness need not be a separate liturgical act; they can be joined with praise and thanksgiving in the same general prayer. For the Christian prays and confesses not as a condemned sinner beseeching a pardon that is not yet his, but he prays and confesses as God's child, in the consciousness of His Fatherly love, and with the assurance of forgiveness wrought in his soul by the Holy Spirit in and through the very act of confession. As Bavinck puts it so beautifully in his Dogmatics, IV, p. 240:

"Confession and prayer is therefore the way by which God arouses and strengthens the consciousness of forgiveness in the believer." And again, "This confession is then not a condition for forgiveness, but who truly knows his sin, confesses them spontaneously and then feels greater need of the comfort of forgiveness. That is why the prayer for forgiveness is a daily need of the believer. But he then does not pray in doubt and despair, he does not pray as if he were no longer a child of God, and as if he again awaited eternal condemnation, but he
And for that reason the Christian, as he confesses and prays for forgiveness in public worship, feels no need of a constantly-recurring formula of absolution spoken by a human agent (the minister). For his confession and prayer are part of his fellowship with his God. They can be joined together in the same general prayer with praise and thanksgiving. And when, after this prayer, the Word is preached, the believer rejoices in that Word because it assures him anew of God's love and forgiveness, and inspires him anew with consecration and zeal in the service of the God he loves.

Putting the line of reasoning given above in a nutshell, as it were, we arrive at the following contrast between the old and the new order:

**New Order**—A service of reconciliation must take place each Sabbath between God and His people. Therefore the law comes to them to convict them of sin. They must confess their sin and plead for forgiveness and be assured of pardon by a human agent, before they can meet their God in praise and thanksgiving, and engage in other acts of fellowship. After this comes the preaching of the Word, not primarily for the purpose of giving assurance of pardon, but for other purposes.

**Old Order**. God meets with His people forever reconciled with Him through the blood of His Son. God presents His law to them as the rule of life for a people thankful for redemption. His people give expression to their love for that law by virtue of the new life wrought in their soul. Then, in the long prayer, they confess their imperfections and implore the forgiveness of a God of Whose love they are ever assured. And, therefore, they can praise and adore Him in the same prayer in which they confess their sins and pray for forgiveness. Then follows the preaching, with its assurance of pardon and its inspiration to consecrated service.

Your Committee believes that the old order is more true to the Reformed conception of worship as a meeting of God with His redeemed people.

There are two other objections of a material nature against the new Order that demand brief consideration.
(4) The charge of Romanism. Your Committee would not like to subscribe to some of the extreme statements that have been made in this connection. On the other hand, we do not believe either that the fears of our people on this score should be dismissed in a high-handed fashion or in the spirit of ridicule. We call attention to the fact that in the New Order we have several new points of formal resemblance to Roman Catholic worship as, for instance, confession of sin by a separate act—assurance of pardon given in response to confession by a human agent as God's representative; greater dependence on the clergy so that no absolution can be received when a minister is not present. And it should be noticed that these points of formal resemblance are, as we have shown, intimately connected with the idea that a reconciliation must take place between God and man at the beginning of the service. And we do not hesitate to say that this idea is not free from the taint of Romanism.

(5) The danger of formalism. In this connection we merely make the following remarks:

a) forms in themselves are surely not wrong, nor is the introduction of more forms necessarily to be condemned. On the other hand, it cannot very well be controverted that in the measure we increase our forms, in that same measure the danger of possible formalism must be guarded against;

b) the idea that there is only one correct form according to which we can worship God is of the very essence of formalism;

c) the idea of our Committee for Public Worship that the special absolution will, if introduced, do much to remove the evil of lack of assurance of faith among our people (something the regular preaching of the Word has not accomplished) shows a faith in the power of forms in public worship that is not free of the taint of formalism;

d) there is all reason to believe that the absolution, even if it could be generally introduced without disturbance, would be a dead form to most of our people. They feel no need of it. They rather resent it. And
such a state of affairs, that is, forms of worship in which the hearts of our people do not live, may correctly be called formalism.

However, our main objection is against the service of reconciliation and the absolution as outlined above under (3).

And in consideration of the arguments that have been adduced, your Committee will recommend to Synod that it amend the decision of the last Synod in re New Order of Worship in such a manner that the law be followed by a Psalm of devotion and consecration, and that the absolution be eliminated.

These revisions, if adopted, will of course remove the very heart of the service of reconciliation from our public worship. The logical sequence of the various parts of that service will therefore be broken. For instance, the Credo is no longer necessary as the response to the absolution. Indeed, it is not needed at all in the first service, since it is found in the proposed order for the second service. The Psalm following can also be eliminated, since without this Psalm the congregation already sings four times in the service, and this is what our people have been accustomed to.

If these changes and eliminations are made, we have a thoroughly Reformed and beautiful logical sequence of liturgical elements, as follows:

1. Votum
2. Salutation
3. Psalm
4. Reading of the Law
5. Psalm of consecration and devotion.
6. Prayer (including element of confession and concluding with the Lord's Prayer)
7. Offering and Psalm
8. Offertory Prayer (optional)
9. Scripture Lesson
10. Sermon (including declaration of pardon)
11. Prayer
12. Psalm (with or without Doxology)
13. Benediction
14. Doxology if desired here

We shall propose to Synod the changes and eliminations involved in this proposed order. But before Synod can
properly act on these proposals, we must discuss in rather thorough fashion the formal aspects of the question before us.
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Elder M. Hoffius closes this session with prayer.

TWINTIGSTE ZITTING, DONDERDAGMIDDAG,
26 JUNI

ARTIKEL 115

No. 299 of the New Christian Hymnal is sung. The Rev. L. J. Lamberts leads in prayer.

ARTIKEL 116

The following letter is read (cf. Art. 111, XII, D):

June 26, 1930.

"To the Synod of the Christian Reformed Church, 1930.

*DEAR BRETHREN:—

"It gives me pleasure to announce that having received a call from this Assembly to labor in the Word and Sacraments at Tres Arceos-San Cayetano, Argentina, the Lord has given me sufficient grace to be able to answer: 'Here am I, send me.'

"B. BRUXVOORT."

Received as information. (Cf. Art. 125.)

ARTIKEL 117

Continuation of the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice on Order of Worship (cf. Art. 113):

Your Committee will now consider the Objections Raised Against the Synod of 1928, viewed from its formal side.

A. The Material in Hand.

As has been stated, your Committee has in hand overtures from eight Classes, eight Consistories, and ten individuals with respect to the decision of the Synod of 1928 in
The New Order of Worship. In all of these Synod is asked to rescind or to revise the decision. All but one come to Synod with strictures against the service of reconciliation and more specifically against the element of absolution. In several overtures objections are raised against the decision of the previous Synod on the score of Church Order.

The position of several Classes and Churches is that Synod of 1928 exceeded its powers when it adopted a specific order of worship to be introduced with synodical authority into all our churches. Thus Grand Rapids West overtures, "With a view to the peace of the churches, and in order not to bind consciences, Classis further overtures Synod not to press the introduction of the New Order of Worship, but rather leave it to the discretion of the various Consistories." (Part II, p. xxxiv.)

The Consistory of Hull expresses itself in a similar fashion: "De gewetens nogen niet aan banden gelegd worden volgens onze Nederlandsche Geloofsbijlidenis, Art. 32," (p. xlviii.)

Classis of Shepherd asks Synod to repeal the decision of 1928, "omdat de Synode geen recht heeft eene middelmatige zaak bindend te maken, en alzoo de conscientiën der gelovigen te binden (1 Petri 5:3; Art. XXXII ouzer Confessie)." (p. xlix.)

Classis Pacific overtures Synod to recognize the liberty of the churches in this matter, and to leave the adoption of the New Order to the judgment of the churches." It aduces as one of its grounds: "Deze vrijheid is in overeenstemming met de Gereformeerde gedachte, die vrijheid eischt in middelmatige zaken." (p. xxxix.)

Classis Orange City takes the position that denominational unity certainly requires unity of worship, but that unity of worship does not of necessity imply uniformity with respect to order of worship. It asserts that a specific order of worship, as long as this order does full justice to our Reformed principles, belongs to the domain in which Synod may certainly give advice and guidance, but in which the local Consistory should be left to decide, and that there-

"The conscience may not be bound according to our Netherlands Articles of Faith Art. 32."

"because the Synod has no right to make indifferent matters binding, and bind the consciences of believers."

"This freedom is in accord with the Reformed idea, which demands freedom in matters indifferent."
for a specific order of worship cannot properly be a matter of synodical prescription. (p. xli, B.)

Almost every Classis and Consistory overtures Synod in this matters, calls attention to the agitation and opposition that has developed as a result of the attempt to enforce the introduction of the New Order of Worship. The spirit of apprehension and the disturbance of the peace of the churches are grounds which occur repeatedly in the requests for repeal or revision.

When we ask the question, What do the various Classes and Consistories want Synod to do in this case? we find that the requests fall mainly under two heads. Some ask for an unqualified repeal or rescinding of the decision of 1928. Thus Classis Zeeland, and the Consistories of Ellsworth, Aetna, Hull, Shepherd, and Rock Rapids.

Classis Grand Rapids West overtures Synod not to press the New Order, but rather to leave it to the discretion of the various Consistories. Classis Muskegon urges “that the language used in the decision of Synod leave no room for fear of pressure or force, when a Consistory does not feel free to accept the new form in part or as a whole.”

Classis Zeeland declares: “Het besluit der Synode van 1928 met betrekking tot den eeredienst is in flagrant en strijd met het besluit van diezelfde Synode om het aparte koorgezang in den eeredienst over te laten aan den plaatselijke Kerkeraad.”

Classis Orange City requests Synod, “uit te spreken, dat de nieuwe orde van eeredienst tot de kerken komt als een voorbeeld, maar niet als een bindende vorm, daar tenslotte de bepaalde vorm en orde der eeredienst moet overgelaten worden aan het plaatselijk ambt met het oog op de behoeften en omstandigheden der plaatselijke gemeente.”

Classis Sioux Center asks for revision, but with the following proviso: “Indien de Synode tot het voorgaande besluit, spreke de Synode uit dat de gemeenten in hunne eeredienst geen andere elementen zullen invoeren, dan zulke als in de 1928 aangenomen Orde van Eeredienst worden gevonden.”

Classis Grand Rapids West expresses this same idea. It would not, however, have Synod prescribe, but only recom-
mend that this be done. This part of the overture of Classis Grand Rapids West reads: "However, for the furtherance of uniformity throughout our churches, Classis overtures Synod to recommend to the Consistories the earliest possible adoption of the New Order of Worship."

In a fashion the overture of Classis Illinois stands by itself. Classis Illinois asks for the revision of the decision of last Synod, but in addition urges the appointment of a new Committee to serve the Synod of 1932 with advice concerning the following three questions:

1. Do we need a special assurance of pardon outside of the regular service of the Word?

2. Is a "Service of Reconciliation" a proper element in our worship?

3. Is it not more advisable for Synod merely to recommend a New Order of Worship rather than to make it binding upon our churches?

In stating our opinion of these various proposals, your Committee wishes to say that it does not feel that unqualified rescinding of the decision of 1925 is the course to pursue. We are convinced that much that is good is found in the New Order of Worship, that our churches should profit by all that is acceptable in this order, and that it would be unwise and uncalculated for to undo the good that has been accomplished thus far. Your Committee is persuaded that the interests of the churches will be best served, not by a repeal, but by a revision of the decision of the previous Synod.

In this connection we wish to examine also the overture of Classis Illinois. Classis Illinois overtures Synod to lay the entire question into the hands of a new Committee, to report at the next Synod. Also this course does not appear to your Committee to be the desirable one. By following this method, the entire question of the New Order of Worship would be left hanging in mid-air for two years. This we do not believe to be desirable with a view to the unrest and apprehension that has become manifest in the life of our churches during the last two years. Neither is this postponement necessary. The entire matter has been be-
fore our minds for many years, and especially during the last two years. We believe that this Synod possesses sufficient light to choose a right and wise course in this matter, without keeping our churches in further suspense by postponing the settling of the question in dispute for another two years.

B. The Issues Involved.

When we take note of the overtures mentioned and study the objections raised against the decision of the previous Synod from its formal side, your Committee finds that this Synod is placed before two main questions:

I. Does it properly lie within the sphere of Synodical authority to adopt a specific order of worship and to prescribe its introduction to the churches?

II. Since the previous Synod has adopted an Order of Worship, and since, therefore, this Order is by Synodical prescription, officially the Order of Worship of our churches, what are the rights of the present Synod in this matter?

I. As to the first question, whether or not the Synod of 1928 exceeded its powers, it is the position of your Committee, that it does not lie within the province of synodical legislation to determine upon a specific order of worship and to enforce its introduction into the churches.

This position is, in our opinion, supported by the following facts:

(1) Uniformity with respect to an Order of Worship is something distinct from unity of worship. Denominational unity demands unity of worship. We are all agreed on that. But the claim that all churches must use the same Order of Worship, because there should be unity of worship, arises from a confusion of ideas.

This contention, which has frequently been made, would be entirely ad rem if it were first proven:

a) that our Reformed principles pertaining to public worship permit only one order, i.e., that only one certain order can be composed that does adequate justice to the principles involved; or,
b) that this specific order is necessary under the circumstances in order to safeguard the unity of principle that binds the churches together.

Referring back to a), it is evident that, if it were true that only one specific Order of Worship can give adequate expression to our Reformed Liturgical principles, Synod would be in duty bound to discover this order and to make it binding upon all the churches. Synod would then base its decision upon warrant of Scripture, either direct or implied. And then it would be true that this Order could not be changed, unless it was later discovered that it did not after all meet the requirements of Scripture.

But we do not believe this is true. Neither does the Committee on the Improvement of Public Worship go so far as to assert this, although it makes a strong claim for the present Order as the logical liturgical expression of the essence of our public worship. No one would go so far as to insist that it is not entirely possible to compose more than one order of worship that would do entire justice to Scriptural and Reformed principles.

Referring back to b), it is equally evident that a Synodical body possesses certain discretionary powers. It does possess the power to make binding decisions in matters pertaining to the life and activities of the churches, even when these decisions cannot be said to be demanded by Scripture or Church Order.

This discretionary power, however, is not an unlimited or an unconditional one. It is distinctly conditional, and that in two directions:

(1) The first condition we all concede. Decisions based upon discretionary grounds, however much circumstances may seem to demand them, may in no way be in conflict with the expressed or implied principles of Scripture or our Church Order.

(2) But there is also a second condition which applies here. And it seems that this second condition has not always been as clearly before our minds as it should have been. It is this: A major assembly may make use of this discretionary power only in as far as need requires in
order to insure the purity and denominational unity of the Church, and to insure the effective execution of the common task of the Church.

a) When it becomes evident that a certain measure is required to safeguard the purity of the Church, Synod has certainly the right and the duty to decide upon such a measure. We take it, that it was largely with this end in view, that the Churches of the Reformation adopted certain formularies to be used in connection with the administration of the Sacraments, the ordination of office-bearers, etc. It is true that Scripture did not demand the use of these formularies. They were adopted upon discretionary grounds, but circumstances clearly required them in order to preserve the unity and purity of faith with respect to the Sacraments, etc.

b) If further it appears that for the unity and welfare of the Church a certain measure is required, in order that the machinery of Church government may operate smoothly and efficiently, Synod has power to determine upon such a measure. It is clear that we have many rules and regulations pertaining, e.g., to procedure in discipline, to the transferring of members from one church to another, to the conducting of ecclesiastical assemblies, the calling or electing and installation of office-bearers, etc., for which there is not any discoverable Scriptural warrant, but which are dictated by sound judgment, and which are necessary because all churches are concerned in the way in which each church conducts this business. Therefore there must be one rule for all.

c) It is self-evident that this is equally true with respect to the work which the churches unitedly undertake in the training of its ministers, in the performing of missionary work, etc. This is the common work of the Church, which can be conducted in an orderly and efficient manner only when the rules that shall obtain are fixed upon by the churches met in assembly.

After thus briefly outlining the domain in which a major assembly has the right and the duty to employ discretionary power, the question remains whether this threefold domain covers all of the life and activity of our churches. In other words, is there warrant to assume that a Synod has the
right to prescribe with reference to all matters that are
brought legally before it?

This position has been defended. The argument is this:
All churches are represented in Synod. Therefore, what
Synod decides, all churches decide. And for this reason the
decisions of Synod are binding upon all churches.

But this argument is manifestly beside the point. The
question is this: Do all matters which may, in a perfectly
legal way, come to the table of Synod, belong to that cate­
gory in which Synod has the right and is called
to legislate? Or are there also matters brought to the attention of Synod,
in which for the welfare and progress of the churches, Sy­
nod is not called to legislate, but only to give advice and
guidance, or even to conduct a campaign of education?

Your Committee believes that the latter position is cor­
rect. As in the life of the individual Christian there is found
a domain of personal liberty within which the office of the
Church may be called upon to advise and educate and stimu­
late, but concerning which a Consistory cannot lay down
binding rules, so also it is true, that the local office of the
Church has to deal with many matters which are of purely
local interest, and in which other churches are in no way in­
volved. These matters constitute a domain in which in the
last analysis, the local office only has the right to decide.

It is a manifestation of Congregationalism when the local
office claims the right to decide for itself in all matters,
without distinguishing between that which is the concern of
the Church at large, and that which pertains solely to the
welfare of the local congregation. On the other hand, it
smacks of hierarchy, when a major assembly fails to make
this same distinction and takes the position, that it is called
upon to legislate in all matters, and that no territory is left
which constitutes the peculiar sphere in which the local
office has the right to decide with the interests of the local
congregation in view.

The question now remains, whether a specific order of
worship belongs to the domain in which Synod is called
to legislate, or whether it ultimately devolves upon the local
Consistory to decide in this matter?
Your Committee holds the latter view. That all churches of our denomination observe an identical order in their worship can surely not be said to be necessary for the sake of denominational unity. Unity of principle is necessary. That the order of worship in use in each church be based upon these principles is necessary. That elements in conflict with or foreign to these principles are not to be tolerated, is most clear. But that denominational unity is threatened if one church employs a different order or arrangement of the elements of worship than does another, does not at all follow.

The question is not whether uniformity in this respect has not a great deal in its favor. Your Committee is entirely agreed, that there is much to be said in favor of as great a uniformity as is feasible. Other things being equal, and with the particular situation of the local congregation in mind, we believe that the greater the uniformity in worship, the better. If for no other reason than that it will be found easier to keep objectionable practices from creeping into the public worship of our churches. But it is of much greater importance that our people be thoroughly educated as to the principles that underlie our public worship, and that these truths become living truths in their minds. This will be of much greater value than enroaching upon the liberty of the local office and prescribing a uniform pattern to be followed by all.

As to this liberty of the local office, your Committee is in agreement with that which Prof. Heyns has written in his Liturgiek (p. 78):

"As a third maine principle for the Liturgy we must mention liberty. If true that under the N.T. the Church has been freed from the yoke of servitude, to enjoy the liberty of the children of God, then also to its worship service the character of liberty has been granted, and then there flows directly from this, that liberty must also rule in liturgy...Not a liberty, naturally, which would be like lawlessness or self-will, so that the liturgy might be determined entirely according to human choice; not a liberty where principles are at stake, but a liberty in respect of form. Such liberty the Church of the Old Testament did not possess. Every action
The history of our churches points in entirely the same direction. The Old Order became the generally accepted order, not because a Synod had prescribed it, but because the churches themselves adopted it. The fact is also that nowhere in our Church Order do we find the necessity of a uniform order of worship expressed or implied. The only articles of our Church Order which deal with liturgical matters are Articles 56, 57, 58, 62, 69, 76, and 78. These Articles deal with the formularies to be used and further rules to be observed in connection with the administration of the Sacraments, the suspension and re-instatement of persons who have become subjects of discipline and it is stipulated that only the Psalms and certain hymns may be sung in public worship. But nothing is found that in the least indicates that all the churches are to follow an identical order of worship in their services.

Until 1928 we have had no prescribed order of worship in our churches, and we believe that the action of this Synod in making a certain order prescriptive was a mistake. We believe that the choice of a specific Order of Worship is primarily the concern of the local office, and that no Synod may seek to bind the conscience of our churches in this matter, unless it be first proven that Scripture demands the specific order prescribed, or that circumstances make the adoption of that order imperative for the safety of our churches.

II. As to the second question, whether it lies within the power of the present Synod to rescind or to revise the decision of Synod of 1928, we may be brief. This question would not have to be raised at all, were it not for the fact
that Art. 31 of our Church Order has repeatedly been in-
voked to protect the decision of 1928 against repeal or re-
vision. We find this sentiment expressed anew in the deci-
sion of the Synod of 1928 and in the present report of the
Committee on the Improvement of our Public Worship
(Agendum, Part I, p. 53): “What was once decided should
be considered settled and binding, unless it be proved to
conflict with the Word of God or with the Articles of the
Church Order.”

At least one overture, that of Classis Sioux Center (cf.
Agendum, Part II, p. xxvi), reflects upon this method of de-
fense, and states as one of the grounds upon which it seeks
revision of the decision of 1928, “Omdat zulk eene wijzi-
ging niet in strijd is met Art. 31 onzer Kerkenorde.”

The position of those who appeal to Art. 31 in this case
is evidently this: Synod of 1928 has decided; the new Order
of Worship is now officially the order of our churches; at
this present stage its defenders are not obliged to give proof
that Scripture requires this order; to the contrary, upon
those who would see the decision of 1928 rescinded or re-
vised, rests the burden of proof that this decision is con-
trary to Scripture or to the Articles of our Church Order.

Appeal, as has been said, is made to Art. 31, which reads
in part, “And whatever may be agreed upon by a majority
vote, shall be considered settled and binding, unless it be
proved to conflict with the Word of God or with the Articles
of our Church Order.”

It is clear, however, that this rule of our Church Order
does not in the least contemplate to limit the right of indi-
viduals or churches to present their objections against a
certain decision to a subsequent Synod, and to overture that
Synod to rescind or to revise this decision. Much less does
it contemplate to limit the power of a Synod to act in the
matter after a previous Synod has made a decision.

Art. 31 lays down a rule in cases of appeal. It grants to
parties who claim that they have been wronged by the de-
cision of a minor assembly, the right to appeal to a major
assembly. But it is also stipulated that the decision of the
major assembly sitting on the case shall be regarded not
merely as an opinion or advice to be followed or ignored at
will, but that both parties involved in the case shall con-
sider the verdict of the major assembly as settled and bind-
ing, unless, indeed, it can be demonstrated that the deci-
sion is in conflict with Scripture or the Church Order. This
Article, therefore, clearly lays down a rule with respect to
cases in which Synod sits as a court of appeal.

It is true that the implications of Art. 31 reach out be-
yond the sphere of mere cases of appeal. This rule also
implies that decisions of Synod are to be regarded by the
various churches of the denomination not merely as
opinions to be regarded or disregarded at will. Churches
shall consider themselves in duty bound to carry out the
decisions of Synod, even if these decisions have been made
upon purely discretionary grounds, unless they can demon-
strate that such decisions violate God's will as revealed in
His Word or the rules of the Church Order.

But clearly, this does not prevent churches that consider a
decision to be detrimental, from overturing a subsequent
Synod to repeal or to revise the decision. Assuming for
the moment that the decision of 1928 in re Order of Wor-
ship was unobjectionable upon the score of doctrinal and
church-governmental principles (which we believe it is not),
even then churches may freely overture a subsequent
Synod to repeal or to revise if they are convinced that the
decision has worked detrimentally or has failed to attain
the object contemplated.

And a Synod so overtured has exactly the same power
and is bound by no other rules than the previous Synod
which made the decision. If a decision has been made
upon discretionary grounds, with a view to the welfare of
the churches, a subsequent Synod, if so overtured, may,
upon the very same class of grounds, repeal or revise such
a decision, if it judges that the welfare of the churches so
requires.

It seems to your Committee that there can be no differ-
ence of opinion on this point. The contention that, since
Synod of 1928 has adopted the present Order of Worship, it
must either be proved to be in conflict with Scripture or
Church Order or it must be allowed to stand as it is, rests,
in the opinion of your Committee, upon an unwarranted interpretation of Art. 31. None of our Synods have ever interpreted Art. 31 as a rule limiting their power to act by a decision of a previous Synod. Indeed, the Church would be in a sad plight if this conception were the true one. In that case the present Synod would lack the power even to adopt new rules for Synodical procedure, unless it were first proved that the old rules are in conflict with Scripture or the Church Order. Your Committee is convinced that it must be clear to all that this position is untenable.

It is an entirely different question whether a Synod should not be extremely careful in repealing or modifying decisions of previous Synods. Your Committee feels strongly that such decisions should never be lightly dealt with, and that there should be very good grounds indeed for the rescinding or modification of the decisions of previous Synods. This rule is to be observed the more when, as in the present case, a Synod deals with a decision made only two years ago. Great care must be exercised that the respect of our people for Synodical decisions be not unnecessarily disturbed. Synod must feel well convinced that the cause of truth and the welfare of the churches demand such repeal or revision.

But this is the point. the action of Synod cannot be blocked by the demand that it adduce proof that the decision of the previous Synod is in conflict with Scripture or Church Order. This would be true only if the previous Synod had adduced grounds that Scripture demanded this Order, or, on the other hand, if it had made this New Order prescriptive upon the grounds that the Church Order warrants such an action. That Scripture demands this New Order has not even been claimed. That our Church Order gives a Synodical body warrant to prescribe an Order of Worship has never been proved. Your Committee has sought to make clear that no such warrant exists. And so your Committee concludes that the present Synod certainly has the right to rescind or to revise upon entirely discretionary grounds a decision which a previous Synod has made upon the same class of grounds.
In this connection we call the attention of Synod to the fact that with respect to the New Order of Worship, history has repeated itself. After the New Order of Worship was submitted to the churches in 1920, it met with so much disfavor not to adopt it, and the entire matter was virtually dropped for a period of four years.

And since Synod of 1928 adopted this Order of Worship, somewhat modified and made more flexible, but essentially the same as that which was laid before the churches in 1920, the result has again been unrest and apprehension on the part of our churches. Again, eight Classes and various Consistories and individuals overture Synod to rescind or to modify the decision of Synod of 1928 by which the New Order of Worship was prescribed.

Your Committee sees no need to enter into a further discussion of these grounds, in which the attention of Synod is called to a prevailing spirit of unrest and resistance which has again become manifest in our churches since 1928. We are convinced, however, that this state of affairs exists. The New Order of Worship has been amply defended and propaganda for its introduction has been carried on during the last two years. But in spite of all this only a very few of our churches have introduced it, while the vast majority of our churches are suspicious of it, and the strictures of eight Classes are before us.

This situation should not, of course, influence the action of Synod if it were true that the decision of Synod of 1928 had the warrant of Scripture behind it. When many years ago a Synod of our churches decided gradually to abolish a custom in our churches known as “het doopleden-stelsel,” it did so with the conviction that this action was demanded by a sound, Scriptural view of the Church and the Covenant. True, this decision met with more than a little opposition, but our churches took the stand, and rightly so, that popular disfavor may in no way keep the churches from moving in a direction clearly demanded by the Word of God.

But, it is entirely beside the point when the friends of the New Order repeatedly point to the method followed by the churches with respect to “het doopleden-stelsel” as a paral-
The difference between the two cases is an essential one. In the case of "het doopleden-stelsel," the churches were convinced that the decision was based upon Scriptural grounds. In the case of the New Order of Worship, no claim even is made that Scripture demands it.

It is in view of this fact, that the spirit of dissatisfaction, of dissension and apprehension manifested in our churches, is indeed a matter to be taken into serious consideration by this Synod.

Your Committee is convinced that this dissatisfaction and opposition is not due to a false conservatism or unreasoning prejudice on the part of our people, but that it is due almost entirely to the two features of the decision of Synod of 1928 to which particular attention has been called in this report, viz., that an Order of Worship was adopted which contained an element which our churches feel to be more or less foreign to our Reformed conception of public worship, and in the second place, that its introduction was made obligatory, even though Synod had made provisions to give the Consistories considerable time in preparing the congregations for its introduction.

On the formal side of the question before us, your Committee will advise Synod to revise the decision of Synod of 1928 in which the New Order of Worship was made prescriptive in such a way that the New Order of Worship comes to the churches as a recommendation of Synod.

Let us, before presenting our advice, briefly summarize the position of your Committee:

(1) As to the material aspects of the question before us, your Committee holds that the fact that the special absolution is not demanded by Scripture, deserves the most serious consideration of Synod, also in view of the disturbance in the Church; that whatever good is found in the various elements of the service of reconciliation was always found in the old order, in law, prayer, and preaching; that there is a flaw in the very idea of a service of reconciliation, since God's people are forever reconciled with their God; that the law comes to them in public worship not primarily as the teacher of sin, but as the rule of
Christian gratitude; and finally, that the fear of Romanism and the danger of formalism cannot be summarily dismissed;

(2) As to the formal aspects of the question before us, your Committee holds:

a) that denominational unity does require unity of worship as well as unity in doctrine and church government, but that this unity of worship does not make it per se imperative that all churches employ a uniform order of worship;

b) that the prescription of an order of worship is a matter for which there is no warrant in Scripture or Church Order;

c) that it may be readily conceded that, other things being equal, uniformity in order of worship is desirable, and that Synod performs a distinct service when it labors to improve public worship and recommends these improvements to the churches;

d) that it must nevertheless be left to the local office to decide in this matter, in entire adherence to the principles upon which we stand united, and in consideration of the needs of the local church;

e) and finally, that the fact that Synod of 1928 has prescribed an Order of Worship, cannot in any way be construed as limiting the power of the present Synod to rescind or to revise such decision, if Synod is persuaded that such action is needful.

Received as information.

B. The Advice of the Committee.

In consideration of the arguments that have been adduced to revise the decision of Synod in re the New Order of Worship (Acta 1928, p. 85), in such a manner that

(1) the Absolution be eliminated. Grounds:

a) The reconciled Church of Christ has no need of a special absolution, apart from the preaching, as part of a service of reconciliation;

b) The Church should no longer be disturbed by the retention of a liturgical element not demanded by Scripture.

Adopted.
(2) "Confession of Sin or Penitential Psalm (or both)" be changed to "Psalm of Penitence or Devotion."

**Grounds:**

- **a)** The primary response of the Christian to the law is and should be that of oneness with God's will as expressed in His law;
- **b)** The element of confession is and should be present in the general prayer.

*Adopted.*

(3) The Apostles' Creed be eliminated from the first service. **Grounds:**

- **a)** It occurs here as part of the service of reconciliation, and has, therefore, lost its intended liturgical significance through the elimination of the absolution;
- **b)** It is found in the proposed order for the second service, and it is not necessary that it be found in both morning and evening service.

We remark in this connection that the Committee on Public Worship also takes the position that the Credo need not be found in both services (Agenda, Part I, p. 66, 4).

*Adopted.*

(4) That the Psalm (8) after the Credo be eliminated. **Grounds:**

- **a)** There is no need of a psalm at this point in the order we propose;
- **b)** Retaining it would give us two psalms in succession.

We now request Synod to take note of the new order, given above, that results from the changes and eliminations adopted. Notice that, though the service of reconciliation has been eliminated, the remaining elements form a beautiful, logical whole, coming very close to the old order now in vogue in most of our churches. Several needed improvements are found, however, such as the reading of the Scripture lesson immediately before the sermon: a very definite
liturgical place given to the law, and the conclusion of the long prayer with the Lord's prayer.

*Adopted.*

Against the above decisions the following *protest* is submitted:

The undersigned protest against the decisions of the Synod in regard to the Order of Worship, on the following *Grounds*:

1. Synod rescinded the decisions of 1928, by which the Order for the first service was adopted, without showing that said Order was contrary to the Word of God, our Confession, or the Church Order (cf. Art. 31 of the Church Order);

2. Synod has utterly failed in this matter to safeguard the peace and welfare of the churches which now use the Order of Worship adopted in 1928.

H. J. Kuiper
R. J. Frems.
Peter Hoekstra.
M. Dykshoorn.

Received as information.

(5) We further advise Synod to revise the decision of Synod of 1928,

a) by rescinding the clauses which make the introduction of the New Order obligatory. These are found in Art. 70, 5 (cf. Acts of 1928, p. 60) and Art. 70, under J (p. 61), and read as follows: "That Ministers and Consistories are urged to take the necessary steps to introduce the New Order of Worship, with this understanding however, that the peace and the welfare of those churches in which there is considerable opposition be not imperiled. At the same time the Classes shall strengthen the hands of the Consistories by urging them, especially through the church-visitors, to make progress in this matter."

Synod urges our Consistories not to make any changes in their public worship other than those included in the Order adopted by Synod; and impresses upon them the fact that denominational unity and loyalty require that all the churches shall conform to whatever decisions touching this
matter have been taken, unless they shall be proved to be contrary to God's Word; and further insists that Consistories should refrain from introducing them by independent action, but make known their desires through the regular channels. **Grounds:**

(1) It is not to be sustained upon the grounds of Scripture and Church Order, that it lies within the jurisdiction of Synod to prescribe a specific order of worship and to enforce its introduction into the churches;

(2) Since neither Scripture or Church Order produce warrant for such an action, our churches should be relieved of the fear of official pressure by removing the element of compulsion.

**Remark:** The Committee on the Improvement of Public Worship in its present report to Synod (cf. Agenda, Part I, p. 59), also recommends the rescinding of Art. 70, 5.

Adopted.

b) by deciding that the New Order of Worship (as revised) is laid before the churches with the recommendation of Synod, and that its instruction is left to the discretion of each local church.

**Grounds:**

1) The right of the local Consistories to consult the best interests of their churches with respect to the introduction of an Order of Worship is recognized;

2) An open way is left for Synod to employ means to advise and educate our churches with a view to reaching as great a measure of uniformity as is possible and practicable.

**Remark:** The Committee on the Improvement of our Public Worship in its present report to Synod (cf. Agenda, Part I, p. 59) also proposes that Synod decide “to leave the introduction of the new Order of Worship entirely to the discretion of each local church.”

Adopted.

III. Your Committee is also called to advise with respect to the Report of the Committee on the Improvement of our Public Worship, to be found in the Agenda, Part I, pp. 50-68.
The Committee reports that it has carried out the instruction of Synod of 1928 to prepare and to publish a brief directory of worship (cf. Agenda, Part I, p. 51). Read: In accordance with . . . with the approval of Synod.”

Advice: Synod approve of this work done by the Committee.

Adopted.

The Committee further reports what it has done anent the instruction to prepare an Order of Worship for the other services on the Lord’s Day, and for special services on weekdays (cf. Agenda, Part I, p. 51), and proposes to Synod to adopt an order of worship for the evening service which has been prepared. This proposal of the Committee reads as follows: (cf. Agenda, Part I, p. 60, under 3).

Remarks: In the opinion of your Committee, the Committee on the Improvement of our Public Worship has faithfully carried out this part of its work, and has done so in the spirit of the instructions which it received.

Nevertheless, your Committee has certain misgivings with respect to the sharp distinction drawn, in tone and spirit, between the first and second service. Besides this, in many of our rural churches the first service is not nearly as well attended as the second service.

Among the 17 elements contained in this Order, we find the Doxology, a praise selection from Scripture, a Psalm of Praise, a Gloria Patri, besides two other possible places for a Doxology.

It is difficult for your Committee to judge how this Order of Worship will work out in actual practice. We do not feel able to give competent criticism, but nevertheless are in doubt whether the churches will find it satisfactory.

We also bring to the attention of Synod that this Order for the Second Service contains two hymns which have not as yet received Synodical approval.

In view of the above, your Committee presents the following advice: Synod place this Order of Worship before our churches, and request the churches that introduce it to correspond with the Committee for the Improvement of
our Public Worship so that the Committee may have the benefit of their suggestions and criticism.

*Adopted.* (See Supplement XIII of these Acta for above named Order for the second service.)

On motion Synod decides to approve the two hymns referred to, namely, the "Gloria Patri" and the Response: "Hear Our Prayer, O Lord."

Your Committee also proposes to Synod:

(1) To thank the Committee on the Improvement of our Public Worship, and to express its appreciation of the work that has been done, since we gratefully acknowledge that the work of the Committee has done much to heighten the interest of our churches in liturgical matters, and to lead them to a greater appreciation of their importance.

*Adopted.*

(2) To reappoint the existing Committee and to instruct it to continue its labors on the Order of Worship for the second service, taking notice of the suggestions which may be received from the churches, and bringing a motivated report to next Synod.

*Adopted.*

(3) To compose an Order of Worship serviceable for both the services on Communion days.

*Adopted.* (See Supplement XIII.)

**ARTIKEL 118**

Synod decides to hold an evening session, beginning at 7:30 o'clock.

Elder B. Den Ouden closes this session with prayer.

**EEN-EN-TWINTIGSTE ZITTING, DONDERDAGAVOND, 26 JUNI**

**ARTIKEL 119**

*Ds. J. De Jonge open deze zitting met gebed.*

*This session with prayer.*

**ARTIKEL 120**

Continuation of the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice on *Protests and Appeals* (cf. Art. 76):
"Esteemed Brethren:

In compliance with the decision of Synod, your Committee entered upon the matter of Rev. De Groot's protest III C.

A. Part I of the protest is divided into three parts.

1. The first includes only the matter suggested in the words, "Tegen mijn buitensluiting als predikant alsof ik losgemaakt was tegen mijn excluision as minister as

In regard to this, your Committee finds: Classis Wisconsin made a technical error in failing to point out to the Consistory of Racine its error in not delegating Rev. De Groot to the meeting of Classis at Vesper. This error, though not justifiable, was to be understood in the light of the abnormal situation which existed at Racine.

Advice: Synod so answer this part of Rev. De Groot’s protest.

Adopted.

2. The second part of protest III C (1) contains the words: "en het zitting geven aan afgevaardigden, die als valsche rapporteurs bekend stonden." In regard to this, your Committee finds: and seating delegates who were known as false reporters

a) The elders referred to reported that no action had been taken in regard to the matter of the "loss separation making" of Rev. De Groot, while the Classical Minutes show that it was decided: "dat de Classis dit verzoek om losmaking van Ds. De Groot van de hand wreef." See Minutes of Classis Wisconsin, Apr. 9-11, 1929. It thus appears that the elders brought an inaccurate report but your Committee is not convinced that they had any intention to falsify the report or to deceive the Consistory.

b) Rev. De Groot did not protest against the seating of these two elders at the Classis of Vesper, as he should have done if he wished to protest later.

Advice: Synod so answer this part of Rev. De Groot’s protest.

Adopted.

3. The third part of protest III C (1) reads: "terwijl de Classis niet wist of die zaak in orde was gebracht." In regard to this your Committee finds:

* "that the Classis reject this request for the separation of Rev. De Groot."

** "while the Classis did not know whether this matter had been corrected."
a) On the basis of what was decided in regard to the previous point, there was no established case of “valsche rapporteurs” to be corrected.
b) The Classis apparently did not inquire whether this correction had been made in the minutes of the Consistory. But even if there were no correction made, this would not justify the branding of these delegates as “valsche rapporteurs” nor disqualify them as delegates to Classis. “false reporters”

Advice: Synod so answer this part of Rev. De Groot’s protest.
Adopted.

B. Part 2 of protest III C is divided into two parts:

1. The first part reads, “Tegen de aanwezigheid van de deputaten voor de derde keer.”

In regard to this, your Committee finds:

a) According to the report of the Classical Committee, signed by Rev. De Groot (see Minutes of Classis Wisconsin), he himself requested the presence of the Synodical Delegates at the Classis of Waupun.
b) The Classis at two different times approved of the action of the Classical Committee in calling the delegates without specific mandate from the Classis.
c) It is possible, in a given situation, that it becomes expedient and even imperative to act without a definite mandate.

Advice: Synod so answer this part of Rev. De Groot’s protest.
Adopted.

2. In regard to the second part of III C (2), which reads: “wér tegen mijn protest in en over de kennisgeving van appel op de Synode heen. Zie Art. 38 K. O. 2-a,” your Committee finds:

a) There is no rule in our Church requiring that matters remain in statu quo upon appeal;
b) Each concrete case must be decided on its own merits.

The well-being of the Church may demand that action proceed in spite of the appeal.

Advice: Synod so answer this part of Rev. De Groot’s protest.
Adopted.

*"Against the presence of the deputies for the third time."

** "again against my protest and in spite of announcing appeal to Synod, See Art. 38, C.O. 2-a,"
C. In regard to part 3 of Protest III, C, your Committee finds:
   1. The request for "losmaking" came to the Classis in the regular way through the Consistory of Racine, supported by the Consistory of Sheboygan.
   2. The action of Classis at Waupun was not to be interpreted as applying for an indefinite period. It was a decision made to meet a definite situation as it then existed.
   3. Conditions changed radically during the interim between the meeting of Classis at Waupun and at Vesper.
   4. The grounds for requesting "losmaking" at Vesper differed radically from those rejected at Waupun because of the development of the case in the meantime.
   5. Rev. De Groot himself says in his request for "losmaking" from Racine, that conditions made it impossible for him to labor there any longer.
   6. There is no rule in our Church regarding "status quo" (see III, C, 2. a).

   Adopt: Synod so answer this part of Rev. De Groot's protest.

   Adopted.

D. In regard to part 4 of Protest III, C, your Committee finds:
   1. The Classis did not at Vesper, either directly or indirectly, accuse Rev. De Groot of being responsible for the schism at Racine. The following grounds given by Classis for "losmaking" contain no such accusation:

   a) According to Rev. De Groot's own testimony it has become impossible for him at Racine;
   b) The Consistory, the members favorable toward him as well as those ill disposed, to a man, declared that Rev. De Groot could no longer labor in the congregation;
   c) The Consistory of Sheboygan, which had been entrusted with the supervision of the congregation for a half year, declares as its conviction that Rev. De Groot cannot again preach for the congregation or take up the shepherd's staff;
d) From the historic development it appears, that when Rev. DeGroot again labored in the congregation, the confusion increased and the condition unbearable;

e) What Classis had previously thought possible, that Rev. De Groot might once again take up the shepherd's staff there, has now through the bitterness caused by the organization of a Reformed Congregation, become a total impossibility" (Cf. Minutes of Classis, Oct. 8-9, Vesper, Art. 22).

(In this part of his protest, Rev. De Groot bases his contentions on what took place at the following Classis at Alto, and your Committee is aware of the fact that the Classis at Alto does, to a certain degree, hold Rev. De Groot responsible for the schism, but this Classis did not convene until six months after the Classis at Vesper, against whose action Rev. De Groot here protests.)

Advice: Synod so answer this part of Rev. De Groot's protest.
Adopted.

E. In regard to part 5 of protest III, C, your Committee finds: Rev. De Groot, at the Classis at Vesper, handed in the following request: "Esteemed Brethren: Seeing the present condition in the Christian Reformed Congregation of Racine is of such a nature, that according to my firm conviction, I cannot labor there with a good conscience and my conception of God's Word, I request the Classis to grant me honorable release from my official relationship to the congregation of Racine, and give me this in writing, in both languages, as of Oct. 19, 1929." (Cf. Minutes Classis at Vesper, Oct. 9, 1929, Art. 39.) Classis then put to Rev. De Groot the question of the interpretation of this request. Your Committee cannot see why this question should have been put, since the request is perfectly clear. The Classis received from Rev. De Groot a verbal reply to the effect that it meant not merely that he could no more serve the church at Racine, but could no more serve the Christian Reformed Church as Minister of the Gospel. Classis then answered the request of Rev. De Groot
as elucidated by Rev. De Groot himself, and he accepted the answer without any protest. In the protest now under consideration Rev. De Groot objects to the fact that Classis did not simply comply with this original written request, namely, release from Racine, although he now admits that the answer which he had given to the Classis when questioned what this meant, was "not a good answer."

Advice: Synod express:

(1) Rev. De Groot should again present his original request for release to Classis, with the frank admission that he should not have stated that his request included release from the entire Christian Reformed Church, since this was his mistake, and furnished the ground for the subsequent action of the Classis at Vesper.

(2) Rev. De Groot should request that an answer be given him in accordance with his original written request.

(3) The presentation of this request at Classis Wisconsin does not involve any financial obligation on the part of the Consistory of Racine or the Classis of Wisconsin toward Rev. De Groot.

Adopted.

In connection with this case, the following motion is adopted by Synod:

To prevent any possible misunderstanding of what was decided in re the De Groot case, Synod hereby expressly declares that the present status of the Rev. De Groot, namely, that he at present has no official standing in the Christian Reformed Church, is not altered by the decisions taken by Synod in his case.

ARTIKEL 121

Rev. J. De Haan, Jr., closes this session with prayer.

TWEE-EN-TWINTIGSTE ZITTING, VRIJDAGMORGEN, 27 JUNI

ARTIKEL 122

Gezongen wordt Psalm 81:12, waarna Ouderling W. Geuzink deze zitting opent met gebed.

Psalm 81:12 is sung, after which Elder W. Geuzink opens this session with prayer.
ARTIKEL 123
Appel wordt gehouden, waarbij blijkt, dat alle afgevaardigden tegenwoordig zijn.
Roll is called and all delegates are present.

ARTIKEL 124
De Acta van de negentiende, twintigste, en een-en-twintigste zittingen worden gelezen en goedgekeurd.
Minutes of the 19th, 20th, and 21 sessions are read and approved.

ARTIKEL 125
The Committee appointed to conduct the examination of Candidate Bruxvoort reports as follows:

"Esteemed Brethren:

Your Committee met at the appointed time to proceed with the examination of Brother Bruxvoort.

The Chairman, Rev. W. Groen, opened the meeting with prayer. The representatives of all Classes were present.

Upon motion duly seconded and carried, two visitors were given the privilege to attend the meeting.

Prof. M. Wyngaarden was present as deputy of Synod for the work in South America.

The examination was divided as follows:

- Dogmatics ....................................................... Dr. Y. P. De Jong
- Soteriology ...................................................... Dr. H. Kuper
- Ecclesiology ..................................................... Dr. H. Kuper
- Eschatology ...................................................... Dr. H. Kuper
- Personal Godliness .............................................. Rev. L. Veltkamp
- Motives for Seeking the Ministry ................................ Rev. M. Bordeijn
- Pastoral Work ..................................................... Rev. A. B. Voss
- Character of Holy Scripture .................................... Rev. H. E. Oostendorp
- Contents of Holy Scripture ..................................... Mr. B. J. Bennink
- Knowledge of Standards ......................................... Rev. J. Gritter
- Controversy ....................................................... Rev. W. Groen
- General Questions

Prayer was offered by Elder A. De Vries before the ballot was taken. The visitors were requested to leave.

Fifteen ballots were cast. They were found to be all in favor of admitting Brother Bruxvoort to the Ministry.

Your Committee advises Synod to have Brother Bruxvoort ordained in the Sherman Street Christian Reformed Church, under the auspices of the Committee for South America.
The actions of the Committee are approved, and its advice adopted by Synod.*

ARTIKEL 126

Continuation of the Report of the Committee of Pre-advice on Theological School, Calvin College, etc. (cf. Art. 31):

F. Your Committee calls the attention of Synod to a gift of which no mention was made in the report of Curatorium. On July 5, 1928, Dr. and Mrs. H. Beets presented the College with $300, the income of which is to constitute a prize for the best essay or term paper on Calvinism.

*Thankfully received as information.

G. Your Committee recommends that Synod comply with the request found in the overture of Classis Pella (p. vii of Agenda, Part II) and accordingly rouse the churches to carry out the decision taken by the Synod of 1926 with respect to an annual collection for the Union of Christian Schools.

The overture of Classis Pella reads as follows:

"Synod rouse the churches to regularly take up the collection for 'The National Union of Christian Schools'. Grounds:

a) The Synod of 1926 has recommended this collection:

b) The 'Union' which serves and promotes the cause of Christian Education, is in need of this support;

C) According to the report in 'Christian Home and School Magazine' of September, 1929, during that year only 83 out of 263 congregations implemented this decision, while 180 neglected it."

Adopted.

* The Stated Clerk received the following cablegram: "Reformed Churches of South America, joyfully expecting pastor Bruxvoort and wife, express sincere thanks and bid Synod, churches, Committee and new laborers guidance and richest blessings of the Lord. Sonneveldt."

H. Synod also mandated your Committee to consider Report VI (Agenda, Part I, pp. 69-75).
This is the report in re Expansion of our Confession. We have the following to report concerning this.

Your Committee took note of the Report of the Committee in re Expansion of our Confession. From this report it appears that Synod will have to give an answer to a letter from the Reformed Churches in the Netherlands, which is printed in the Supplement XVIII of the Acts of Synod 1928, and also to a letter from the Reformed Churches in South Africa, which is printed in Art. 146, p.212 of the Acts of Synod 1926.

I. The Reformed Churches in the Netherlands come to our Synod with the following three questions:

a) Whether you consider a fuller formulation of the Reformed teaching concerning Holy Scripture, necessary or at least desirable;
b) If yes, in which way in your judgment, a fuller formulation would have to be accomplished: either by expansion of the present confessions, or, by a separate formulation;
c) Which points you would want to see included in such a fuller formulation.

Your Committee advises Synod to answer these three questions as follows:

a) Synod considers a fuller formulation of the Reformed teaching concerning Holy Scripture desirable, if not necessary. Grounds: (see p.71 of Agenda, Part I).
b) Synod considers that the need of a fuller formulation of the Reformed teaching can best be met by a separate formulation. Ground: It is desirable to leave the present Confessions as much as possible intact.
c) Synod considers that the following points should be expanded in the formulation:
   (1) the organic character of inspiration;
(2) the relation between the human and divine factors in inscription of the Holy Scriptures; and
(3) the divine authority of the entire content of Holy Writ, among other things, that the redemptive facts belong to the essence of revelation, and that the divine authority is related not only to the ethical-religious elements, but to the entire Scripture.

II. According to the letter from South Africa, the Reformed Church there, has a Committee with a mandate from the Synod held in 1924, that in consultation with the Reformed churches in the Netherlands and North America, wishes to make a substantial study of the expansion of the Confession, in order to come to mutual action in this important matter. This South Africa Committee has already busied itself with the following matters:
   a) the authority of Holy Writ over against modern criticism, among others, Art. 3-7 of the Confession.
   b) a fuller formulation of the pluriformity of the Church, over against the thought of the Confession (Art. 29) that there is only one true Church and one false Church; and
   c) revision of Art. 36 in re the relationship of Church and State.

Thinking from the premise that we are already busy with the task which visualizes expansion of the Confession, this South African Committee requests that we keep them informed of progress on our part.

In connection with this letter from South Africa your Committee proposes to Synod:
(1) To declare that we in the matter of expansion of the Confession, for the present wish to limit ourselves to the question of Holy Scripture. Grounds:
   a) Since the question of the Holy Scripture is a matter of the most fundamental importance, and since this question is the focal point in the battle of the spirits, it is in the nature of the case, that we with an eye toward eventual expansion of the Confession, should concentrate our attention, first of all, on this;
b) We would overload the Committee ad hoc with work, should we mandate it to present other points to the next Synod, besides the fuller formulation of the question of Holy Scripture.

(2) To mandate the Committee ad hoc to acquaint the South African Committee with our stand in these matters.

At this point your Committee feels it ought to direct the attention of Synod to a few things in connection with the letter from South Africa. As to the various points with which the South African Committee has kept itself busy, it may not be forgotten, that there are tremendous implications in expanding a Confession extensively. When one moves in that direction, then one will have to accent the truth, not only against a single error, but against a host of heresies. And since our insight in God's truth has been enriched and deepened throughout the last three centuries, a great deal would have to be added to the Confession is all the elements of truth about which we had obtained fuller light, must find expression. And the danger threatens, that our Confession would expand to a small dogmatics. Then also, we must remember that we live in a time in which one desires everything as brief as possible. A Confession, which becomes overextended, would precisely through that lose its strength. Considering these things, your Committee cannot with complete candor, recommend that Synod should at present decide to expand in a broader sense, as it is the purpose of the South African Committee.

Received as information.

After some discussion of the advice of the Committee, Synod DECIDES to answer our sister churches in the Netherlands and South Africa:

(1) That Synod, viewing the ecclesiastical conditions in our country, at present does not consider necessary or desirable, an expansion of our Confession in a broader sense, nor a fuller formulation of the teaching of Holy Scripture in a narrower sense;
(2) That this however, does not detract from Synod's interest, and hopes to take note of the labors, which our sister churches may accomplish, so that in the future, if need be, it may profit from them.

ARTICLE 127

Continuation of the Report of the Committee of Precord in re Protests and Appeals (cf. Art. 104):

Your Committee must still serve Synod with advice in re the following case:

XI. Divorce Case at Edgerton, Minn.
A. Information. Two items concerning this case were presented to your Committee.
1) Pages 10 and 11 of a report presented to Classis Orange City, from which the following appears:
   The case is that of a man who after two years of marriage was deserted by his second wife. The concerned Consistory has in conversation with him and her (both members of the Church in full communion) and could find no reasons why the wife could not live with her husband. Disappointment as to financial expectations seems to be the main problem.
   The husband has cooperated with the Consistory and done his best to have her return, but without any result.
   The husband because of the wilful desertion of his wife, faced great financial embarrassments. Among other things, certain "notes" had to be renewed and for this the signature also of the wife was demanded. He faced the choice of bankruptcy or divorce, and chose the latter. The wife brought a "cross bill", but the judge found her guilty in all respects, and gave the husband a divorce on the ground of wilful desertion. This occurred two and a half years after the wife left her husband.
   It is clear to the concerned Consistory how to deal with the wife. But it is less clear to the Consistory and Classis how to deal with the husband. The more so, since he has always continued as a faithful member of the church,
and is known in the community as an honest and respected member.

The question is this: Since the husband was not the cause of his wife deserting him, since he had done everything possible and cooperated with the Consistory in trying to persuade her to return to him, since she obstinately continued to refuse and finally would not speak to him, and since he at last asked for a divorce in order to save his business, can he be continued as a member "in good standing" in the congregation, since he promises not to marry another woman as long as this wife lives, or herself remarries, or by Mt. 19 presents him with a Scriptural ground for divorce.

A more detailed historical account will be presented to Synod.

(2) This promised item, namely, a more detailed account of the case, is not here.

In place of that, the following has been presented to us, which is a quotation out of a letter of request from the Consistory of Edgerton, Minn. to Classis Orange City, concerning this case. This quotation reads as follows: "Concerning the husband, since he for such a long time, proved his inclination toward reconciliation, although disapproving his asking for a divorce on non-Biblical grounds, nevertheless to continue him as a member of the congregation, providing he refrains from remarrying as long as his separated wife lives."

B. Advice of the Committee.

(1) Synod accept these items as information.

So decided.

(2) Although the formal aspects of these documents are not without fault- they are both addressed to Classis Orange City, and neither one is signed- still Synod should declare that it can consider the case as such, because both the delegates from Classis Orange City and the delegate from Edgerton, Minn., declare that both documents were presented to Classis and thus became the property of Classis.

Accepted.
(3) Further Synod declare that the inclination toward reconciliation of the brother before the divorce, and the promise after the divorce, to refrain from remarriage as long as the separated wife lives, constitutes no essential change in his sin of asking for a divorce on unbi-
blical grounds. He asked for a divorce in order "to save his business", in order to suffer no financial loss. And since there is in our Church only one ground for divorce recognized, namely, the sin of adultery, therefore he cannot now be a member "in good standing". Accepted.

ARTIKEL 128

The Committee on Appointments now submits its report as follows:

ESTEEMED FATHERS AND BRETHREN:

Your Committee on Appointments has the honor to report as follows:

I. Your Committee advises Synod to approve the following brethren who have been duly chosen by their respective classes as Curators:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classis</th>
<th>Primus</th>
<th>Secundus</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Rev. M. M. Schans</td>
<td>Rev. H. J. De Vries</td>
<td>Two year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. R. East</td>
<td>Rev. W. P. Van Wyk</td>
<td>Rev. J. K. Van Baslen</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. K. West</td>
<td>Dr. Y. P. De Jong</td>
<td>Rev. H. Baker</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackensack</td>
<td>Rev. J. J. Hiemenga</td>
<td>Rev. J. Bebe</td>
<td>Four years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>Rev. D. Zwier</td>
<td>Rev. J. O. Bouwama</td>
<td>Four years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>Rev. J. Holmood</td>
<td>Rev. J. Timmerman</td>
<td>Four years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Rev. P. A. Hockstra</td>
<td>Rev. J. J. Weekeing</td>
<td>Four years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskegon</td>
<td>Rev. L. J. Lamberts</td>
<td>Rev. B. H. Eikink</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oostfriesland</td>
<td>Rev. E. Boeve</td>
<td>Rev. E. Joling</td>
<td>Four years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange City</td>
<td>Dr. R. Bronkema</td>
<td>Rev. G. Andre</td>
<td>Four years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>Rev. W. Bajema</td>
<td>Rev. G. Lyzinga</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pella</td>
<td>Rev. J. Mulder</td>
<td>Rev. A. H. Bratt</td>
<td>Four years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sioux Center</td>
<td>Rev. D. H. Hoylebeek</td>
<td>Rev. I. Westra</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Rev. J. H. Geerlings</td>
<td>Rev. J. Cupido</td>
<td>Four years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeeland</td>
<td>Rev. J. M. Voortman</td>
<td>Rev. N. De Bruyn</td>
<td>Two years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adopted. The clerk is instructed to cast the ballot for these brethren.
II. Your Committee presents to Synod the following brethren who have been chosen by their respective classes as Deputies for Examinations, and advises their appointment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Primus</th>
<th>Secundus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Rev. J. J. Workman</td>
<td>Rev. J. De Jonge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids East</td>
<td>Rev. J. M. Vande Kieft</td>
<td>Dr. M. Van Dyke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids West</td>
<td>Dr. Y. T. De Jong</td>
<td>Rev. G. J. Haan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackensack</td>
<td>Dr. G. Goris</td>
<td>Rev. D. Zwier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>Rev. L. Veltkamp</td>
<td>Rev. C. Spoelhof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>Rev. J. Timmerman</td>
<td>Rev. H. Kuiper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Rev. C. De Leeuw</td>
<td>Rev. S. Eldersweld</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostréïsland</td>
<td>Rev. K. Tebben</td>
<td>Rev. L. Ypma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange City</td>
<td>Rev. A. B. Voss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>Rev. A. Guikema</td>
<td>Rev. H. Blystra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pella</td>
<td>Rev. D. Hollebeek</td>
<td>Rev. J. Gritter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sioux Center</td>
<td>Rev. A. Wassink</td>
<td>Rev. W. terpema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Rev. J. M. Voordtman</td>
<td>Rev. G. J. Vande Riet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeeland</td>
<td>Rev. E. J. Krohn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adopted.

III. Your Committee presents the following brethren who have been chosen by their Classes as Members of the Board of Missions, and advises their appointment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Primus</th>
<th>Secundus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Rev. W. Groen</td>
<td>Rev. J. De Jonge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids East</td>
<td>Rev. J. L. Heeres</td>
<td>Rev. M. Monsma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackensack</td>
<td>Rev. J. Beebe</td>
<td>Rev. D. De Beer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>Rev. D. Zwier</td>
<td>Rev. L. Van Laar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>Rev. W. Kuipers</td>
<td>Rev. R. Holt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Rev. J. H. Monuma</td>
<td>Rev. C. Huissen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskegon</td>
<td>Rev. J. Dolfén</td>
<td>Rev. L. J. Lamberts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostréïsland</td>
<td>Rev. H. C. Bode</td>
<td>Rev. A. D. Folkema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange City</td>
<td>Rev. J. Paauw</td>
<td>Rev. G. Andre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pella</td>
<td>Rev. I. Van Dellen</td>
<td>Rev. W. D. Vanderwerp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sioux Center</td>
<td>Rev. J. C. De Bruyn</td>
<td>Rev. J. Rubingh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Rev. J. O. Vos</td>
<td>Rev. H. Ahuis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeeland</td>
<td>Rev. G. J. Vande Riet</td>
<td>Rev. W. Van Peursem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adopted. The Clerk is instructed to cast the ballot for these brethren.

IV. Your Committee also advises the following appointments:

2. Stated Clerk: Dr. H. Beets; Alternate: Rev. L. Trap.
3. Synodical Treasurer: Mr. T. Noordewier; Alternate: Mr. H. Voss.
6. Representative at the National Christian Association: Dr. H. Kuiper, Rev. G. Hoeksema, Alternate.
8. General Treasurer of the Jewish Mission Fund: Rev. J. L. VanTieien; Dr. G. Goris, Alternate.
9. Committee in re South America: Dr. H. Beets, Dr. M. J. Wyngaarden, Rev. J. Wyngaarden, Prof. W. Heyns.
10. Committee in re Inexpensive Psalter and Hymn Books: Mr. Jelle Hekman, Mr. W. B. Eerdmans, Mr. M. Hoffius.
11. Committee in re post-graduate courses leading to a Th. M. degree: Dr. Y. P. De Jong, Rev. G. Hoeksema, Dr. C. Bouma, Prof. Wyngaarden, Dr. H. Kuiper, Dr. Edw. Masselink.
* 13. Committee in re "Het Beginzel van Vrije Studie." Continue same Committee, viz.: Rev. R. B. Kuiper, Rev. K. Fortuin, Dr. C. Van Til, Rev. L. Van Laar, Dr. M. Van Dyke.
* "The Principle of Free Study"

17. Committee in re Education: For six years the brethren: Rev. L. J. Lamberts, Prof. H. Meeter, Dr. G. Heyns.

18. Committee in re Study Emeritus Fund: Rev. J. De Haan, Jr., Mr. Geo. Ottenhof, Mr. Clarence De Vries, Mr. John Hekman, Mr. Adrian Ringelberg, Mr. H. R. Gezon, Mr. P. D. Rose, Rev. J. Dolfin.


20. Committee in re "Uitbouw Blijdenis": Prof. L. Berkhof, Prof. C. Bouma, Prof. H. Schultz, Rev. I. Van Dellen, Dr. R. L. Haan, Dr. R. Bronkema.


22. Committee in re Herziening Liturgische Geschriften: Prof. S. Volbeda, Prof. H. Schultz, Prof. J. Vanden Bosch, Rev. E. Tanis.


25. Committee for Reformed Literature: Prof. L. Berkhof, Dr. H. Jellema, Rev. J. M. Vande Kieft, Rev. B. H. Spalink, Mr. J. B. Hulst, Mr. R. Postma, Mr. Jelle Hekman.


* Adopted.

ARTIKEL 129

* Drs. J. Noordewier, die niet tegenstaande zijn hoogen ouderdom de meeste zittingen der Synode als bezoeker heeft bijgewoond, sluit op verzoek van den Praeses deze zitting met dankzegging en gebed.

*Rev. J. Noordewier, who in spite of his advanced age, visited most sessions of Synod, is invited by the Chair to conclude this session with thanksgiving and prayer.
TWENTY-THIRD SESSION, FRIDAY AFTERNOON
DRIE-EN-TWINTIGSTE ZITTING, VRIJDAGNAMIDDAG,
27 JUNI JUNE 27

**ARTIKEL 130**

No. 327 of the Christian Hymnal is sung, and Rev. H. E. Oostendorp leads in prayer.

**ARTIKEL 131**

Synod decides to allow a remuneration of $100.00 to the Clerk of Calvin College, Miss Henrietta Korfker, for her services in typewriting and mimeographing the Synodical reports; and a remuneration of $50.00 each to Mr. J. B. Hulst for his services in preparing and distributing the Synodical reports; to Mr. Henry Voss for his services in arranging for lodging and meals in the Dormitory; and to Mr. E. J. Norden for his services as janitor of Calvin College.

A vote of thanks is extended to Mrs. Gertrude De Boer for her management of the cuisine in the Dormitory.

**ARTIKEL 132**

On motion it is decided that the Synod two years hence will, the Lord willing, again meet in Calvin College. The Franklin Street Church is designated to call the Synod of 1932 together.

**ARTIKEL 133**

Rev. J. Noordewier reminds Synod of the fact that the year 1932 will mark the seventy-fifth anniversary of our Church. Synod decides to appoint a Committee, consisting of Rev. H. Baker, Dr. H. Beets, Rev. H. Keegstra, and Rev. H. J. Kuiper as members, and Rev. J. Noordewier as honorary member, to arrange a program for a suitable celebration of this event.

**ARTIKEL 134**

The minutes of the twenty-second and the twenty-third sessions are read and approved.

**ARTIKEL 135**

Synod now being ready to adjourn, the President delivers the following address:
"DEAR BRETHREN:

"Our Synod for the year 1930 is about to adjourn. We have worked through the agenda and came to the acta. We have made important decisions by which we hope to further the interests of the Kingdom of God. We pray that our churches and our schools and our missions may be benefited by the resolutions we have made. Several important matters have been re-committed for further study to be acted upon by a later Synod. This fact shows indeed that we are not in immediate need of more problems for study and investigation.

"The spirit which prevailed at Synod, the cooperation which was manifest, the consideration which was evident, and the Christian fellowship which was exercised, made our sessions pleasant indeed.

"I express my great appreciation for the able assistance given me by the level-headed Vice-President and the ready and accurate Clerks. The hard work of the Synod has been done by the Committees of Preadvice to which our Seminary Professors have given their valued assistance. The quiet but highly important work of Mr. J. B. Hulst, who had charge of the preparation and distribution of the Committee reports, deserves more than a word of praise, especially since the brother has been at this work since 1918. The Clerk of the School, Miss Korfker, engaged by Synod as stenographer, has certainly proved herself worthy of her responsible task. For the calling Consistory (Nelend Avenue) and all those engaged by it to prepare the proper entertainment, I have heard nothing but praise. Synod was made to feel at home here.

"I shall not close without recalling once more the gloom cast over Synod by the sudden and tragic death of one of our delegates, the Rev. A. J. Brink. May the Lord guide us all to our several homes and dear ones in safety, and may He enable us to continue our task in His fear until we shall all reach the beautiful city in which no accidents occur, in which no parting shall take place, in which no sin shall enter—the city of our King."

The Vice-President of Synod responds as follows:

"MR. PRESIDENT:

"It is certainly fitting to express the appreciation of the Synodical delegates of your leadership. The Church is
I gratefully acknowledge your willingness to serve. Our conviction is that these meetings over which you have presided have served the permanent benefit of our churches.

"At this moment a judgment can hardly be passed on the character of our work. Let others judge. Mayhap this Synod will be relegated to the limbo of Synods forgotten and unsung. Nevertheless the high regard in which we hold you will remain. May God bless you."

ARTIKEL 136

At the request of the President, all delegates now rise and sing the Doxology: "Praise God from Whom all blessings flow." The President leading, all join in reciting the Lord's Prayer.

Synod adjourns.

W. P. VAN WYK, President,
W. GROEN, Vice-President,
D. ZWIER, First Clerk,
G. W. JYLMEMA, Second Clerk.

Correct Copy,
Supplements

Supplement Ia

Report of the Synodical Committee to the Christian Reformed Synod of 1930

Esteemed Brethren:

Your Committee has the honor to report that since the last Synod but few matters were placed before it for its decision. Some inquiries came to the Stated Clerk as to the reliability and worthiness of support of organizations outside of our circles, appealing to our churches for assistance, and this information in the form of advice was given to the best of his ability. In doubtful cases he consulted the brethren of the Synodical Committee. Evidently it is not superfluous that once more the attention of our Consistories be called to the resolution of the 1921 Synod, page 70, advising them that if persons or organizations outside of our circles request aid, such Consistories ought first to investigate by means of the Synodical Committee if everything is in good order. In view of doubts arising from the fact that means of communication in the interior of China are very inadequate, and that consequently there might be a possibility that the funds donated might not reach the parties for whom they were collected, your Committee did not feel free to give any definite advice in the case of China famine relief. In one instance it was unable to respond to one or two inquiries sent in regarding the labors of the Rev. C. M. Loomis, page 40, Acta 1926, since the Committee of inquiry to gather facts concerning the Interdenominational Benevolent Association was instructed to present its findings to our Consistories by letter, and this matter was delayed until recently—see report of the Committee in another communication.
In regard to the matter named on pages 168 and 169, Acta 1928, concerning help extended to the Theological School of the Reformed Alliance of Germany, assistance was given by some of our churches on the occasion of the visit of Dr. W. Kolfhaus to our country.

Scores of times the Stated Clerk signed the necessary blanks for the obtaining of communion wine, while inquiries about statistics and denominational status, made by different parties, were attended to by the Secretary of your Committee.

We call your attention to the fact that there is an invitation coming from the Reformed Churches in the Netherlands to have our Synod send representatives to their General Synod, meeting next August. Let us add in connection with this, that, as usual, the Synodical Committee appointed several of the brethren to act as our representatives at the meetings of the major assemblies of our corresponding churches. Sorry to say, three brethren declined in succession to represent us at the meeting of the General Synod of the Reformed Church in America, and after the third declination had come in, too little time was left to ask a fourth one to get ready to go. The brethren appointed had lawful excuses for their declinations. Still, we are sorry that time limit did not permit us to send some one to Asbury Park to extend our greetings to the denomination so near akin to us.

The mandate of the regular members of this Committee: the Revs. W. P. Van Wyk, I. Van Dellen, and J. Timmerman, is again surrendered to you for your action; the term of the Stated Clerk also expires at this time.

Respectfully submitted,
Wm. P. Van Wyk, President.
Henry Beets, Stated Clerk.

P. S. As requested, we transmit to you communications of the League of Evangelical Students, of the Alpine Avenue Consistory, Loomis Committee, the "Back to the Bible Movement," and the U. P. Committee on Presbyterian Unity. (See Art. 93, IX, of these Acta.)
SUPPLEMENT I-b

REPORT OF THE TREASURER OF SYNOD

Grand Rapids, Mich., June 14, 1930.

Synod of 1930 of the
Christian Reformed Church.

Esteemed Brethren:

I take great pleasure in submitting herewith an itemized statement of the receipts and disbursements as Synodical Treasurer for the period of two years from June 11, 1928, to and including June 14, 1930.

From this statement can be seen that there was on hand at the beginning of the period $7,376.74

I have received from Synodical assessments, interest on certificates of deposit in the Grand Rapids Savings Bank, etc. 15,688.55

Making a total of $23,065.29

Out of this amount, I have been called upon to pay out the sum of 12,210.11

Leaving a balance of cash on hand of $10,855.18

In view of the fact that our receipts have exceeded our expenditures, and that our cash balance is now greater than it was two years ago, I would suggest that our assessment be reduced from 70c per family to 50c, unless Synod assumes obligations of which I have no knowledge at this time.

Wishing you God's choicest blessings in your work as a
Synod, and trusting that, through your deliberations and actions, great things may be done for His Kingdom, I am,
Yours very sincerely,
Tony Noordewier, Treasurer.

RECAPITULATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 11, 1928</td>
<td>Balance of cash on hand</td>
<td>$7,376.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 14, 1930</td>
<td>Total receipts to date</td>
<td>$15,688.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>$23,065.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Disbursements</td>
<td>$12,210.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 14, 1930</td>
<td>Net balance of cash on hand</td>
<td>$10,855.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I have examined the statement of receipts and disbursements of the Synodical Treasurer as recapitulated above, and find it to be correct.

Henry Denkema, Synodical Auditor.

June 17th, 1930.
SUPPLEMENT II

CURATORIUM (BOARD OF TRUSTEES) REPORT

To the Synod of the Christian Reformed Church,

To convene at Grand Rapids, Mich.,
June 11, 1930, and following days,

Esteemed Brethren:

It is a pleasure to us to submit the report of the Curatorium (Board of Trustees) of our Theological School and Calvin College to you, while you are assembled in one of the buildings of our growing institution. In the decade which has passed since Synod met last in this city, great changes have taken place in our school. Then there was but one building upon the campus, now there are three, and a fourth is under construction, to be completed next fall; then our plant was burdened by a heavy debt, now our property is free from all incumbrance; then the enrollment in our College amounted to 120 and in the Seminary to 44, now the enrollment of the College has grown to 353 and of the Seminary to 54. This month 84 were graduated from the College, and 20 from the Seminary. Of those graduating from the College: 2 received a certificate of a four-year course; 1 an A.B. degree awarded on completion of an additional year in a medical school; 6 received the degree of A.B. in Education; 10 had completed the Normal Course; 4 the Pre-Medical; 4 the Pre-Law; 19 the Pre-Seminary; and 38 the General College Course. To no less, therefore, than 64 the degree of A.B. could be awarded. Of the 20 graduating from the Seminary, as many as 15 received the degree of Bachelor of Theology. When we consider all these things, we have abundant reasons for gratitude, and we may say with the Psalmist: “The Lord hath done great things for us; wherefore we are glad.”
A. The Meetings of Curatorium.

(1) Since the last synodical gathering, your Board of Curators has had but two meetings. They were the annual meetings held each time at the close of the academic year. It is with a feeling of joy that we may report that in both meetings a fine Christian spirit was manifested. The personnel of Curatorium continued to change from year to year, new faces appearing at each gathering, but there was no vacancy through death in this period. Yet we had reasons to sorrow. At the 1930 meeting, note was taken of the fact that Mr. Nicholas Brander had died in the prime of life. He had served our institution very acceptably both as member of the Committee on Buildings and Grounds and as member of the Building Committee for the new Seminary.

(2) At the annual meeting of 1929, Rev. W. P. Van Wyk was elected President; Dr. Y. P. De Jong, Vice-President; Rev. L. J. Lamberts, Secretary; and Rev. D. Zwier, Assistant Secretary. These officers were re-elected in 1930.

(3) Last year your Board of Curators, when it met, admitted the following to the candidacy, after examining them in dogmatics and in the other prescribed branches: C. H. Bode, F. Bronkema, R. Bronkema, R. Danhof, R. S. De Haan, M. Dornbusch, J. Geels, J. Hanenberg, A. Persenaire, H. Rikkers, L. Verduin, J. Weidemaar, and C. Witt. This year eighteen young men—the largest class in the history of our Church—presented themselves for examination, and all of them were declared candidates for the sacred ministry of the Word. Their names are: M. Bolt, B. Bruxvoort, F. De Jong, N. De Vries, A. Desselkoven, P. Holwerda, J. Hoogland, J. Hoogstra, R. H. Hooker, G. Kok, C. De Waal Malefyt, W. Rutgers, R. Star, W. Vande Kieft, B. Vanden Brink, J. Vander Ploeg, C. Veenstra, and T. Yff.

B. The Standing Committees.

(1) The Board of Finance was composed of the following men: Rev. H. Keegstra, Rev. W. Kok, Dr. A. B. Poppen, Messrs. Fred Winter, G. J. Rooks, G. L. Dornbos, John Hekman, T. Noordewier, and H. Holtvluwer. After Rev.
Keegstra left Holland, Rev. D. Zwier took his place in this Committee; other members whose term had expired, were re-appointed by Curatorium. In this connection it must be pointed out that Mr. Tony Noordewier has served our institution very acceptably for a number of years as treasurer, and has done all this work without any compensation. Curatorium gave him a vote of thanks at its annual meeting of 1929, and it would be fitting if Synod would do this also.

(2) The Committee on Buildings and Grounds had but three members: Rev. W. P. Van Wyk, Messrs. N. Brander and B. Hertel; and since Mr. Brander's death, but two. Upon the recommendation of these men and of others also, Curatorium decided to merge this Committee with the Board of Finance. Rev. Van Wyk and Mr. Hertel have been appointed members of this enlarged Board. Instructions have been given to this body to change its by-laws so that it will have a Committee on Buildings and Grounds.

(3) The following served in the Supervisory Committee: Revs. J. Bruinooge, H. J. Kuiper, E. J. Krohne, L. J. Lambers, and L. Veltkamp. When Rev. Kuiper left Classis Grand Rapids West, Dr Y. P. De Jong took his place. At the last meeting of the Board, President Broene suggested that the name of this Committee should be changed. The part of his annual report which has a bearing on this matter reads as follows:

"There is much to be said for such a change, and nothing of any weight against it. The only objection to the change I have ever heard is that if the name is changed, the Committee might cease to be a supervising body. That fear seems to me utterly groundless. If the Board decided that its Executive Committee is to exercise some supervision, it can so stipulate. The main function of the Committee is that of an Executive Committee. It performs the work of the Board between sessions. As it now stands, our terminology is out of harmony with that of the American environment in which we live, and gives rise to confusion."

It was decided to act according to this suggestion. The Supervisory Committee, therefore, will henceforth be designated as the Executive Committee.
C. The Faculty of the Seminary.

(1) No changes were made in the Theological Faculty since the last Synod, except that Professor Kromminga, who was appointed at that time, began to teach Historical Theology. The Supervisory Committee made arrangements for his installation, which took place at the Neland Avenue Church on the evening of September 5, 1928. Rev. W. P. Van Wyk, the President of Curatorium, preached the sermon, and Rev. H. Keegstra, as President of the last Synod, read the Form.

(2) Professor Kromminga is to be re-appointed by Synod. Curatorium, after having heard both the Supervisory Committee and the Rector, recommends his re-appointment.

(3) During the year 1928–1929, Dr. Wyngaarden served as Rector; the past year this office was held by Professor Schultze; and for the ensuing year Professor Berkhof has been appointed.

D. The Teaching Staff of the College.

(1) Professor Van Zyl, who had received an offer of a teaching fellowship at the University of Michigan, was granted a leave of absence for a year. He expects to be back next fall.

(2) Mr. R. Bos, who was instructor in German and English, accepted a position as principal of the Eastern Academy. His part of the work has been carried on by student instructors.

(3) In 1929 the rank of Associate Professor was given to Mr. Seymour Swets with the understanding:
   a) That he shall be re-appointed again at the end of two years, when his present appointment expires; and
   b) That there will be a possibility of his being re-appointed again as Associate Professor.

(4) Miss Johanna Timmer was re-appointed last year. She received the title of “Dean of Women” and the rank of Instructor.
(5) Miss Elizabeth Vertregt, who was appointed Acting Librarian in 1928, was appointed Librarian this year.

(6) Mr. William Cornelisse, the Physical Director, was obliged to go to Denver for a year, to regain his health, but he has returned, and expects to resume his duties next fall. Mr. John Tuls had charge of the coaching work while Mr. Cornelisse was absent.

(7) Mr. E. Monsma was re-appointed as Assistant in Biology and to be in charge of Physics; Mr. L. Fokstra was re-appointed as Instructor in the Normal Department.

E. The Presidency.

When President Broene received his appointment in 1926, he announced that he would accept, but with the understanding that he was to serve no more than four years, after which he was to have his chair again in the Department of Education. At the annual meeting of 1929, he called attention to the fact that his term would expire the next year. As Curatorium was loathe to lose his services as President, it appointed a committee of six with instructions to confer with the President and ascertain whether he would not withdraw his resignation; and in case he should not be willing to do this, to present a nomination at the 1930 meeting. This committee reported that it had not succeeded in persuading the President to change his position. It presented a nomination, which had been made after a conference had been held with the College Faculty. From the nomination which was presented, Curatorium selected Professor R. B. Kuiper, formerly pastor of the Lagrave Avenue Church, but in the past year Professor of Systematic Theology at the Westminster Seminary of Philadelphia. To our joy we may add that before Curatorium adjourned, it had the information that Professor Kuiper had accepted. May the Lord bless the brother in his new field of labor with its great responsibilities.

F. Calvin and the North Central Association.

In the past mention was made repeatedly of the fact that Calvin College lacked sufficient endowment funds to be admitted to the North Central Association of Colleges and Sec-
ordinary Schools, in consequence of which our graduates were obliged to take entrance examinations if they wanted to continue their studies at other institutions; and in some cases their applications were not considered at all. But now we may report to your honorable body that on the 19th of March, 1930, our College was admitted without condition to this Association, even though we lacked the required endowment fund. The President said in his report about this matter:

"Credit for it belongs to no one man. Rather it belongs to many—to our people who have enabled the Board to provide us with such a splendid physical plant, to our Faculty for the excellent teaching, to our graduates who in other schools have given us a reputation for sound scholarship and thorough teaching, to our bookkeeper for keeping our accounts in very nearly perfect condition (indeed, the Report of a Survey of C. C. for the N. C. A. reads thus: 'The institution is to be commended on the excellent form in which the accounts are kept'), to our Clerk for sending out our letters and other documents in such a way that we make an excellent impression on outsiders, to our Registrar for keeping our academic records in proper condition (the Report to the N. C. A., already mentioned, reads: 'The records in the office of the Registrar are well kept'), to our Dean for assisting me in preparing our report and in various ways."

But we are sure that much credit must be given to President Broene for bringing about that Calvin is recognized throughout the country as an accredited school. We would like to suggest that Synod give him a vote of thanks.

G. Two Splendid Gifts.

(1) From year to year Curatorium has reasons to be thankful to the churches for raising their assessments and to express its appreciation to societies and individuals for their gifts. At the meeting of 1929 it was decided to thank Mr. Cornelius Dosker for a collection of Oriental curios, and Mr. and Mrs. Gilbert Daane for the gift of $500, for which sum valuable books were purchased for the Library. At the last gathering of Curatorium attention was called to a gift of $125 of the Young People's Society of Manhattan,
Mont., the interest of which gift is to be used as a prize in the Seminary. But at this same meeting two other gifts were reported, which are so outstanding that they deserve special mention.

(2) a) The one was received from the same family that gave us the Library Building a few years ago. Last fall the Hekman family offered to erect a new Seminary Building on the campus at an expense of $75,000.00. The Supervisory Committee accepted this offer and appointed a Building Committee. When the bids were opened, however, it was found that the sum which was offered would not be sufficient. About $15,000 more was needed. At this juncture the generosity of the Hekmans was again revealed, for they offered to add to the original gift as much as was necessary to complete the building, including the furniture and fixtures. This new building will have six class-rooms, each with a seating capacity for forty students, one large room which might be used for examinations, for student meetings, and for the meetings of the Board of Trustees, a Faculty room, a public office with a small private office adjoining, a chapel, seating a little over a hundred, a reception room, a student's waiting room, a committee room, a small kitchen, and the necessary coat-rooms, toilets, etc. Favored by mild weather, the contractor was able to start building operations early, and it is expected that the structure will be completed by November 1st.

b) Your Board of Curators feels very grateful for this gift, and it recommends that a meeting of the Curators be held at the time of the dedication of the new Seminary building in order that they may express their interest and appreciation to the donors by their presence.

(3) The other gift was offered to Curatorium at its last meeting by Mr. and Mrs. Wm. B. Eerdmans. Previous to this Mr. Eerdmans had indicated to the Supervisory Committee that he would like to donate an organ for the chapel, but he had added that a Committee should be appointed to select the instrument. This Committee had been appointed and had selected a three-manual Wangerin organ that would cost $10,000, but that it would cost $12,500 if one
more stop—flute celeste—and if harp, chimes, grills, and pipes should be added. The following written statement was then given:

"Mr. and Mrs. Wm. B. Eerdmans offer to donate to
CALVIN COLLEGE
an Organ as per specifications submitted by the Organ Committee, to the value of $12,500.00. This amount to absorb the balance of the pledge of $10,000 made by Mr. and Mrs. Wm. B. Eerdmans before, of which an amount of some $7,500 is still unpaid.

"The Organ is to be erected and installed in Calvin College as a Memorial to their daughter, Eleanor Mae.

"The Organ is to be installed during the summer or early fall of 1930 (it takes three months to build the organ, and one month to install it).

"Mr. Wm. B. Eerdmans and heirs to assume all financial responsibilities.

"(Signed) WM. B. EERDMANS."

The Board accepted the generous offer and permits the installation of the proposed organ, with the understanding that the previous pledge heretofore made by Mr. and Mrs. Eerdmans be cancelled when the organ has been installed and a clear title has been given to the institution. Curatorium also decided that Mr. and Mrs. Eerdmans be given proper expression of its most hearty appreciation of this very generous and acceptable gift.

H. Financial Matters.

(1) As was already remarked in the introduction, the property is free from all incumbrance at present. The Board of Finance could report to Curatorium this year that the balance of the mortgage resting upon the main building could be paid off.

(2) It was decided to install two automatic stokers at a cost of $3,000.00. These will pay for themselves because they will permit the janitor to take care of other work, and because they use a pulverized coal which is $2 a ton cheaper than the coal used in hand firing.
(3) The following financial statement covering the two years 1929 and 1930, is given by the Assistant Treasurer:

FINANCIAL REPORT FOR YEAR ENDING DEC. 31, 1928

OPERATING STATEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INCOME</th>
<th>EXPENSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classical Assessments $77,889.21</td>
<td>Salaries—College $57,894.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Tuition 27,150.36</td>
<td>—Seminary 24,500.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminary Tuition 1,978.00</td>
<td>—All Others 12,454.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From De Wachter and The Banner 10,000.00</td>
<td>Instructional 5,180.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from Endowment 6,075.28</td>
<td>Library Books 2,061.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous 940.38</td>
<td>Business Admin. 5,582.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong> $124,028.23</td>
<td>Maint. of Plant 4,944.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense</strong> $113,955.16</td>
<td><strong>New Equipment</strong> 1,298.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Balance</strong> $10,073.07</td>
<td><strong>Balance</strong> $112,955.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Dormitory and Dining Hall are self-supporting outside from the cost of the building. The operations for the year show a small gain, which is set up as a reserve from which to meet future improvements and replacements. The rates are as follows:

- **Board** $4.75 per week.
- **Rent** 2.50 per week for Single Rooms.
- **Rent** 1.75 per week for Double Rooms—each.

STATEMENT OF FUNDS

**ENDOWMENT FUNDS**

- Value Jan. 1, 1928 $88,049.11
- Received during year from Pledges and Donations 10,204.15
- **Present Total Endowment** $108,253.26

**BUILDING FUNDS**

- Received during year from Pledges and Donations $7,555.25
- From Gen'l Income 3,823.18
- From Hekman Memorial Library Building 67,259.31
- **Value, Jan. 1, 1928** $78,617.74
- **Present Total Building** $421,345.86

**BALANCE SHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>LIABILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Loans $105,166.30</td>
<td>Mortgage Payable $25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Property 2,000.00</td>
<td>Department Funds 3,029.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Cash 911.45</td>
<td><strong>Net Worth</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings &amp; Equipment 451,261.40</td>
<td>Endowment Funds 108,253.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from Student Clubs 565.64</td>
<td>Building Funds 421,345.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen'l Cash Balance 15,952.91</td>
<td>Reserve Account 16,229.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong> $573,857.70</td>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$573,857.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FINANCIAL REPORT FOR YEAR ENDING DEC. 31, 1929

OPERATING STATEMENT

INCOME

Classical Assessments $83,326.27
College Tuition ........ 31,074.55
Seminary Tuition ....... 2,365.25
Income from Endowment .... 5,726.19
Miscellaneous ........... 77.15

Total Income ........... $123,159.41

EXPENSE

College Professors $55,374.81
Seminary Professors 24,630.24
All other Salaries .... 15,399.00
Instructional .......... 5,468.93
Library Books ........ 2,267.23
Business Admin. ....... 3,732.77
Maint. of Plant ....... 5,168.19

IMPROVEMENTS—New Equipment $874.90

Net Balance ........... $ 4,313.34

The Dormitory and Dining Hall have been operating without loss at the following rates:

Board .............. $ 4.75 per week.
Rent ................. 47.50 per semester for Single Rooms.
Rent ................. 33.25 per semester for Double Rooms—each.

Accommodating 79 Men Students.

STATEMENT OF FUNDS

ENDOWMENT FUNDS

Payments on Pledges $6,042.00
Donations ........... 693.89
From Churches for Chairs of Ethics and Bible 4,845.00

Total Endowment $119,834.15

BUILDING FUNDS

Payment on Pledges $4,721.67
Donations ........... 227.33
From Gen'l Fund ....... 4,404.90
Rec'd during Year .... $ 9,253.90

Total Funds invested in Buildings $430,699.76

Value Jan. 1, 1929... 108,253.26

Present Total Endowment $119,834.15

There remains a mortgage indebtedness on the buildings of $15,000.00.

BALANCE SHEET

ASSETS

Endowment Loans .... $111,150.00
Endowment Property 3,177.00
Endowment Cash ....... 632.15
Buildings & Equip'mt 455,222.02
Due from Student Clubs ........ 389.07
Gen'l Cash—Balance 18,529.43

$589,149.27

LIABILITIES

Mortgage Payable .... $15,000.00
Department Funds .... 2,367.09

$589,149.27

NET WORTH

Endowment Funds .... 119,834.15
Building Fund ....... 430,699.76
Reserve Account .... 21,235.27

$589,149.27
I. The Problem of Maintaining the Dutch Language in the College and in the Seminary.

At the meeting of 1929, the Theological Faculty informed the Board of Trustees that in its opinion it is practically impossible to use Dutch without impairing the work done; Professor Van Andel, who teaches Holland in the College, wrote a letter in which he indicated that the Dutch language is not appreciated as it might be; and the Supervisory Committee also made mention of this problem. The matter was referred to the Faculties in consultation with the Supervisory Committee to report at the 1930 session. At the last meeting the following recommendations were adopted:

OF THE COLLEGE FACULTY

(1) In regard to Dutch language and literature:

a) In general it is proposed to put the Dutch on the level of the German and French courses in the first two years at least, the first year to be a grammar year, the second grammar review and reading. The amount of outside reading to be reduced to meet the situation;

b) For the first year Dutch the present plan shall be continued to meet the weak students once a week in order to help them along in their reading and pronunciation;

c) In the third year, the literature courses shall be taught in alternate years, two hours being devoted to literature, and one hour to Dutch Composition for those who are fit for this work, or to more reading for those who are unable to compose; this elective to be determined by the instructor;

d) The able students shall be excused of one or two grammar and reading courses in Dutch with the understanding that they shall take additional courses in literature and composition to make up their three years.

(2) In regard to Dutch History:

Pre-Seminary students shall be required to take at least one course in Dutch history, by preference the last course (326).
(3) In regard to the Library:
Most books for Dutch literature and history shall be put in a separate alcove in the reading-room so as to make them more accessible to the students.

OF THE SEMINARY FACULTY

"With this the Faculty proceeds from the following considerations:

a) That it is desirable for our theological students, both in their theological study, and later in the ministry of the Word, to be able to use the rich treasure of Netherland's Reformed literature;
b) That it is not only desirable, but necessary, in view of the fact that undoubtedly for a considerable time there will be a rather general need for Dutch preaching and the use of the Dutch language in church life, for our students in their three years of theological study be encouraged to use the Dutch language with facility, especially in the pulpit.

This double consideration determines its purpose in this matter. To attain to that purpose the Faculty is prepared to all that is possible. How to follow this in the most practical way cannot be determined beforehand. However the Faculty has given thought to this matter, and intends to put the following, as much as possible, into operation:

(1) That in connection with subjects in which it might appear possible and desirable, the use of Netherlands theological works will be mandated or encouraged;

(2) That in case at some time it might happen that the students of a certain class could with profit follow in the Netherlands language a particular subject, the Professor in that subject unless for serious considerations he would consider it inadvisable in such a subject would employ the Netherlands language;
(3) That the present practice, that all students in the three years of their theological studies must present one or two of the required sample sermons in the Netherlands language, will be maintained. Naturally, if in the judgment of the Professor in the subject a particular student cannot possible preach in the Netherlands language, this rule will not be applied to him;

(4) That efforts will be put forth to include one hour of Netherlands speech practice in the curriculum, so that also in this way the ability of using the Netherlands language will be encouraged;

(5) That the Professors, as well in the lectures as in their contacts with the students, and especially in the annual family visit, and as often as the opportunity presents itself, will impress upon the students the importance and the value of the Netherlands language;

(6) That as soon as a prize is promised for this, an annual competition will be begun. Here is a beautiful opportunity presented to persons and congregations, and even to Classes, that through an annual promise of a relatively small amount as prize, the knowledge and importance of the Netherlands language can be encouraged;

(7) That efforts will be put forth to establish a club among the theological students, who will definitely have as their purpose, cultivating fluency in the use of the Netherlands language."

OF THE SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

That those students who want to conduct religious services in the Holland language, but are not confident of themselves as to the correct form of expression, shall be asked to submit their sermons to the Professor in Homiletics.

J. Protests against statements of our Educational Secretary.

Our Educational Secretary, Rev. J. Vander Mey, wrote some articles in the church papers against which objections
were raised. In 1929, there was a protest of the Consistory of Prinsburg, Minnesota. At that time the following resolution was passed, to which Rev. Vander Mey himself subscribed: "The Free Christian School should receive the whole-hearted support of all our people wherever there is need of a school or wherever its erection is feasible." This year protests were received from the Michigan Alliance of Christian Schools, from the Holland Christian School Board, and from the Consistory of Prospect Park, Holland, Michigan. In connection with this matter, your Board adopted the following resolutions:

(1) Rev. Vander Mey has voluntarily promised to discontinue the debate on this matter, and Curatorium would have requested this had he not done so;

(2) Curatorium urges Rev. Vander Mey to write one or two articles in the spirit of his own statement, occurring in his written answer to the protests received: "Now I am sorry that affairs shaped themselves the way they did. It caused my approach to come from the wrong direction. My approach was not fortunate, not as I would have desired it. Much rather would I have started with a strong plea: a) for the Christian School; and b) for the duty of parents to establish these schools for their children, and then after this was done to enter upon the problems about which there is difference of opinion."

K. Matters which require Synod’s approval or action.

(1) The last Synod (see Acta 1928, page 72) referred the Rules for the Appointment of Professors of Theology with the added instruction that this matter was to be brought early enough to the attention of the churches in order that proposals for changes might be incorporated in the Agendum of the following Synod. To our regret we must inform you that Curatorium could not carry out this latter instruction without going to great expense. The matter was referred in 1929 to a committee which could not report to Curatorium till a few days ago.

The Board, however, at this time submits the following to your honorably body for consideration:
RULES FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF PROFESSORS
IN THEOLOGY

1. When a theological professor is to be appointed, Curatorium shall present a nomination to Synod. The nominees shall preferably be ordained men that have had some experience in the ministry of the Word. The nomination shall not be made until a conference has been held with the Theological Faculty. It must be made in time so that it may appear in our church papers at least twice before Synod meets. To this end the Executive Committee of the Curatorium shall prepare a proposal in time for the meeting of the full Curatorium in May. When Curatorium convenes, its first duty shall be the making of the nomination and its publication. In order to lengthen the time for possible objections to reach Synod, the election shall take place at Synod as late as possible, and certainly not before the twentieth of June.

2. As in the past, a Professor will be appointed for a term of two years; after which he will be appointed for a term of six years; and when this term is completed he will be appointed indefinitely.

3. These rules, if adopted, are to supersede all former rules.

(2) Curatorium calls attention to a typographical error in the last report of Curatorium and consequently also in the Acta. Through this error the minimum salary of Assistants was set at $1,600 instead of $1,800. Curatorium asks Synod to rectify this error.

(3) Curatorium petitions Synod to state that a minister can accept the Presidency of Calvin College without losing his ministerial standing. Grounds for this request:
   a) The College belongs to the Church;
   b) Its headship is a position of the very greatest significance to our entire denomination; and
   c) The position is one in which the incumbent can exercise a far-reaching spiritual influence. It may be added that this is in harmony with the report of the “Committee in re status of Ministers connected with institutions of learning which are not ecclesiastical.”

(4) Curatorium petitions Synod to change of the name of our institution to Calvin College and Seminary. Grounds:
   a) This simple designation will remove the false impression that the Theological School and Calvin College are two separate institutions;
b) It is true that the Synod of 1926 objected to such a change of the name (see Acts 1926, p. 37) especially on the score that "our Theological School would (in the proposed change of name) lose the place to which it is entitled and which lives in the minds of our people," but the change of the official title does not involve that the Seminary Department of our institution will cease to be our Theological School.

In case Synod may grant this request, the Board petitions Synod further that it authorize Curatorium to change the Articles of Incorporation in harmony with this change of name.

(5) An overture was received from Classis Grand Rapids West. It reads as follows:

EXTRA POST-GRADUATE YEAR AT THE SEMINARY

To add a year of post-graduate work to our Theological course at our Seminary, so that students desiring advanced study can take it at our own School. This extra is not to be compulsory, and is to be introduced without increasing the Faculty. Grounds:

(1) Need for a stronghold of Reformed Theology in Theology;
(2) We know that our present Theological Faculty is qualified to give this post-graduate course;
(3) Up to this time our students have mostly pursued post-graduate work at Princeton, but since the future position of Princeton is uncertain in regard to fundamentals, we believe it imperative to make provision for our students at our own institution;
(4) We believe that our Seminary should eventually offer a complete post-graduate course leading to the degree of Doctor in Theology. This is the first step to realize our ideal;
(5) An adequate Seminary building is assured.

Curatorium considers it advisable before definite action is taken in the matter, that Synod appoint a Committee to make a thorough study of this question.

We consider it advisable that two members of the Curatorium and two members of the Theological Faculty be ap-
pointed on this Committee; this Committee to report to Curatorium, with the understanding that the Curatorium, after thorough consideration of the report, shall appear before the next Synod with definite advice in this matter.

(6) The matter mentioned in paragraph 1 of section B.
(7) The matter mentioned in paragraph 2 of section C.
(8) The matter mentioned at the close of section F.
(9) The matter mentioned in 2b of section G.

Respectfully submitted,

L. J. LAMBERTS, Secretary.
DEAR BRETHREN:

Your General Home Missions Committee, organized February 3, 1927, according to the plan adopted by the Synod of 1926 (Acta, p. 80), has since our report to the Synod of 1928 (p. 202 of Acta), held two annual meetings. At the annual meeting held May 22, 1929, at the home of the Secretary of Missions, the roll-call showed the presence of the Atlantic Coast District member, Rev. J. L. Van Tielen, President; Lake Michigan East, Rev. J. Dollin; Lake Michigan West, no representative, the delegate being unable to be present; Midwest District, Rev. H. C. Bode; Pacific Coast District, Rev. J. De Jonge. Mr. J. J. Buiten, treasurer, was also present, as well as the undersigned.

After considering the various requests for subsidies, your Committee agreed on the following budget-schedule, for 1929-1930, as given on page 231.

June 4, 1930, we again met in annual session, in Calvin College. The brethren present were the same as named above, except that Rev. J. R. Van Dyke represented the Midwest District, and the Rev. J. De Jonge's place was taken by Rev. J. De Jong. No delegate nor letter from the Lake Michigan West District. After considering the various requests for aid, we agreed on the following budget-schedule for 1931-1932, at the same basis given above: $4.00 per family, per year, etc., (see page 232 of these Acta).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$9,360</td>
<td>$10,360</td>
<td>$7,387</td>
<td>$391</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>4,650</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>9,260</td>
<td>4,007</td>
<td>6,243</td>
<td>769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Friesland</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2,671</td>
<td>2,329</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pella</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>3,087</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>1,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange City</td>
<td>1451</td>
<td>4,850</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>6,450</td>
<td>4,353</td>
<td>1,697</td>
<td>1,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sioux Center</td>
<td>1361</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,082</td>
<td>1,117</td>
<td>1,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskegon</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>4,560</td>
<td>3,450</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>5,946</td>
<td>2,054</td>
<td>1,982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids East</td>
<td>2364</td>
<td>4,050</td>
<td>1,650</td>
<td>5,700</td>
<td>10,776</td>
<td>5,076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids West</td>
<td>3296</td>
<td>4,450</td>
<td>1,650</td>
<td>6,100</td>
<td>13,184</td>
<td>7,084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>5,250</td>
<td>7,716</td>
<td>2,456</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeeland</td>
<td>1598</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>6,362</td>
<td>4,852</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson-Hackensack</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>7,912</td>
<td>3,612</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>2530</td>
<td>No reports received</td>
<td>10,120</td>
<td>5,060</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,272</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
<td>2,544</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Canada Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Canada Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This plan was based on the supposition that all Classes shall raise $4.00 per family. Those Classes which expect aid from the General Fund shall raise $3.00 for Classical Home Missions and $1.00 for the General Fund. Those that do not receive aid from the General Fund shall also raise $4.00, but the amount not needed for their own Classical work shall be contributed to the General Fund. This seems to be in harmony with the Scriptural command of Galatians 6:2: "Bear ye one another's burdens, and so fulfil the law of Christ."
# Proposed Plan and Budget for Home Missions for 1931 and 1932

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>No. of Families</th>
<th>Total Needed</th>
<th>Must Raise Themselves</th>
<th>To Receive from Gen. Fund</th>
<th>Must Pay to Gen. Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>$10,200</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>$483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids East</td>
<td>2786</td>
<td>5,170</td>
<td>3,568</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids West</td>
<td>3387</td>
<td>7,370</td>
<td>10,161</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>4,700</td>
<td>5,716</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson-Hackensack</td>
<td>2651</td>
<td>4,590</td>
<td>6,183</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>2730</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskegon</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>7,400</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange City</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>6,150</td>
<td>4,560</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Friesland</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>3,850</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>7,216</td>
<td>3,265</td>
<td>7,850</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pella</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sioux Center</td>
<td>1413</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>4,289</td>
<td>3,461</td>
<td>1,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeeland</td>
<td>1576</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>4,728</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>4,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total              |                 |              |                       |                          | $31,711              |

* Includes $2,000 for new Missionary for Western Canada.
† Includes $3,000 for Portable Church in Chatham.
After looking at the budget-schedules, you will no doubt be interested in the following annual reports, the year's receipts and expenditures placed side by side.

CONDENSED STATEMENT
Of Receipts and Disbursements by J. J. Buiten, Treasurer
General Home Missions Funds of the Christian Reformed Church in America, for the two years ending December 31, 1928 and 1929

### CASH RECEIPTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>FOR 1928</th>
<th>FOR 1929</th>
<th>COMBINED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$460.51</td>
<td>$350.46</td>
<td>$810.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. California</td>
<td>2,864.69</td>
<td>2,753.11</td>
<td>5,617.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. G. R. East</td>
<td>2,762.83</td>
<td>4,827.34</td>
<td>7,590.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. G. R. West</td>
<td>281.84</td>
<td>165.69</td>
<td>447.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Hackensack</td>
<td>1,646.27</td>
<td>1,544.64</td>
<td>3,191.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Holland</td>
<td>1,238.65</td>
<td>651.69</td>
<td>1,890.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Hudson</td>
<td>2,179.57</td>
<td>1,516.87</td>
<td>3,696.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Illinois</td>
<td>1,382.76</td>
<td>1,104.28</td>
<td>2,487.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Muskegon</td>
<td>1,051.28</td>
<td>1,544.64</td>
<td>2,595.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Orange City</td>
<td>1,081.60</td>
<td>1,004.28</td>
<td>2,085.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Ostfriesland</td>
<td>602.11</td>
<td>518.70</td>
<td>1,120.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Pacific</td>
<td>620.22</td>
<td>281.84</td>
<td>902.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Pella</td>
<td>1,335.12</td>
<td>943.25</td>
<td>2,278.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Sioux City</td>
<td>1,300.92</td>
<td>745.53</td>
<td>2,046.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Wisconsin</td>
<td>750.25</td>
<td>671.82</td>
<td>1,422.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Zeeland</td>
<td>1,264.54</td>
<td>1,625.80</td>
<td>2,890.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total from Classes</td>
<td>$19,121.75</td>
<td>$19,840.98</td>
<td>$38,962.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>2,555.94</td>
<td>1,738.83</td>
<td>4,294.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>67.75</td>
<td>222.03</td>
<td>289.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Items</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>1,850.00</td>
<td>1,850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Receipts</td>
<td>$22,241.44</td>
<td>$23,151.84</td>
<td>$45,393.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CASH DISBURSEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TO</th>
<th>FOR 1928</th>
<th>FOR 1929</th>
<th>COMBINED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cl. California</td>
<td>$4,226.66</td>
<td>$5,550.98</td>
<td>$9,777.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Muskegon</td>
<td>1,345.13</td>
<td>1,345.13</td>
<td>2,690.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Orange City</td>
<td>3,080.18</td>
<td>1,172.29</td>
<td>4,252.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Ostfriesland</td>
<td>1,961.25</td>
<td>1,088.02</td>
<td>3,049.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Pacific</td>
<td>3,170.60</td>
<td>3,281.59</td>
<td>6,452.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl. Sioux City</td>
<td>1,410.65</td>
<td>1,830.88</td>
<td>3,241.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total to Classes</td>
<td>$15,213.87</td>
<td>$13,030.06</td>
<td>$28,243.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SUMMARY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FOR 1928</th>
<th>FOR 1929</th>
<th>COMBINED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$23,149.21</td>
<td>$17,446.41</td>
<td>$40,595.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Administration Expenses</strong></td>
<td>$270.10</td>
<td>$259.38</td>
<td>$530.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traveling Expenses (Dr. B.)</strong></td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bond Purchases</strong></td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cash Disbursements</strong></td>
<td>$23,919.31</td>
<td>$19,256.39</td>
<td>$43,175.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Receipts exceed Disbursements by** $2,217.58

**Apply Balance as of Jan. 1, 1929** $2,377.24

**Balance (in Bank) Dec. 31, 1929** $4,594.82

**Bonds in hands of the Treasurer** $1,800.00

If you bear in mind that in the two years preceding the organizing of our General Home Missions Committee the total receipts were $35,882.81, and during 1928 and 1929 were, as given above, $45,393.28, you will realize that we feel thankful for the increase of about 29%.

We feel thankful, moreover, that Canada is opening up for us and our work in an encouraging manner. The number of stations is increasing right along, and so is the number of our workers. Those serving now in Canada are the the Revs. T. De Boer, J. S. Balt, W. Meyer, H. Wierenga, J. Mulder, H. Vander Woude, and P. Hoekstra. Brother Wierenga takes the place of Rev. J. Vanden Hooe, for whose diligent labors in Winnipeg and vicinity we still feel grateful.

During the summer of 1929 the Secretary of your General Committee was able to carry out the long-deferred plan of visiting many of our settlements in Canada, in company with Mr. T. Cnossen, Secretary of the Reformed Emigration Society. The necessary passes had been obtained as to railroad transportation, and both Mr. Cnossen and your Secretary were able to visit many places and confer with brethren locally. At several centers they were able to or-
ganize committees to help the immigrants from Holland to get settled in a satisfactory way, something very necessary during the first weeks and months after they come to a new land, in new surroundings.

We express our appreciation of all these volunteer workers, (ministers oftentimes bear a big share of these responsibilities), and in a special manner our Winnipeg Immigration Committee, and Mr. A. J. Wyenberg, a man who devotes all his time to the promoting of the temporal and, if possible, the spiritual interests of the new Canadians. We trust Synod will see its way clear to again grant the necessary subsidy for Brother Wyenberg's salary, as well as for that of the various congregations in the Dominion, and enable the authorities of Classis Pacific to call a missionary-at-large for Western Canada, for which purpose we place $2,000 in the proposed budget-schedule.

We greatly appreciate it that the Oakdale Park Church has become responsible for the salary of the Rev. T. De Boer, of Chatham, Ont., and the Classis of Holland for that of Rev. J. S. Ball, of Hamilton, Ont., with the Ninth St. congregation as the calling church.

The Franklin St. congregation of Grand Rapids is the calling church of the Rev. W. Meyer, who labors in the Ontario territory across the Detroit River.

The Director of Missions wrote a pamphlet of six pages, giving a map (p. 236) of the Canadian field, and adjoining U. S. territory, as well as a brief synopsis about the history and character of our Church, its principles, work, and objectives, and a list of names of the missionaries, ministers, clerks, etc., in our various settlements in Canada. It has been published as a "Welkom, Nederlanders," and it has been put to good use, not alone at the landing places in Canada, due to the kindness of the Presbyterian workers stationed there, but also because Mr. T. Cnossen, the Secretary of the Reformed Emigration Committee of the Netherlands, is furnishing copies of the little pamphlet to all the Netherlanders who inquire about Canada, with the prospect of settling there.

Sorry to say, in the United States but little, if any progress has been achieved in the way of combining weak neigh-
Map in "Welkom Nederlanders," p. 235, showing our Canadian centers and their relative position as to U. S. territory and some of our church centers.
boring churches. Neither has the uniformity in salary been attained as much as we should desire, but we believe there is some progress along that line. For that matter, absolute uniformity seems to be impossible on account of the inequality of local and other conditions.

Your approbation is asked of the following matters:

(1) The budget-schedule given above. This includes the grants for Canada toward Mr. Wyenberg's salary, and that of a new missionary to labor in Western Canada, under the auspices of Classis Pacific. (Cf. Art. 99, sub B, c.)

(2) A grant of $3,000 from the Church Help Fund to enable the Hamilton congregation to avail itself of a fine opportunity to obtain a vacated church for $6,000. The balance of the purchase sum is to remain on the property as a mortgage. Our ad interim Committee already forwarded $100 to Hamilton to obtain an option on the property, which is already in actual use—a very welcome thing for the growing Hamilton congregation. One special favor we plead for in this connection, namely, that the Hamilton church be not pressed during the next five years to pay off the usual percentage.

Only from the congregations in the Lake Michigan East District are offerings for this purpose to be requested.

(3) We ask Synod to allow the grant of $3,000 from the General Fund for the purchase and erection of a temporary, preferably portable, church building at Chatham, Ont., this building to remain the property of the Chr. Ref. Church. (It will encourage you to learn that Prof. Aalders recently sent us a draft for 1,500 guilders, contributed by the Reformed Churches of the Netherlands, for such a portable church. In Rotterdam the Secretary of Missions obtained an offering of about $100 for the same purpose.)

(4) If Synod should decide to retain the Mission Regulations adopted in 1926, it is requested to specify more clearly the relationships between the District Committees and the Classical Committees, and to define the former's authority in its own field. Is it merely to advise the Classical Committees or not?

Respectfully submitted,
HENRY BEETS, Secretary.
SUPPLEMENT IV

REPORT OF THE CHRISTIAN REFORMED BOARD OF MISSIONS TO THE SYNOD OF 1930

Esteemed Brethren:

Your Christian Reformed Board of Missions has the honor of reporting to you about its various activities since the Synod of 1928. As usual, we are in this report first taking up matters concerning our Indian Field; then regarding the work pertaining to China; next the labors of the Board and its Secretary; then the report of the Board’s Treasurer covering the last two fiscal years, and finally proposals to be laid before your honorable body.

PART I. THE INDIAN FIELD

Since the last Synod work among the aborigines of our country in the Southwest has been continued at Rehoboth, Crown Point, Farmington, Toadlena, Tohatchi, and Zuni, including Black Rock. It was already reported to the former Synod, that in connection with Crown Point a new station had been opened at San Antoine, occupied by Mr. Harry Boyd, whose salary has been promised and is being paid by the Grand Rapids Women’s Missionary Union, something for which we are very thankful. Since the last Synod we opened a new station in the territory called Two Wells. Its buildings were erected under the supervising care of Brother W. Mierop, and a committee of which he was a member. But sorry to say, when the new home was ready for occupation, our beloved worker died all of a sudden, September, 1929. We cherish his memory as well as that of Brother Edward Becenti, a native helper, who had been of great assistance to our cause for many a year, and who died shortly afterwards. Our Board, as well as our denomination rejoiced, however, a few months later, when our vet-
cran missionary, the Rev. J. W. Brink, accepted the call extended to him, via the Rehoboth Consistory, for the Two Wells Mission Post.

We have also opened mission work for Indians at Gallup, in charge of Bert Sprik, who has been transferred from Zuni to this place, and who labors under the direction of and in cooperation with the Rev. J. R. Kamps, who is not alone the pastor of the Rehoboth church, but also devotes as much of his time as possible to the Charles H. Burke School, formerly known as Fort Wingate, a place of great importance because some 600 of the young people of various Indian tribes of our Southwest receive their secular education there.

At Rehoboth, still remaining the great central station among the Navahoes, we were greatly gladdened and wonderfully blessed by the success we had in obtaining an artesian well. It was gratifying to have the churches come to our aid with the necessary funds for this undertaking, which at the start at least, seemed to be something of a gamble, because we were not sure that we would be able to find the necessary supply of water. The urgent need of Manager Bosscher's growing family led us to decide to erect a suitable home for him.

Work at Rehoboth has been carried on as usual, with the addition of the local school for whites, whose teacher has her salary paid by the State of New Mexico. This school is a great blessing to these children at and around the Post because they need and are capable of a fuller curriculum than the ordinary Navaho child. Several made confession of faith at Rehoboth, and some Christian marriages were celebrated.

From Crown Point came the extraordinary good news that during last April not less than 66 Navaho young people had received baptism, half of these being boys and half of them girls. This is greatly encouraging news, also in the face of tidings which reached us that the Seventh Day Adventists were more or less interfering with the much appreciated work of the Rev. and Mrs. Bolt at Crown Point, and of Mr. Boyd at San Antoine. The needs of this field led the Board, at its last session, to decide to employ at the usual
terms, Mr. Charles Damon, a Navaho elder in our church, hoping that the Paterson churches will assume his support in part or whole. We should also state here, gratefully, that the generosity of a sister, member of one of our Muskegon churches, enabled us to have a much-needed and suitable chapel erected at Crown Point.

At Farmington the Rev. L. P. Brink has been continuing his labors, assisted by Brother J. C. Morgan, who has anew proven to be a very valuable worker, while a third laborer and associate was obtained in the person of Mr. Guy Clark, who served our Mission for about a year, up to November, 1929. Not alone a great deal of itinerating was carried on in the extensive Farmington-Toadlena district, but also considerable translating and editing. Sorry to say, Brother Brink's high blood pressure gave us considerable concern, and led us to recommend to the Roseland churches which support him, a leave of absence, if need be a year, trusting this will mean restoration of his health and continuation in his very useful labors.

At Toadlena Mr. J. C. Kobes has been carrying on his activities as usual, while an additional place for regular Christian work was opened up to him at Nava, a station close to the main road leading from Gallup to Shiprock.

We reported to the Synod of 1928 that May 9, 1928, a congregation had been organized on the Tohatchi-Nahaschitty field, composed at the time of 51 confessing members, even as half a year before, November 30, 1927, a Farmington-Toadlena congregation had been organized with 44 confessing members. Since then a third congregation was organized on the Crown Point-San Antone field, composed of 150 confessing members. With the Rehoboth church, this makes four congregations on the Navaho field, the total of confessing members being over 600, something for which we are very grateful indeed.

At Tohatchi Brother Goudberg continues his labors, and he and his wife were gladened by the erection of a new and suitable parsonage. Sorry to say, however, Brother Goudberg's faithful interpreter, Mr. Alfred Bowman, and
his devoted wife felt constrained to discontinue their work and engage in secular activities.

At Nahaschitty Brother George Oppenhuizen held the fort, even while during a number of months his wife, for reasons of health, had to live in California. The latest reports of her physical condition are more encouraging than they were some months ago, and we trust Mrs. Oppenhuizen will be strengthened sufficiently so that her husband can continue in the district where he feels thoroughly at home, and wherein God is blessing him.

In closing this brief review of the Navaho work, we wish to state with joyful emphasis that during the last few months not less than 136 members have been baptized by our missionaries, an unprecedented and encouraging fact indeed.

The ZUNI field continued to be an object of a great deal of, at times, distressing concern to your Board and its Executive Committee. Rev. Hayenga declared to be unable to do teamwork with Bro. A. Vander Wagen, and consequently he moved to Black Rock, taking the place of the Rev. H. Fryling, who left that station May, 1929, after having devoted some 30 years of his life to the cause of Indian Missions, first among the Navahoes, and then, the major part of his term of service, among the Zunies. One of the reasons leading him to ask for removal from the field was the physical condition of his wife.

Rev. Hayenga states that he has been learning the language during his sojourn at Black Rock, and is able to preach in it. The Zuni School, which had been taught by Mr. Kuipers and Miss Cora Brandt, obtained two new teachers, for one reason because Mr. Kuipers, for the time being, was entrusted with the management of the Zuni post, and has been continued as such for the ensuing year.

We expect to ask Classis Muskegon to carry out an agreement made in November, 1928, with Rev. H. Fryling, to make arrangements with Classis Muskegon for his superannuation, after the year's vacation granted him, and which ended June 1, 1930, at which time, it was stipulated, his relation to our Mission Board was to terminate.
We decided to continue the present arrangements concerning the little Zuni Hospital until September, 1930.

The re-appointment of Brother Vander Wagen for the Zuni field did not look wise to us, and he was offered a two year's appointment to labor in the Star Lake district, living in Farmington during the first year, in the Pond place, owned by our Church, and working under the direction of the Rev. L. P. Brink.

The Rev. Hayenga is instructed and expected to resume and carry on all the religious work in the Zuni village, as before, as well as at Black Rock.

PART II. THE CHINA FIELD

The annual report of our China Mission force, covering the year 1928, reads as follows:

"While the year 1927 was a year of destruction and chaos, a year of the greatest upheaval in China, the past year has been a year of reconstruction and a gradual return of missionaries to inland stations. The Nanking government has succeeded in unifying China politically, that is, nominally so, and is now engaged in the work of reconstruction and improvement. The Nationalist government has now been recognized by the leading nations of the world, a fact which greatly adds to its prestige. True, there are dissatisfied groups, there is still much unrest and banditry, but looking back over the year, we can see a wonderful improvement, and we are hopeful for the future.

"During the first part of the year the two members of the Mission in China [namely, the Rev. J. C. De Korne and the Rev. A. H. Smit and family], were able to make extended visits to Jukao and make plans to move back. And so, upon the arrival in China of Rev. and Mrs. H. A. Dykstra and Miss Kalsbeek, the Mission decided they would resume their residence in Jukao, even though the American Consul refused to sanction this action. Since moving back we found Jukao very peaceful, and general conditions have continued to improve. The work in the chapels, two in Jukao, one in Tingyen, and one in Haian, has been going on uninterruptedly. A few inquirers have applied for baptism, so looking back over the year, we have many reasons for thanksgiving." So much for the report covering 1928.
During the fall of 1929 three new workers proceeded to the China Field, namely: Rev. and Mrs. Selles, supported by the Central Ave. and Fourteenth St. churches of Holland, Mich.; and Miss Lillian Bode, supported by the First church of Wellsburg, Iowa. As soon as possible they began their language studies, interrupted at the time of expatriation, following the Nanking incident of 1927. Two weeks after the arrival of the last named workers, the Secretary of Missions arrived in China, and spent a month of strenuous traveling on our Mission Field. Following a consultation with our American authorities in Nanking, it was decided the wisest for the present time not to try to purchase and build on new property in new fields, but instead of laboring extensively all over the Jukao Hsien and the Hsien of Ching-chiang, it was deemed best for the time being to work intensively at Jukao City and its surrounding territory, a thickly populated field, by the way, as the map accompanying this report and the statements of the Secretary's report in Part II of the Agenda for this Synod, show.

From the annual report of the China Mission force for 1929 we quote the following:

"As far as political stability was concerned, 1929 was as much of an improvement over 1928, as 1928 was over 1927. All through the year, the H. A. Dykstra, Smit, and De Korne families and Miss Kalsbeek were able to maintain their residence at Jukao. The S. A. Dykstra and Selles families and Miss Bode arrived in October and filled out the year in Jukao.

"The H. A. Dykstra family was severely afflicted with illness, from which, however, our God gave gracious deliverance. All the others were permitted to continue their work without interruption. No new out-stations were opened during 1929, although some steps were taken towards the occupation of Shichuang, Ching-chiang, and Suangtien.

"The work at the Jukao East Gate Chapel was discontinued as the lease had expired, and the Mission looked forward to a more unified policy of work in Jukao City than had been the case heretofore. Throughout the year work was continued at the Jukao North Gate, Tingyen, and Haian chapels."
"The following baptisms took place throughout the year:
Haian: 5 adults, 1 child;
Jukao North Gate: 2 adults, 4 children;
Tingyen: 9 adults, 3 children;
Total: 16 adults, 8 children.

"During the visit which the Secretary of Missions, Rev. Henry Beets, LL.D., made to the field, a re-apportionment of our mission territory was made among our missionaries. The responsibilities borne by each are now as follows: Rev. J. C. De Korne, Jukao City, Tingyen and Suangtien sub-districts; Rev. H. A. Dykstra, Paip'u sub-district; Rev. S. A. Dykstra, Haian and environs; Rev. A. H. Smit, Shichuang, Tungch'en, Ch'aho, and Mat'ang sub-districts; Miss Kalsbeck, Women's work in such sections of the field as she is able to reach; Rev. A. H. Selles, Mrs. Selles, and Miss Bode, language study."

We may add to this annual report that the Rev. A. H. Smit met with an unfortunate accident, hitting a Chinese boy with his auto, near Tungchow. Being tried in a Chinese
court, he was fined $100, but the U. S. District Attorney took up the matter with our Legation at Peiping, which cabled instructions, by virtue of extra-territoriality stipulations, that Rev. Smit was forbidden to pay the fine and was to stay out of the jurisdiction of the Tungchow Magistrate which had fined him. Later on Rev. Smit was allowed to return to Jukao. We understand his case will be tried anew before an American court in Nanking.

March 19 Dr. Huizenga was welcomed back as a member of our China Mission force, and assured that every effort would be put forth to have the force labor together in harmonious fellowship. We understand Dr. Huizenga has been endeavoring to open up the medical work in Jukao and vicinity again, and from his latest report, dated April 1, 1930, we learn that his first month in China has put him right back into the work and he was surprised how soon the Chinese knew that he was back. He was at once pressed into service. The Jukao people seemed to be happy; those that came from the outside as officials have not said anything as yet, but the Doctor understands they are not so happy. The temporary hospital used before he returned from China has had some hard handling. Much is lost, much was neglected, and consequently much wasted. On the other hand the cheering word reached us from time to time that there is encouraging progress along gospel lines, as the figures of baptisms given above show. The constantly growing work has led us to authorize our mission force to erect a building for Sunday School and gospel work, in the heart of Jukao, on ground already ours since some years. The main part of this building will seat between 180 and 200 persons, while the Sunday School rooms, linked to the main room, will take care of 80 more persons. The edifice, erected in Chinese style, requires an appropriation of only $5,000 Mexican. On the same plot of ground a small home has been built for Miss Kalsbeek and her associate, Miss Ruby Liu. Already before 1927 a school building, a very simple structure, had been erected on the same property. During a year or two or more, soldiers had taken possession of this building, but happily vacated it a few months ago.
We also voted $2,000 Mexican for a home for Rev. Selles, with $500 Mexican for extras, if it is deemed that conditions warrant going ahead with this.

Our missionaries are employing a constantly increasing number of native evangelists, something very desirable, the aim being that one Chinese evangelist should be placed in each Ch’u or district. His time to be divided between the various posts on the field wherever he may be able to obtain contact, and get small groups together. Our workers aim to have special emphasis placed upon the teaching of the Bible.

Other matters pertaining to the field and the various kinds of activities carried on, are mentioned in the Deputation Report (Agenda, Part II).

Let us conclude this part of our report by stating that, owing to the present uncertainty of the political situation in China, we decided not to send out additional workers this fall.

PART III. THE WORK OF THE BOARD AND ITS SECRETARY

Since the Synod of 1928 your Board met twice in regular session, and once at a special meeting, namely, November 1, 1928, as authorized by Synod (Acta 1928, p. 21, compared with p. 43, II). On this occasion the three Board members-at-large, chosen by Synod, were also present, namely, the brethren J. Kos, R. Van Noord, and E. Wierenga.

At this meeting a digest of the findings of the Committee of the Board and General Conference regarding the Indian Mission Field of our Church was discussed and various actions taken, including approval of the extension for our Navajo-Zuni field, as outlined and suggested by the Committee in a letter to the Board. The Board decided: that it deemed matters of urgent necessity to be materialized in 1929—the purchase of the Scott Place, the drilling of a well at Rehoboth, and the erection of buildings at Two Wells. These three proposals have since then been carried out. A fourth proposal deemed of urgent necessity, namely, the erection of buildings at Star Lake, still awaits execution.

The Executive Committee was charged with preparing the report of the whole program to be presented to the
Synod of 1930 (Acta of 1928, pp. 21 and 43), and has tried to do this in its proposals—see Part V.

The Executive Committee met several times, presided over by the Rev. H. J. Mulder who, during the absence of the Secretary, took care of the running correspondence and the mimeographing of the Minutes. During the summer of 1929 the Secretary of Missions spent two months in Canada, visiting as many of the settlements as possible, with a view to becoming acquainted not alone with the local workers, but also with the local needs. An endeavor was made to organize local societies to help new-comers of the Reformed persuasion to settle in Canada as closely as possible to our settlements and churches.

In September, 1929, the Secretary left for our Indian field, officiating at the funeral of Brother Mierop, and holding a Conference with our Zuni workers, and then, in California, speaking in a limited number of our congregations before sailing to China. He arrived in Shanghai the latter part of October, and spent about a month on our Mission field, acquainting himself with the present situation and studying the future needs of our field as related in his report on China (Agenda, Part II, pp. 248-263).

Some time was spent on the Amoy mission field of the Reformed Church for the purpose of obtaining the necessary information and advice from the Reformed brethren, who had been doing mission work in China for a far greater number of years than we have. For these same reasons Java was visited likewise, and on the way back he held conferences with leading men in the Netherlands, particularly with the brethren of the Reformed Emigration Society, to promote the interests of our people settling in Canada. Conferences were also held with the South American Committee in Rotterdam, of the Reformed Churches, and with the authorities of the Holland-American Line, with a view to obtaining support for our workers in Canada.

The Jewish Mission situation in the Netherlands was also studied.

Since his return home he has been speaking not alone at meetings of the various Woman’s Missionary Unions, but at various places, and at one time within the course of eight
days, as many as eleven addresses and sermons were given.

The closing days of May were spent among our people in
Grundy and Butler County. The Missionary Monthly was
this year also able to send $500 toward our Missions, divided
between China, Indians, Jews, and Canada. The Secretary
has not been stressing the enlistment of volunteers during
the last three or four years, owing to the fact that the work
in China went through a crisis. He has, however, been en­
deavoring to obtain men and women to enter the govern­
ment service on the Indian field; but without much, if any,
result.

Some supporters have been obtained for evangelists and
colporters in China. Efforts are being made for this also
at present, owing to the fact already named, that an in­
creasing number of these native co-laborers is being en­
gaged. We are asking for $200.00 per annum for each of
these workers.

The Kalamazoo churches kindly consented to pay the sal­
ary of Mr. J. C. Morgan. Attempts have been made to ob­
tain supporting churches for others anxious to be sent
to China.

We know that a number of our people remember the
cause of Missions in their last wills.

Several organizations were persuaded to support children
in our Mission Schools at Rehoboth and Zuni.

The new regulation of having children supported at large,
without any special pupil being assigned, is not as popular
as the old method. Reports of the schools are supposed to
reach the supporters quarterly.

The support of a Navaho child at the Rehoboth Boarding
School is $180.00 per annum, and of the Zuni Christian Day
School $75.00 per annum.

PART IV. REPORT OF THE TREASURER

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHRISTIAN REFORMED BOARD OF
MISSIONS FOR THE YEAR 1928

RECEIPTS

Indian Missions and Other Causes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Mission</td>
<td>$22,820.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Hospital</td>
<td>1,182.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Hospital Equip</td>
<td>631.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Well</td>
<td>752.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth, Dr. R. H. Pousma (personal)</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Mission</td>
<td>5,549.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Rock Buildings</td>
<td>430.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ojo Caliente Chapel</td>
<td>18.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antoine Buildings</td>
<td>1,700.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antoine Chapel</td>
<td>27.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahaschitty Buildings</td>
<td>2,105.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahaschitty Light Plant</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Point Mission</td>
<td>109.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Point, Sunday School Supplies</td>
<td>62.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohatchi Parsonage</td>
<td>603.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington Buildings</td>
<td>54.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallup Mission</td>
<td>191.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Wingate School</td>
<td>5.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Deficit Fund</td>
<td>6,080.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Veenstra</td>
<td>482.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Van Vessem</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Noordewier</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Kalsbeek</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Bolt</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. R. H. Pousma</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. L. P. Brink</td>
<td>13.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William Mierop</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammond City Mission</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song Books—Rev. L. P. Brink</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Home Missions</td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Missions</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapel, Farmington District</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion Program</td>
<td>535.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund Contributions</td>
<td>38,357.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund on Notes from different parties</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund, borrowed from Bank</td>
<td>16,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries—For Indian Workers</td>
<td>7,625.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $111,690.68

**Foreign Missions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries—for Foreign Workers</td>
<td>$16,563.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Fund</td>
<td>10,514.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepers in China</td>
<td>177.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books for China Hospital</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $27,355.37

**Balance, December 31, 1927**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$11,400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Receipts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$180,346.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## DISBURSEMENTS

### Indian Missions and Other Causes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Budget</td>
<td>$24,354.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Hospital Equipment</td>
<td>$621.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Hospital (extra)</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. R. H. Pousma (personal)</td>
<td>$28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Budget</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Repairs</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni School Seats</td>
<td>$218.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Cesspool</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Rock Budget</td>
<td>$366.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Wingate Sunday School Supplies</td>
<td>$155.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Point Budget</td>
<td>$480.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Point Sunday School Supplies</td>
<td>$62.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio Buildings</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio Chapel</td>
<td>$37.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallup Mission</td>
<td>$235.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohatchi Budget</td>
<td>$620.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohatchi Parsonage</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahaschitty Budget</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toadlena Budget</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toadlena, Mr. J. Romeyn, expenses</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington Budget</td>
<td>$425.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington Camp House</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington (Water Softener)</td>
<td>$192.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Rent—Gallup</td>
<td>$808.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Veenstra</td>
<td>$482.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Van Vessem</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Noordewier</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Kalsbeek</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Holt</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. R. H. Pousma</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. L. P. Brink</td>
<td>$13.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William Mierop ($15 of 1928)</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammond City Mission</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>$10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song Books</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Home Missions ($150 refund)</td>
<td>$165.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Missions</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traveling Expenses</td>
<td>$644.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature</td>
<td>$42.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretarial and Office Expenses</td>
<td>$127.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee and Board Meetings</td>
<td>$302.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Board Meeting for Indian Missions</td>
<td>$873.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Union Telegraph Co.</td>
<td>$9.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer’s Supplies</td>
<td>$45.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Deposit Boxes</td>
<td>$5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refunds</td>
<td>$206.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$254.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Missions Council</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Premiums</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>$29,549.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Subsidy</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Allowances (two new cars)</td>
<td>$7,776.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Allowances</td>
<td>$750.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Disbursements: $92,964.79
### Foreign Missions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and Allowances</td>
<td>$ 22,965.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Rent for Furloughed Missionaries</td>
<td>$ 1,817.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refunds on Nanking Losses</td>
<td>$ 2,909.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budgets</td>
<td>$ 6,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annuity Interest</td>
<td>$ 40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson Medical Pages</td>
<td>$ 16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids Clinic</td>
<td>$ 63.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traveling Expenses</td>
<td>$ 2,347.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature</td>
<td>$ 42.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Missions Conference</td>
<td>$ 100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretarial and Office Expenses</td>
<td>$ 127.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer's Supplies</td>
<td>$ 45.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Deposit Boxes</td>
<td>$ 3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee and Board Meetings</td>
<td>$ 302.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Disbursements for Foreign Missions: $37,027.14

Total Disbursements for Indian Missions and Other Causes: $92,964.79

Total Disbursements for the year 1928: $129,991.93

Grand Total Receipts: $150,346.05

Total Disbursements for 1928: $129,991.93

Balance on hand in all Funds, Dec. 31, 1928: $20,354.12

### Standing of Various Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training School</td>
<td>$ 436.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust Funds, Memorials, Annuities</td>
<td>$11,050.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Heating Plant</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Building Fund</td>
<td>$ 264.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni (Ojo Caliente Chapel)</td>
<td>$ 208.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington District Chapel</td>
<td>$ 510.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Well</td>
<td>$ 752.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Expansion Program</td>
<td>$ 535.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Hospital</td>
<td>$ 218.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Hospital (Books)</td>
<td>$ 100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Leper Work</td>
<td>$ 202.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Mission Fund</td>
<td>$12,922.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total: $37,198.34

### Deficits on Various Buildings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black Rock</td>
<td>$ 3,564.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antoine</td>
<td>$ 4,008.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahaschittty</td>
<td>$ 5,564.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohatchi</td>
<td>$ 5,396.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington</td>
<td>$ 1,213.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total: $19,748.18
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Receipts

Indian Missions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Mission</td>
<td>$19,866.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Christmas</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Hospital (Medical Service)</td>
<td>1,026.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Well</td>
<td>21,224.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Song Books</td>
<td>34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Hospital-(Special)</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Mission</td>
<td>4,880.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Playground Equipment</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Playground Wall</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Hospital Equipment</td>
<td>900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Rock Parsonage</td>
<td>766.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Point Mission</td>
<td>137.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Point Sunday School Supplies</td>
<td>51.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Point Chapel</td>
<td>32.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antoine Buildings</td>
<td>565.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antoine Farm</td>
<td>14.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antoine Chapel</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallup Mission</td>
<td>209.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Wells Buildings ($2,000 on Note)</td>
<td>4,530.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohatchi Parsonage</td>
<td>1,498.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohatchi Song Books</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohatchi Christmas</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahaschitty Buildings</td>
<td>2,536.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington Buildings</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott's Place</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Lake</td>
<td>700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion Program</td>
<td>2,764.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficit Fund</td>
<td>1,835.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Gifts for Workers</td>
<td>147.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund Contributions</td>
<td>43,725.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund (Borrowed on Notes)</td>
<td>20,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries—Indian Workers</td>
<td>8,523.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Receipts: $135,319.73

This is to certify that we have audited the accounts of John Dolfin, Treasurer, and have found the same correct, and this report has been verified January 18, 1929.

John Dolfin, Treasurer.

Albert J. Widalda, Fred L. Winter, Auditing Comm. of Cl. Muskegon.
### Other Causes

- South America: $68.80
- Classical Home Missions: $16.00
- Miss Johanna Veenstra: $837.76
- Miss Maud Van Vessen: $20.00
- Uncle John: $16.71
- American Mission to Lepers: $50.00
- Jewish Missions: $50.00
- Canada Missions: $59.76
- Heidenwereld Fund: $25.00

### Foreign Missions

- Salaries—Foreign Workers: $14,515.74
- Personal Gifts for Workers: $60.00
- Foreign Missions General Fund: $10,925.57
- Foreign Missions—Tracts: $100.00
- Foreign Missions—Leper Work in China: $50.00
- Foreign Missions—China Famine Relief: $373.25

Total Receipts for the Year: $185,806.53
Balance, December 31, 1928: $20,354.12

---

### DISBURSEMENTS

#### Indian Missions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Budget</td>
<td>$31,494.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Christmas</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Song Books</td>
<td>$34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Repairs (Dr. Pousma’s home)</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Hospital (Special)</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehoboth Well</td>
<td>$16,337.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Budget</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni 1928 Overdraft</td>
<td>$1,731.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Playground Equipment</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni School Seats</td>
<td>$248.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Hospital Budget</td>
<td>$640.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Hospital Equipment</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Rock Budget</td>
<td>$386.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohatchi Budget</td>
<td>$485.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohatchi Song Books</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohatchi Christmas</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahaschitty Budget</td>
<td>$525.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahaschitty Property Protection</td>
<td>$47.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toadlena Budget</td>
<td>$870.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toadlena Chapel Chairs</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington Budget</td>
<td>$660.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington Camp House</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington Medical Account</td>
<td>$71.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Point and San Antioche Budget</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Point Sunday School Supplies</td>
<td>$51.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Point Parsonage Repairs</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total Receipts: $185,806.53
### Acta Synodi 1930

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Antoine Poor</td>
<td>14.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antoine Chapel</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallup Mission Expenses</td>
<td>344.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott's Place (Payment)</td>
<td>655.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Wells Mission Buildings</td>
<td>7,780.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House and Mission Rents (Gallup)</td>
<td>1,055.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Cart for Rehoboth</td>
<td>275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil Lease (for protection)</td>
<td>320.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Gifts Forwarded</td>
<td>147.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Meals</td>
<td>42.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Notes</td>
<td>1,267.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refunds</td>
<td>667.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>403.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Missions Council</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretarial and Office Expenses</td>
<td>112.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer's Supplies, etc</td>
<td>32.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traveling, Moving, Conference Expenses</td>
<td>313.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board and Committee Meetings</td>
<td>369.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature</td>
<td>39.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries—Indian Workers</td>
<td>36,096.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Subsidy</td>
<td>825.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Allowances</td>
<td>7,960.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Allowances</td>
<td>1,736.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Disbursements for the year 1929</strong></td>
<td>$180,876.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Causes</strong></td>
<td>1,088.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>68.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical Home Missions</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Johanna Veenstra</td>
<td>837.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Maud Van Vessem</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncle John</td>
<td>16.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Mission to Lepers</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Missions</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada Missions</td>
<td>55.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foreign Missions</strong></td>
<td>34,643.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries—Foreign Workers</td>
<td>20,245.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Budgets</td>
<td>7,511.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traveling and Conference Expenses</td>
<td>3,928.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Rent</td>
<td>1,430.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracts</td>
<td>106.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annuity Interest</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Gifts Forwarded</td>
<td>66.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic Expenses</td>
<td>36.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson Medical Sheets</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board and Committee Meetings</td>
<td>369.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretarial and Office Expenses</td>
<td>112.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer's Supplies, etc</td>
<td>32.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature</td>
<td>39.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leper Work in China</td>
<td>252.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China Famine Relief</td>
<td>375.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Foreign Missions

- **Total Disbursements for the year 1929**
  - **$180,876.83**
Grand Total Receipts for 1929 ................................ $185,806.53
Total Disbursements for 1929 .................................. 180,376.83
Balance in all Funds Dec. 31, 1929 ............................ $ 5,429.70

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standing of Various Funds</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training School</td>
<td>$ 439.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust Funds</td>
<td>9,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Building Fund</td>
<td>159.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ojo Caliente Chapel</td>
<td>208.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Playground Equipment</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Playground Wall</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington District Chapel</td>
<td>510.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown Point Chapel</td>
<td>32.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehobeth Well</td>
<td>5,638.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Expansion Program</td>
<td>2,744.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Lake Mission</td>
<td>700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidenwereld Fund</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Hospital</td>
<td>215.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Hospital (Books)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Mission Fund</td>
<td>4,506.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$25,083.81

Outstanding Notes——

| To Private Parties                        | $ 7,500.00 |
| Bank                                      | 16,000.00  |

$48,983.81

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indebtedness on Buildings</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black Rock Parsonage</td>
<td>$ 2,788.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antoine Mission</td>
<td>3,443.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahaschitty Mission</td>
<td>3,027.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohatchi Parsonage</td>
<td>3,888.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington Buildings</td>
<td>1,073.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Wells Mission</td>
<td>5,260.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$19,497.18

Indebtedness in Indian Fund .................. $43,554.11

$ 5,429.70

JOHN DOLFIN, Treasurer.

This is to certify that we have audited the accounts of John Dolfin, Treasurer, and have found the same correct, and this report has been verified.

ALBERT J. WIBALDA,
FRED L. WINTER,
Auditing Comm. of Cl. Muskegon.
PART V. PROPOSALS OF THE BOARD

(1) Approbation of the election of the following brethren as regular members, or alternates, of the Christian Reformed Board of Missions:

Cl. California: Rev. W. Groen; alternate, Rev. J. De Jong.
Cl. Holland: Rev. D. Zwier; alternate, Rev. L. Van Laar.
Cl. Hudson: Rev. W. Kuipers; alternate, Rev. R Bolt.
Cl. Muskegon: Rev. J. Dolfin.
Cl. Ostfriesland: Rev. H. C. Bode; alternate, Rev. A. D. Folkema.
Cl. Pella: Rev. I. Van Dellen; alternate, Rev. W. D. Vanderwerp.
Cl. Sioux Center: Rev. J. C. De Bruyn; alternate, Rev. J. Rubingh.
Cl. Wisconsin: Rev. J. O. Vos.
Cl. Zeeland: Rev. G. J. Vande Riet. (See Art. 128, III, of these Acta.)

(2) For Delegates-at-large the brethren: J. Kos, R. Van Noord, E. Wievernga; alternates, D. Blockma, H. R. Gezon, and G. Trap (cf. Acts 1928, p. 162). We recommend to Synod that the Delegates-at-large be given a regular vote at meetings of the Board and Executive Committee (cf. p. xvi, Part II, Agenda). [See Art. 94 of these Acta.]

(3) Synod is asked to leave it to the discretion of our Board (owing to the uncertainty of the situation in China) to have a third mission post opened on the China field, at the opportune time. The 1928 Synod gave permission to have a second post established (Acta, p. 15).

(4) Synod is asked to give Fort Wingate the status of a post, because of the importance of the work carried on there
at the Charles H. Burke Indian School, and the need of a worker especially for this place.

(5) Synod is asked to leave the matter of a pension for the family of our late Campworker, W. Microp, to the Executive Committee of our Board, to determine the amount, in consultation with the Rehoboth Consistory (cf. Art. 25, Acta 1920, p. 22 ff.).

(6) In carrying out the charge given by our 1928 Synod for a complete and detailed Program of Expansion to “complete the evangelization of the two Indian tribes entrusted to our Church” (p. 44, Acta 1928), the Board recommends that the following matters be placed on the program (see Art. 43 of these Acta):

1. A central heating plant, and new and adequate hospital at Rehoboth for the medical service on our whole Indian Mission Field.

2. A new home for either the pastor or doctor at Rehoboth.

3. A chapel and missionary home at the Fort Wingate post (cf. Art. 4 above).

4. A mission post at a station designated as Beautiful Mountain, with a home for a campworker.

5. A chapel at Toadlena, same type as at Crown Point (cf. Part I above).


7. Field chapels—inexpensive buildings—for religious services, in Indian communities.

8. Purchase of part of the Vander Vagen property at Zuni, N. M.

We propose that the Rehoboth proposals have first attention—and to obtain the funds needed for (1) above, we ask Synod to appoint a central committee of five persons, empowered to appoint sub-committees, and broaden out as much as it desires. This central committee is to be permitted to put on a campaign for the funds needed when it considers the time opportune. When the money needed for the heating plant and hospital has been raised in cash and pledges, the Executive Committee is to be authorized to go ahead and have the buildings erected.
Synod is asked to extend the privileges of the floor to Dr. R. H. Pousma as well as to Rev. L. P. Brink, when this Expansion Program comes up for discussion. Moreover, Synod is requested to take up these missionary matters as soon as possible, for the sake of the brethren from the Indian Field, anxious to return to the scene of their labor.

(7) The Board asked its Secretary, as Stated Clerk, to lay before your body a communication of Miss J. Veenstra, pertaining to the assumption by our Church of a part of the Sudan Mission Field, in the province of Nigeria, British West Africa; the Board suggests this matter be referred to it and its Executive Committee to investigate and report to the 1932 Synod.

Respectfully submitted,

By order of the Board,

HENRY BEETS, Secretary.
SUPPLEMENT V

REPORT OF JEWISH MISSIONS, GENERAL FUND

To the Christian Reformed Synod of 1930.

Esteemed Fathers and Brethren:

The following report and account of Receipts and Disbursements of the Jewish Mission General Fund for the past two years, is humbly submitted.

I regret to say that the receipts for these two years have not nearly reached the required sum which was appropriated by the last Synod.

The Synod of 1928 decided that the churches should be informed that contributions for our Hebrew Missions should reach approximately $1.10 per annum or $2.20 for the two years, per family.

The churches have been informed repeatedly through our Church organs, and each consistory received a printed letter pleading with them to endeavor to contribute adequately for this cause.

Your treasurer was not able to disburse to our Jewish Missions the amounts appropriated by Synod as is evident from the accompanying printed report. From this report it will be seen that only one out of the fifteen Classes has contributed the amount required. This Classis, viz. Classis Sioux Center, has even contributed 11 cents per family more than was required. This Classis, therefore, deserves a word of appreciation for its loyalty.

The reason why the churches have not responded sufficiently may be because they could not accustom themselves to the fact that the required sum was 30 cents per family more, annually, than during the previous Synodical year. From May, 1929, to April 30, 1930, I received almost $5,000.00 more than the previous year. This shows that more is being contributed as the churches become
customed to what is required. This encourages us in our expectation for the future.

During the past two years I received the following legacies: $25.00 from the estate of Hendrika Kos of Grand Rapids, Mich.; $30.00 from the estate of N. Silvius of Holland, Mich.; and $200.00 from the estate of J. G. Sikke- ma of McBain, Mich. All these, while living, had been regular contributors to the Jewish Mission work.

May the Lord Jehovah cause his blessing to rest upon this work so that the remnant of Israel, according to the election of grace, may be grafted into their own olive tree!

Humbly submitted,

J. L. VAN TIELEN, Treasurer.

FINANCIAL REPORT OF JEWISH MISSIONS,
GENERAL FUND

From May 1, 1928, to April 30, 1930

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Classis</th>
<th>Number of Families</th>
<th>Amount Received</th>
<th>Per Family - Less than Required per Family</th>
<th>More than Required per Family</th>
<th>Received for Building Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>$836.48</td>
<td>$1.78 lower</td>
<td>$ .42 lower</td>
<td>$ 75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. R. East</td>
<td>2,711</td>
<td>4,453.59</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. R. West</td>
<td>3,349</td>
<td>3,487.55</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackensack</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>1,035.65</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>1,918</td>
<td>2,933.83</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>1,484</td>
<td>2,656.06</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>9,640</td>
<td>2,711.61</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskegon</td>
<td>1,058</td>
<td>3,154.99</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange City</td>
<td>1,481</td>
<td>1,660.43</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Friends</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>1,029.06</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>1,442.13</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pella</td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td>1,625.67</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sioux Center</td>
<td>1,348</td>
<td>3,133.10</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>815.59</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeeland</td>
<td>1,597</td>
<td>2,385.63</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22,534</td>
<td>$32,641.37</td>
<td>$1.44 lower</td>
<td>$ .76</td>
<td>$175.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Societies, individuals, etc. 713.00
Building Fund 175.76
Legacies 275.00
Interest 293.42
Bal. on hand May 1, '28 1,403.27

Total Receipts $35,411.82 For Chicago $2,492.21 For Paterson 2,191.14 Poor Fund 25.00

(Included in above the following amounts were specified for Chicago $2,492.21 and for Paterson 2,191.14 Poor Fund 25.00)
### DISBURSEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>$26,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Poor Fund</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paterson Poor Fund</td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paterson Poor Fund</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Fund</td>
<td>228.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gratuity, Printing, etc.</td>
<td>131.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on hand May 1, 1930</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Disbursements</strong></td>
<td><strong>$35,411.82</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Chicago Received—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Through General Fund</td>
<td>$26,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Fund</td>
<td>228.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor Fund</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Sources</td>
<td>4,244.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total from Synod</strong></td>
<td><strong>$30,744.42</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Paterson Received—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Through General Fund</td>
<td>$8,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor Fund</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Sources</td>
<td>254.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total from Synod</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,779.80</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Grand Total Receipts

| Total                        | $39,777.59   |

#### IN RESERVE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Michael Van den Berge Memorial&quot; Bonds</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Johanna Woltman Legacy&quot; Certificate of Deposit</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Reserve</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,500.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

J. L. VAN TIELEN, Treas.

We have audited the books of J. L. Van TieLEN, Treasurer of the Jewish Mission, General Fund, for the period May 1, 1928, to April 30, 1930, and have found them to be correct.

The printed report agrees with the receipts and disbursements as recorded in the books.

(Signed) J. C. VAN WYEN.  
J. BOOGERTMAN.
PATERNIA HEBREW MISSION

To the Synod of the Christian Reformed Church
to be held at Grand Rapids, Michigan, June, 1930.

Esteemed Fathers and Brethren:

Since the Synod of 1928 met in regular session in Holland, Michigan, the work of the Paterson Hebrew Mission has been continued. The personnel has remained the same, and the members of the Board—brethren from the two Eastern Classes—faithfully perform the duties to which they are called.

The Board meets the first Monday of every month to transact such business as comes before it, and to devise ways and means to encourage the Jews to attend the several meetings of the Mission. At these monthly gatherings the Superintendent, Cand. H. H. Schultz, renders the regular reports of the work of visitation, gospel meetings, the dispensary work, and so on.

The work of home visitation among the Hebrew people in Passaic and Paterson is regularly done. Tracts are distributed, gospels and Bibles are left in the homes where they are desired, and the gospel brought to the people with whom our Missionary comes in contact. This is a very important phase of the work.

During the summer months the gospel meetings are held in the open air, near busy intersections of the ghettos. These meetings do not seem to be in vain. Of course, the work is extremely difficult, and often hostility is encountered.

The indoor gospel meetings are held regularly, except when the Jewish Holy Days interfere. Several Jews attend these meetings. Usually an Old Testament prophecy is explained, and the relation shown between it and the fulfillment in Jesus Christ. Often after these meetings the workers are able to discuss the message with the Jews, who always seem ready to debate and argue. Then, too, a Bible hour has been introduced on Sunday evenings for the study of God's Word. Recently the Board engaged four young men from the churches to play musical instruments at the gospel meetings. They have volunteered to help without any remuneration. The instruments are the property of the Mission, and have been purchased for this purpose.
The Sewing Class has been discontinued, inasmuch as there were more Gentile children in attendance than Jewish children. In place of this an Esther Club has been started, open only to Jewish children. The meetings are held weekly, and several children attend. The Scriptures are studied with the children and then, to encourage attendance, sewing lessons are given by the ladies in charge of these meetings.

The Superintendent gives an evening every week to Jews who desire to learn the English language. A few Jews regularly make use of this Night School, and at the same time brother Schultz has an opportunity to bring the message of salvation to them.

The Dispensary usually has a large attendance. Dr. Walter L. Dunning kindly gives his services free of charge, and the Board greatly appreciates the gratuitous services he renders in behalf of the Mission. He is a Christian physician, and is respected by all with whom he comes in contact. Each dispensary patient is required to be present when the gospel message is presented, and this address is usually listened to with interest, and is well received.

Thus the work of the Mission has been continued during the past two years. The command, “to the Jew first,” has been heeded, and in the hope that also the seed sown may bear rich fruit unto everlasting life. Our staff of workers have labored; the work has been difficult, and often discouraging. But God’s promise stands: “So shall My Word be that goeth forth out of My mouth; it shall not return unto Me void, but it shall accomplish that which I please, and it shall prosper in the things whereto I send it.”

We are also in need of the financial support of the Synod. We request that we be allowed the sum of $6,000.00 annually. The last two years we received the sum of $5,000.00, but then we had a surplus on hand, which has since been used. The above mentioned sum is needed to cover the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>$4,460.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundries</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidents</td>
<td>540.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Brethren, we ask you all to remember the Hebrew Mission, as well as all other Missions and benevolent causes, in your prayers. The work among the old Covenant people is difficult, and for us seemingly fruitless, but it is God's command: to the Jew as well as to the Gentile.

Humbly submitted,
The Paterson Hebrew Mission Board,
(Signed) Henry Van Ostenbridge, Clerk.

REPORT OF NATHANAEL INSTITUTE, 1928–1930

To the Synod of the Christian Reformed Church,
convened in Grand Rapids, Mich., June, 1930.

ESTEEMED BRETHREN:

During the past two years much work has been done by the Board and missionaries of Nathanael Institute, of which we wish to render a brief report.

There have been a few changes in the personnel of the Board since our previous report, due to the fact that two new members have been added to the Board and two other members have been appointed to take the place of Rev. H. Bel and Dr. J. Van Lonkhuyzen, both of whom moved from the territory of Classis Illinois. At present the Board consists of the following members:

Rev. P. A. Hoekstra, President.
Rev. J. Van Beek, Vice President.
Mr. C. Leenhouts, Secretary.
Mr. George Ottenhoff, Building Fund Treasurer.
Mr. H. Jacobsma, General Fund Treasurer.
Rev. Benj. Essenberg.
Dr. A. L. Van Dellen.

The Board has met once every month to hear reports of its members and of the missionaries, and to consider how to conduct this work.

Our missionaries have again labored faithfully during this period. Here, too, a few changes were made in the personnel of the staff. One of our missionaries resigned
due to illness and another in order to continue her studies. At present our staff consists of the following:

*Full-Time Missionaries:*
- Wm. Yonker, M.D., Director.
- A. Huisjen, Assistant Director.
- T. Delis, R. N.
- C. Elhart, Teacher.
- J. Overzet, Custodian.

*Part-Time Workers:*
- Benj. Tabatznik, Reading Room Attendant.
- Rev. John Rottenberg, Dept. of Literature.
- H. Swets, A. M., Boys’ worker.
- H. Bucalstein, Boys’ worker.
- Miss D. Smith, Girls’ worker.
- Miss Hermina Swierenga, Girls’ Worker.

*Volunteer Workers:*
- Miss J. Holtrop.
- Miss J. Dykenga.
- Miss N. Breen.
- John Ipema.
- B. Ottenhoff.
- H. Ottenhoff.
- Adrian Huisjen.
- Martin Huisjen.

As to the type of work done, part of the labor of the missionaries is concerned with the art of healing, with the direction of activities in the gymnasium, and with the teaching of sewing. However, we do not wish to create the impression that these activities are considered to be ends in themselves, no more than they are in missions among the heathen. Every missionary on our staff is primarily concerned with the spiritual interests of the Jews, and these other activities are simply avenues of approach and methods whereby they can demonstrate their sincere interest in our Jewish neighbors.

That our methods are producing results as far as attendance is concerned, will be evident when it is remembered that our work in the Lawndale district is
practically a new work, dating back two and one-half years. In this period attendance in our classes has steadily increased. Our gospel meetings are attended by adult Jews, whose number at the meetings varies from two to twenty. The boys' Bible Classes have an attendance of from 60 to 90. These boys vary in age from 12 to 20 years, those of High School age predominating. From 30 to 50 girls and young women attend our Bible classes and from 10 to 20 women are enrolled in a class devoted to the study of Scripture. In the dispensary approximately 300 examinations are made every month and through it we come in contact with about 40 new cases during the same period. In this connection it might be stated that we limit all of our activities entirely to those who are of the Jewish race, sending the Gentiles that apply to us to other institutions. In addition to the indoor activities, the missionaries also visit Jewish homes and distribute literature from door to door. In addition to the work that is done for the evangelization of the Jew, the missionaries have also made a sincere effort to enlighten our churches on the work of Jewish missions by means of articles and speeches.

In order to inform the delegates to our synod of the history, progress, and immediate needs of Nathanael Institute, the Board has published a booklet, commemorating the tenth anniversary of our work in Chicago. This booklet will be distributed among the delegates to the Synod and is to be considered a supplement to this report.

The Board of Nathanael Institute and the Classis of Illinois, who execute this work for the Chr. Ref. Church, are at this time bringing to the Synod three important proposals dealing directly with the work of Jewish Missions. The first is concerned with the "Concept-Regeling tot Pensioneering van 'Unordained Workers' op ons Zendingsveld." The second is concerned with the status of Ordained Jewish Missionaries. The third is concerned with our budget, which is higher than it was previously, due to the fact that some part-time workers have been added to the staff, and especially also because the salary
item of a new worker was added. The missionary whom we wish to add to the staff is to be an ordained man.

Among the labors for which the new missionary is to be called are care of reading room, open-air preaching, teaching of adult Bible Classes, personal work with adults in the institute and in their homes, study of Yiddish and Judaism, the creation of literature, and the enlightening of our churches on the various phases of Jewish missions.

Due to the increase in attendance at the Institute and the increase in institutional activities, the present staff is taxed to its capacity. The superintendent spends from three to seven hours per morning in dispensary work, and in addition, from one to three hours one evening per week. He speaks at the gospel meetings, presides at workers' meetings, and attends to the administration of the mission, whose activities are constantly increasing. He is clerk for the Board, keeping its minutes and doing its correspondence. He enlightens our churches on the work of the Institute by means of written articles and addresses before public gatherings. He takes part in tract distribution and family visitation. The assistant superintendent likewise finds all of his time taken up by present labors. He has supervision of the work done with 100 Jewish boys. He teaches eight Bible classes per week, speaks at the gospel meetings, teaches the Yiddish class, attends workers' and Board meetings, does visitation and tract distribution, and writes articles and speaks before public assemblies.

There is indeed room for an additional laborer in this part of the Lord's vineyard. The work has grown from within. Wonderful opportunities for worthwhile work are presented everyday. Some of our boys have been with us several seasons and will soon be ready for doctrinal study. The people in our neighborhood are favorably inclined to our work, and ready to listen to a presentation of the claims of Christ. We are getting the middle classes of Jews to our mission, the type that is law-abiding, industrious, and that takes at least some interest in spiritual living. In other words, the door of
opportunity for mission work among the Jews has been opened in the Reformed Church.

The results of our work, the change that is coming about in world-Jewry in general, and the teachings of Scripture tend to make the missionaries and Board of Nathanael Institute very optimistic and enthusiastic regarding this great work. The Classis of Illinois is in hearty agreement with the work that has been carried on under its direction, and hopes that our whole Church may continue to pray and labor for Israel's salvation, resting assured that God is able to turn away ungodliness from Jacob.

Respectfully submitted,

BOARD OF NATHANAEL INSTITUTE,

Rev. P. A. Hoekstra, Pres.
Rev. J. Van Beek, Vice-Pres.
Mr. C. Leeuwhout, Sec.
Mr. H. Jacobsma, Gen. Treas.
Mr. G. Ottenhoff, Bidg. Fund Treas.
Dr. A. L. Van Dellen.

Above report adopted by Classis
Illinois, May 29, 1930.

Leonard Trap, Stated Clerk.

BUDGET OF NATHANAEL INSTITUTE, 1930-1932

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries of six full-time and five part-time workers</td>
<td>$15,404.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas, electricity and telephone</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair and decorating of building</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books, papers, tracts</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispensary</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra labor</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>$265.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class-room materials and miscellaneous</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual interest</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of debt</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total annual budget</td>
<td>$24,569.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUPPLEMENT VI

REPORT OF THE EMERITUS BOARD

To the Synod of the Christian Reformed Church, assembled at Grand Rapids, Mich. June, 1930

Esteemed Brethren:

Also this time the Board must mention the death of one of its members. Brother B. Sevensma passed away and hence his secundus Mr. H. Hekman was invited to take his place.

The Board also this time was able to pay the regular subsidy. For this we are truly happy and thankful.

Departed through death were Mrs. J. Post, Mrs. P. Schut, Rev. H. Van Wesep, and Rev. S.S. Vander Heide.

The following requests have been received:

| Classis Holland voor Mrs. N. Gelderloos | $300 extra en $1,000.00 |
| Classis Hudson voor De. P. Kosten, verhoging van $500 tot $800.00 |
| Classis Hudson voor Dr. S. S. Vander Heide | $1,000.00 |
| Classis Hudson voor Mrs. S. S. Vander Heide | $600.00 |
| Classis Illinois voor Dr. B. J. Taak | $1,000.00 |
| Classis Illinois voor Dr. P. Jenker, Sr. | $1,000.00 |
| Classis Muskegon voor Dr. J. J. Dyk, $500 extra en | $1,000.00 |
| Classis Muskegon voor Mrs. J. C. Kruijthof | $500.00 |
| Classis Muskegon voor Dr. J. J. Dyk, verhoging van $1000 tot $1,200.00 |
| Classis Orange City voor Mrs. B. Nagel, $200 extra en | $300.00 |
| Classis Orange City voor Dr. J. G. Vande Lune, $500 extra en | $1,000.00 |
| Classis Oostfriesl. voor Mrs. C. Bode, verhoging van $400 tot $500.00 |
| Classis Zeeland voor Dr. H. Kampen | $1,000.00 |
| Classis Zeeland voor Dr. H. J. Heynen | $1,000.00 |

* The Board has tentatively promised and proportionately paid out:

| De. E. J. Taak | $1,000.00 |
| De. H. Kampen | $1,000.00 |
| Dr. H. J. Heynen | $1,000.00 |
| Dr. P. Kosten | $800.00 |
| Dr. J. J. Dyk | $500 extra en | $1,000.00 |
| Dr. S. S. Vander Heide | $1,000.00 |
| Dr. J. G. Vande Lune | $1,200.00 |
The respective Classes will no doubt request Synod to grant the
eremitation of Rev. E.J. Fauk, H.J. Heynen, J.J. Dyk, P.Jonker, Sr.,
and J.G. Vandepalme, for approval. The further subsidizing of Rev. H.
Kamps depends naturally on the decision of Synod as to his status.
Synod must this time choose three members with their secundi. The
retiring members are Rev. H.M. Vander Ploeg, Rev. J.O. Vos, and Mr.W.
Zareman. Their respective secundi are Rev. J.O. Bouwsma, Rev. P.Jonker
Sr., and Mr. M. Hofius. Also a secundus must be appointed for Mr.H.
Haakman.

The report of the treasurer reads as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOOR HET JAAR 1928</th>
<th>VOOR HET JAAR 1929</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saldo, 1927</td>
<td>$159.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inkomen</td>
<td>40,112.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te zamen</td>
<td>46,274.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uitgaven</td>
<td>39,490.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saldo</td>
<td>$6,781.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

De Boeken van den Penningmeester werden nagezien en in orde bevonden.

De volgende Classes hadden op 15 Jan., 1930, nog deze schuld:

- Grand Rapids East: $1,137.45
- Grand Rapids West: $3,546.04
- Holland: $52.12
- Hudson: $51.66
- Muskegon: $55.00
- Zeeland: $978.17

De Classes California, Hackensack, Illinois, Pacific en Pella waren geheel aanbetaald.

De Board adviseert de volgende subsidie:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>De P. W. de Jonge</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De J. Wyggestien</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. J. Dyk</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. J. G. Vande Leek</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. P. J. Hoekenga</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. J. Hoveman</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. R. Tuls</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. H. J. Hoekema</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. P. Kosten</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. E. Stuart</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. M. Vander Heide</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. H. Hoyens</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. J. H. Grussing</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. F. Weidt</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. N. J. Bosma</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Ben. Post</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mrs. N. Gelderloos .......... 1,000.00 Mrs. D. R. Drukker .......... 600.00
Ds. A. J. Brink ............ 1,000.00 Mrs. P. Van Vliet ........... 600.00
Ds. A. W. Meyer ............ 1,000.00 Mrs. A. Dekker .............. 600.00
Ds. E. Van Korlaar ......... 1,000.00 Mrs. C. Cooper .............. 600.00
Ds. Th. W. R. Van Leeuwen 1,000.00 Mrs. E. Breen ............... 600.00
Ds. J. Keizer ............... 1,000.00 Mrs. J. Green ................ 600.00
Ds. A. Keizer ............... 1,000.00 Mrs. G. J. Hoefker ........... 600.00
Ds. R. Vande Kieft ......... 1,000.00 Mrs. F. J. Drost ............ 600.00
Ds. W. Kote .................. 1,000.00 Mrs. S. S. VanderHeide .... 600.00
Ds. K. Poppen ............... 1,000.00 Ds. D. Weidenaar ............ 550.00
Ds. J. Gulker ............... 1,000.00 Mrs. H. Temde ................ 500.00
Ds. J. B. VandenHoeck .... 1,000.00 Mrs. J. B. Jonkman .......... 500.00
Ds. J. A. Gerritsen ......... 1,000.00 Mrs. J. Vissia ............... 500.00
Ds. J. B. Hoekstra ........ 1,000.00 Mrs. P. Yff ................... 500.00
Ds. J. A. Westerveld ...... 1,000.00 Mrs. J. Smitter ............... 500.00
Ds. S. Bouma ............... 1,000.00 Mrs. J. C. Kruithoff ....... 500.00
Ds. P. Jonker, Sr. ......... 1,000.00 Mrs. C. Bode ................ 500.00
Ds. J. Manni ............... 1,000.00 Mrs. J. G. Plesscher ....... 350.00
Ds. E. J. Tuk ............... 1,000.00 Mrs. A. J. Keert ............. 300.00
Ds. H. J. Heynen ......... 1,000.00 Mrs. C. Van Houten ........... 300.00
Mrs. J. Robbert ......... 900.00 Mrs. B. Nagel ................ 300.00
Ds. J. Plesscher ........... 800.00 Mrs. J. H. Schultz .......... 200.00

*Dit maakt een som van $47,400.00, en als Ds. Kamps ook $1,000.00 moet hebben wordt het $48,400.00, zoodat het nu staat. En ongetwijfeld komen er straks nog wel meer aanvragen. Daarom moet de aanslag nu wel op $2,25 per huisgezin worden gesteld. Tenzij de Synode de subsidies wil verlagen.

H. M. VANDER PLOEG, Secretar*
SUPPLEMENT VII

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE RE CHURCH HELP

To the Honorable Synod to be held June 11, 1930, and following days, at Grand Rapids, Mich.

Honorable and Esteemed Brethren:

Your Committee comes to your honorable gathering with the following report, from which we can see that Church Help is still a necessity in the midst of our churches, and especially in view of the weaker congregations, which in various parts of this great land are coming into being.

The requests for support from the fund continue constantly. As Committee we are still in need of several thousands to satisfy the needs.

The Committee asked the previous Synod for permission to ask for a special collection in view of the great need, owing to circumstances, this could not be done until the beginning of the year 1930. Because approximately at the time of the previous Synod, a special collection was asked for the congregation of Wyoming Park, seeing its church building had been destroyed by fire; and even before the last gifts for Wyoming Park had been forwarded, your Committee had no choice but to ask for a collection for the First Congregation of Sioux Center.

Although the Committee would have preferred to wait in asking for a special collection, it could however no longer postpone in view of the desperate need of a couple of congregations in Canada which had to be helped as quickly as possible, and other congregations, which also longed for the promised support.

For Wyoming Park your Committee received $9,060.27, and for the First Congregation of Sioux Center $4,830.22.

The question more than once has come up in your Committee, whether it is fair that such congregations, which number approximately a hundred families, are given these gifts
without repayment, while all the other congregations, just organized, must each year pay back a twentieth part. Your Committee judges that such congregations ought to be placed on an even par with all other congregations. When it becomes necessary to grant gifts, provision is made for this in the Rules for Church Help.

Contributions for Church Help received from congregations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classis</th>
<th>1922-23</th>
<th>1924-25</th>
<th>1926-27</th>
<th>1928-29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>$231.63</td>
<td>$501.91</td>
<td>$454.34</td>
<td>$463.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. R. East</td>
<td>$1,292.23</td>
<td>1,536.55</td>
<td>1,174.45</td>
<td>985.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. E. West</td>
<td>1,041.58</td>
<td>1,066.51</td>
<td>1,224.45</td>
<td>1,490.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackensack</td>
<td>115.43</td>
<td>321.29</td>
<td>162.78</td>
<td>187.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>1,180.11</td>
<td>1,038.88</td>
<td>838.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>1,107.70</td>
<td>1,192.84</td>
<td>1,038.88</td>
<td>838.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>3,113.45</td>
<td>2,720.78</td>
<td>1,600.44</td>
<td>1,628.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskegon</td>
<td>1,168.85</td>
<td>1,058.23</td>
<td>1,330.25</td>
<td>1,085.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceano City</td>
<td>564.79</td>
<td>1,132.77</td>
<td>651.26</td>
<td>975.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostfriesland</td>
<td>282.96</td>
<td>198.18</td>
<td>293.00</td>
<td>268.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>676.61</td>
<td>643.87</td>
<td>793.46</td>
<td>888.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pella</td>
<td>1,204.27</td>
<td>1,233.49</td>
<td>495.57</td>
<td>451.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sioux Center</td>
<td>686.68</td>
<td>395.26</td>
<td>505.22</td>
<td>543.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>276.95</td>
<td>455.97</td>
<td>440.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeeland</td>
<td>604.28</td>
<td>918.25</td>
<td>501.91</td>
<td>463.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By a comparison with several years back the contributions for this Fund are not increasing. We give, especially for the Consistories, a comparison of the last four Synodical years so that, could it be, more than one Classis may become convinced that some congregations are not fulfilling this obligation.
We see from this that some Classes collect much less. We note that as soon as a special collection is asked for a congregation such as Wyoming Park and Sioux Center, the regular contributions decrease. Collections are then not taken for the Fund in general. It is not necessary to point to some Classes; perusing the list above, speaks for itself.

The congregations which received support during the two years are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1928</th>
<th>1929</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Leonard St</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neerlandia</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belflower</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancouver</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godwin Heights</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

De som die bij de gemeenten berust is $88,948.57, verdeeld onder de volgende gemeenten:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gemeente</th>
<th>1928</th>
<th>1929</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arlene</td>
<td>$177.00</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atwood</td>
<td>$554.00</td>
<td>$2,130.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radyard</td>
<td>$468.00</td>
<td>$470.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracy</td>
<td>$88.36</td>
<td>$256.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alamosa</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td>$855.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okalossa</td>
<td>$275.81</td>
<td>$578.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Palmyra</td>
<td>$162.64</td>
<td>$193.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noordeloos</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>$1,627.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd</td>
<td>$3,160.00</td>
<td>$1,685.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vona</td>
<td>$155.00</td>
<td>$1,649.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cramesburg</td>
<td>$770.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neerlandia</td>
<td>$3,955.00</td>
<td>$1,690.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>$2,320.00</td>
<td>$1,175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland, Minn</td>
<td>$1,180.00</td>
<td>$722.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Blendo</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fremont II</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutton</td>
<td>$380.00</td>
<td>$1,897.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandler</td>
<td>$1,187.22</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purewater</td>
<td>$380.00</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming Park</td>
<td>$1,250.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lansing</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$769.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooten</td>
<td>$1,235.00</td>
<td>$1,372.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crookston</td>
<td>$850.00</td>
<td>$1,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynden II</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>$1,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>$902.50</td>
<td>$475.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sum invested in the congregations, divided among the following: $88,948.57
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coopersville</td>
<td>1,425.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Springs</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Rapids</td>
<td>2,050.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauer</td>
<td>800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sioux Falls</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Leonard St.</td>
<td>4,025.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godwin Heights</td>
<td>2,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellflower</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Martin</td>
<td>1,423.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aetna</td>
<td>775.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton</td>
<td>1,120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnips</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goshen</td>
<td>750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Muskegon</td>
<td>3,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellsworth</td>
<td>1,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Street</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancouver</td>
<td>1,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Henry J. Heynen.
D. De Beer,
J. Manni.
SUPPLEMENT VIII

REPORT OF THE PUBLICATION COMMITTEE

To the Esteemed Synod to gather in Grand Rapids, Mich, June, 1930

Esteemed Brethren:

It is for the Publication Committee a reason for joy and gratitude, that it may also this time bring a favorable report concerning the affairs of our church periodicals. The contents of the papers has progressed, in general the number of readers again increased, and in the print-shop important and expensive improvements have been made. All of those connected and responsible for publication in one or another capacity, were through God's goodness spared, so that they might regularly continue, and one can with praise speak of desired consecration and harmonious cooperation. Also the Publication Committee might perform its task in unity and pleasure.

1. In regard to The Banner, with the inauguration of a new Editor-in-Chief, changes were expected, and they have materialized.

a) First of all Rev. H.J. Kuiper informed the Publication Committee that he would like to see the production of "Book Reviews" and of "Grand Rapids Notes" assigned to someone else. This desire was in every way to be justified. Such reviews of books, especially study books, to answer their purpose, and to cast light on contents, character, and area, must themselves be products of study. Such reviews were desired, as evidenced by one received by a Committee member, and such reviews Rev. Kuiper had in mind. But the writing of such reviews, week after week, not only within our own circle.
but also of books appearing outside our circle and sent to The Banner, is a task requiring more time and effort than an Editor, who also serves a congregation and has the additional editorial work, can afford. Editors-in-Chief have always made the complaint, that they in the interest of their paper really needed all their time for their editorial work, and also Rev. Kuiper expressed the same thing. Thus the desire to be released from the "Book Reviews" need not seem strange. Yet the Publication Committee was of the opinion that specifically the "Book Reviews" should remain the responsibility of the Editor and also the "Grand Rapids Notes" because he was in the best position to obtain the necessary materials for these. However, for "Book Reviews" he could use freedom to invite persons of his choice, and then give them the book for this. To this Rev. Kuiper agreed. Later he spoke of having found qualified men, especially Professors of our School, who were willing to do this work.

Further Rev. Kuiper thought it would be good if some more variety were brought into the contents and arrangement of The Banner, by besides the regular columns, enriching the paper by adding "Special Articles" on a variety of subjects. He also was of the opinion regarding the present columns some changes were desirable. "Timely Topics" he wanted expanded in such a way that this column, instead of having only secular particulars, also ecclesiastical concerns would be included. And regarding "Our Doctrine" he recommended that this column be discontinued to make room for "Special Articles".

b) Concerning "Special Articles" these recommendations found ready acceptance in the Committee. More than once, obtaining such contributions was desired and tried, but without lasting results. If Rev. Kuiper could get it started and maintain it, a long cherished desire would be realized. Also with Rev. Kuiper's idea regarding "Timely Topics" the Committee could agree, but with "Our Doctrine" this was not quite the case. It must be acknowledged that this column has its own special difficulties.
On the one side the Committee is convinced that it is in The Banner in the right place. The Banner is above all the paper for our younger generations and has specifically the task and calling to give guidance in the Americanization of these generations and to establish them in Reformed principles and truths. That to accomplish this task such as "Our Doctrine" seeks to give, is obviously indispensable. On the other hand, it is seemingly true, that although it is edited by qualified men, who have done their best to handle their material not only in a dignified but also attractive, popular manner, yet many readers do not feel attracted to it and take little note of its contents. But however this column will be dealt with later, just now their can be no talk of discontinuing it because the Editor is appointed till the first of Sept. 1930. By way of compromise Rev. Kuiper has reached agreements with Rev. Sarda so that he now reworks his material in the form of complete studies of subjects belonging in "Our Doctrine". Perhaps that form is the better one to reach the purpose.

So it has happened that The Banner for quite a while, week after week, has brought very significant "Special Articles", that "Timely Topics" under a revised "heading" has moved into a broader field, and also that the headpiece "Our Doctrine" has disappeared but in revised form the column has been maintained.

c) Other changes have been: that through Rev. Kuiper with Mr. Buiten, according to a systematically arranged plan, throughout our whole church area, correspondents have been obtained to provide church news for The Banner, each from his own district and assigned time; that Rev. Stuart the previous year gave "Outlines" for "Bible Classis" during the summer months; and that to the usual story, that was meant more for the elderly, a story for the young has been added.

And then we must also report a change concerning Mission reading. Upon invitation of the "Executive Committee" of the "Board of Missions" a column has been provided in De Wachter and The Banner for contributions concerning Missions, with the Mission Director as editor. The named Committee thought it desirable
that all messages and contributions concerning Missions should be brought together under one roof and would be placed under its control. The Publication Committee agreed to this, but under the specific condition of the rights given it in Art. 1 and 4 of its Constitution, as rights to which also this column and its Editor would be subject.

d) Since Rev. Kuiper began his task he has once and again asked what he must do with the large, ever-increasing supply of "Controbutions" of "Ingezonden Stukken" (sent in articles). It was not the first time the Committee heard of this. It is convinced that our papers should be kept open for such letters, for "voices of the people"; but for an Editor that again is a matter that brings much difficulty. Editors and Committee hold to the rule, that our papers are not available for writing by such as have left us, for defense of heretical tendencies which have been dealt with and condemned by the Synod, nor for writing from those who have a case of a personal nature against a church body, and who want to obtain satisfaction by airing it publicly, instead of following the ecclesiastical way of appeal to a Major Assembly (Art. 31, C.O.). Besides with particular contributions there is often much that is not suitable for placing, and another part is perhaps well-meant but so faulty in form that it cannot be placed as is. Such articles would have to be reworked and improved, and that would be more difficult for the Editor and would require more time than writing a new piece, not to mention the danger of the writer being less than satisfied with the corrections. No wonder therefore that an Editor with his collection of "Ingezonden Stukken" is sometimes at wit's end. The Committee however, could do nothing else for Rev. Kuiper than to remind him of his right, that in his judgment, sens back what was not usable, what was good but unnecessarily lengthy, edit it, and if he then ran out of space for that which was worthy, postpone the "Special Article" for a week.
For such material Rev. Kuiper has now established a "Reader's Page".

2. De Wachter has had fewer changes, and also this was to be expected. The Editor-in-Chief remained the same and similarly the Coworkers; De Wachter was not enlarged by the Synod of 1926; and with De Wachter as it was, there was general satisfaction. These things however, do not change the fact that also De Wachter Editor, as appeared from a conversation between him and the Publication Committee, that he also has plans in the direction of The Banner ventures. In how far these can be actualized, time will tell.

Judging by the number of readers, this periodical has had its day. At the Synod of 1920 the figure of 8500 was given; but in 1922 it was 8005, now it is 7650. Still this is only 350 less than in 1922. By the swift progress of Americanization we may thankfully appreciate, that the decrease is not larger, and that Mr. Van Ess quite often can report an increase, of new Wachter readers, even if it is so that this increase cannot counterbalance the loss through "stops".

However the content of De Wachter has not remained entirely the same. Although this paper also is usually short of space, the Publication Committee in consultation with the Editor, thought it ought to agree to place two series of monthly contributions, one from Dr. Van Lonkhuyzen, who sends letters from the Netherlands, and one from Mr. B.J. Bennink, writing on Training and Education.

3. The total number of readers of De Wachter and The Banner together is 20,650. The number of families belonging to our Church according to the latest Yearbook is 22,534, thus only a couple of thousand more. That both those figures are so close to each other is likewise is something we may thankfully appreciate. Yet we are not yet where we ought to be. In not a few families both the Banner and De Wachter are read, and therefore the number of families of our Church, wherein no Wachter nor Banner appears must be
greater than a couple of thousand. And families in which no church paper is read, cannot be families that live as part of the church, and in which love toward the church dwells, and seeks its good. Our ideal must therefore be: No family of our Church in which neither De Wachter or The Banner is read. That must also be the ideal of Ministers and Consistories, because that is a matter of private soul care and the edification of the body of Christ.

4. The print shop has this year been costly. After the expansion of The Banner the work could no longer be done with the old machinery. The need was especially felt for a larger printing press and a ditto "folder" which would make it possible to print numbers from 24 to 32 pages at the same time as well as of 16 pages, and above all by automatic elements payment for labor would be lessened. Moreover there were some other machines that had had their best days, and replacing them with newer and better to fulfill our needs, similarly would be an important improvement. Upon advice from the Business Committee and after the brethren Hoffius and Buiten had visited Chicago and other cities to make personal inspections, it was decided to purchase the necessary machine.

Larger machines require more room. Thus the inside of the print shop also required alterations. According to the report of Mr. Buiten of August, 1929, the cost of this and that was $19,956.00 and of this fully $14,000.00 had been paid off. Moreover during the two years $10,000.00 has been paid into the treasury of Calvin Seminary and College.

In passing we may just mention that the Publication Committee because of the alterations had to be content in losing its little room where it was the custom to meet. It now meets in the Y.M.C.A. building, where the management graciously provides a room for it. However the Committee has judged that is no more than
proper than that we respond to this friendliness with an offer and payment of $25.00 per year.

5. The Publication Committee through the moving of Rev. Van Halsema to Passaic, N.J., lost a much appreciated member. Passaic is too far from here to permit attendance at our monthly meetings. So the brother turned his resignation in and the Committee could do nothing but let him go in peace. Rev. H. Baker was chosen to take his place until this Synod, and this Brother agreed to this.

Toward the close of December, 1928, the time approached for Dr. Beets to retire as Editor of The Banner. Feeling that this brother in view of his acknowledged contribution for the Banner during a 25-year Editorship had full right to this, the Publication Committee did not want his retirement pass without proper dignity and honor from its side. It was decided to have a special get-together of the Committee with Mr. Buiten, and to invite besides Dr. Beets also Rev. H. Keegstra and Rev. H. J. Kuiper. This decision was carried out Dec. 26, 1928. After first enjoying a cozy supper, the rest of the time was spent with speeches and talks, which showed the retiring Editor our appreciation for his capable and blessed 25-year labors for The Banner.

6. Particulars concerning which Synod must take action, are the following:

a) That as of this Synod retiring members of the Publication Committee are: Hoekstra, Hulst, Hoffius, and Baker. (To serve Synod with a nomination was mandated by the Synod of 1922 (Acts p. 43 and 220) to the Committee of Preadvice for Publication Matters.)

b) The Publication Committee urgently advises Synod to state that the financial reports of Treasurers for church funds appearing in the church papers
be limited to one annual report, in which only the total amounts of the contributions are to be reported. Reasons: The limited space available in our papers, over against the flood of articles regularly coming in.

c) The Publication Committee requests the Synod in the last clause of Art.4,c, of the new Constitution in the Holland translation "advies-advice" be changed to "beslissing-decision" and in the English translation change "advise the Editor-in-Chief" to "notify the Editor-in-Chief of its decision," in order that the last part of this article be brought in harmony with the first in a way that excludes misunderstanding.

d) From the former Synod the Publication Committee received a mandate to publish in sections the "Report on Worldly Amusements" in De Wachter and The Banner, and also to provide for an edition in pamphlet form for distribution at cost price (Acts 1928,Art.89). This mandate has not been carried out because seemingly there was no interest in this decision. To an announcement in The Banner that consistories desiring a sample should contact the Secretary of the Publication Committee, not a single request came in. So the Committee at its meeting April 2,1930, still not having heard from a single consistory, and only one individual person had asked to receive a sample, came to the decision not to carry out the mandate.

e) As at the previous Synod (Acts 1928,p.39) the Publication Committee also this time has mandated one of its members to represent it. It appointed Mr. J.B. Hulst.

f) As nominees for Editors-in-Chief, with which the Publication Committee must serve Synod (Acts 1926,p.26; Regulations, Art.5) it presents:

for De Wachter: Revs. H. Keegstra and D. Zwier.
for The Banner: Rev. H.J. Kuiper and Dr. C. Bouma.

g) The mandate of the previous Synod to provide for a translation of the new Constitution into English
RULES AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE
PUBLICATION COMMITTEE
(Adopted by the Synod of 1928)

Article 1

For the administration and control of our two church publications,
The Banner and De Wachter, the Synod appoints a committee of
seven (7) members, which is responsible to Synod, and subject to
synodical decisions.

This committee is to be incorporated under the laws of the State
of Michigan, and to be known as the "PUBLICATION COMMITTEE
OF THE CHRISTIAN REFORMED CHURCH." (Cf. Acta 1914,
Page 23.)

Article 2

The members of this committee are appointed for the term of
four (4) years. Three (3) or four (4) members of the committee
are appointed at each synodical year. Members whose terms expire
are eligible for reappointment. The committee of Synod for pre-
advice in re publication matters shall recommend names for members
of the Publication Committee. (Acta 1922, Page 43 and 220.)

In view of the many meetings to be held by this committee, it is
advisable that consideration be given to the place of residence of
the members to be appointed. (Acta 1914, p. 23.)

Article 3

The Publication Committee shall meet regularly, at least once a
month.

If necessary or desirable, the editors-in-chief and the business
manager may be called to attend the meetings, and when present,
act in an advisory capacity.

Article 4

The work of the committee is:

(a) To have supervision of all matters pertaining to The Banner
and De Wachter, with power to act in the event synodical rules are
violated or acts committed which are detrimental to the welfare of
the publications.

(b) When requested, to serve the editors-in-chief with advice; to
give suggestions to editors-in-chief and co-editors when the com-
mittee deems it necessary.

In the event of difference of opinion between the personnel of
either or both publications and the Publication Committee, the
decision of the Committee shall prevail until the next Synod.

* (Acts 1928, p. 42) has been carried out. The
prepared draft is herewith presented to Synod
for approval, and reads as follows:
(c) To decide in the event of differences between the editors-in-chief and co-editors as to the publishing or not publishing of articles received, which reflect on those written by the co-editors. Such criticisms shall be sent by the editor-in-chief to the co-editor involved, with a notation giving his opinion as to whether or not the article should be published. If the co-editor is of a different opinion, he shall have the right to appeal to the Publication Committee, with whom shall remain the final decision. (Acta 1912, Page 15f). In the event the author of a contributed article enters complaint, because the editor-in-chief refuses to place his contribution, the Publication Committee shall take cognizance of the article and the reasons for not placing the same and advise the editor-in-chief.

(d) To appoint a "business manager" for the general administration and management of the printing plant. In the event the "business manager" fails in his duties the Committee shall act according to its best judgment.

(e) To fix the salary of the "business manager" and in consultation with him to determine the wages of those working in the plant.

(f) If a vacancy occurs through the death or resignation of an editor-in-chief, or a member of the Publication Committee, the Committee shall appoint another in his place to serve until the next Synod.

(g) To give complete report to every Synod of its activities, financial condition as reported by the "public accountant" and matters of general interest concerning our publications.

Article 5

The editors-in-chief of The Banner and De Wachter are chosen by Synod, the Publication Committee, however, presenting a list of nominees. The co-editors are appointed by the Publication Committee in consultation with the editors-in-chief. (Acta 1924, Page 81; Acta 1926, Page 28.)

Article 6

The profits of both papers are to be used first for the enlargement and improvement of the publications, and any surplus is to be given to Calvin College and Seminary. (Acta 1920, Page 11, and 1930, Art. 23, 15.)

Article 7

The books of the "business manager" are to be audited annually by a "public accountant." (Acta 1916, Page 22.)

Article 8

The Banner and De Wachter printing office shall be used only for our own ecclesiastical printing. (Acta 1918, Page 14.)
Financial Report, Publication Committee
THE BANNER and DE WACHTER
Two Years, January 1, 1928, to January 1, 1930

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1928</th>
<th>1929</th>
<th>Total, 2 yrs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INCOME</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscriptions, The Banner</td>
<td>$22,808.86</td>
<td>$23,430.18</td>
<td>$46,239.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscriptions, De Wachter</td>
<td>15,621.16</td>
<td>15,867.42</td>
<td>29,488.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising, The Banner</td>
<td>8,731.77</td>
<td>7,213.49</td>
<td>15,945.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising, De Wachter</td>
<td>4,108.19</td>
<td>7,158.87</td>
<td>11,267.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent Collected</td>
<td>625.00</td>
<td>340.00</td>
<td>965.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Items</td>
<td>188.47</td>
<td>702.27</td>
<td>890.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>$51,983.45</td>
<td>$48,712.23</td>
<td>$100,695.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1928</th>
<th>1929</th>
<th>Total, 2 yrs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shop Wages and Expenses</td>
<td>$13,832.52</td>
<td>$14,530.28</td>
<td>$28,362.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper, Engravings, etc.</td>
<td>8,584.28</td>
<td>9,070.44</td>
<td>17,654.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Class Postage</td>
<td>1,969.57</td>
<td>2,035.50</td>
<td>4,005.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editors' Salaries and Expenses</td>
<td>3,940.84</td>
<td>4,684.94</td>
<td>8,625.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Etten's Salary and Expenses</td>
<td>2,515.27</td>
<td>3,114.13</td>
<td>5,629.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission to Other Agents</td>
<td>1,855.17</td>
<td>1,285.06</td>
<td>3,140.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Salaries. Buiten, Clerk and Proofreader</td>
<td>4,718.50</td>
<td>5,227.40</td>
<td>9,945.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office and Building Expense. Stationery, Insurance, Taxes</td>
<td>2,777.06</td>
<td>3,320.13</td>
<td>6,097.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>$40,627.49</td>
<td>$43,715.85</td>
<td>$84,343.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Surplus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1928</th>
<th>1929</th>
<th>Total, 2 yrs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$11,355.66</td>
<td>$4,996.38</td>
<td>$16,352.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>$51,983.45</td>
<td>$48,712.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surplus has been divided as follows:
$10,000 for Calvin College and Seminary.
Balance has been invested in new machinery and improvements on building.
(For more detailed report of any of the above items see audit report of Mr. Wm. P. Dreyer, public accountant, on file with the Stated Clerk of Synod.)

| Net worth of Buildings and Equipment, Jan. 1, 1930 | $47,937.30 |

**Number of Subscribers**
The Banner—Jan. 1, 1928, 11,100—Jan. 1, 1930, 10,000.

Hoogachtend,
DE PUBLISCATE COMMISSIE.
SUPPLEMENT IX

RAPPORT VAN DEPUTATEN TER BEHARTIGING DER GEESTELIJKE BELANGEN ONZER STAMGENOOTEN IN ZUID-AMERIKA

Aan de Synode der Christelijke Gereformeerde Kerk,
vergaderd in Grand Rapids, Mich., Juni, 1930.

EERWAARDE VADERS EN BROEDERS:

Deputaten voor Zuid-Amerika hebben het genoegen te kunnen rapporteren dat zij hun opdracht uitgevoerd hebben met een bemoedigend succes, en daarom met vrijmoedigheid kunnen adviseren dat de Synode thans overga om de in 1924 gedane belofte uit te voeren.

Het werk van Ds. Sonneveldt in de gemeenten en geregelde vergaderplaatsen, uitvoerig gerapporteerd aan de voorige Synode, gaat nog gezegend voort, en werd gesteund als voorheen. De statistiek berust bij Dr. Beets, en zal wel gepubliceerd worden na zijn tehuiskomt van China, tegelijk met een volledig verslag van de finantien, enz.*

Ook de voorbereidende stappen tot uitvoering der gedane belofte werden gezegend. Na behoorlijke kennisgeving in De Wachter en The Banner, werd een geschikte medewerker met Ds. Sonneveldt gevonden in student B. Bruxvoort. Deputaten kunnen hem en zijn aanstaande levensgezellen (een dochter van Zendeling Fryling) met vrijmoedigheid aanbevelen. Met het oog daarop heeft hij reeds een jaar-

* STATISTIEK. Volgens de opgave in het Jaarboek der Gereformeerde Kerken in Nederland, telt de gemeente Buenos Aires 110 zielen, met 60 toegelatenen tot het Avondmaal; Tres' Arroyos met San Cayetano, 125 zielen, met 50 belijden de leden; Chubut, meest bestaande uit Zuid-Afrikaansche Boeren, 300 zielen, met 160 communicanten. Te Carambei, Brazilië, wonen 14 gezinnen, met 80 zielen, wekelijks vergaderend ter prekenzitting. Te Tres Arroyos is een Zondagsschool, en evenzo te San Cayetano, waar ook een Gereformeerde Jongelingsvereeniging bestaat en Meisjes-vereeniging.

Voor het FINANCIEEL RAPPORT, zie Acta, Art. 111, sub XII, 4.
cursus Spaansch onderwijs genoten.—Na de verspreiding van een vriendelijk en dringend verzoekschrift kwamen en komen nog de noodige middelen moedgevend in.—En na de vraag om inlichtingen uit Zuid-Amerika kwam er ook van daar een verblijdend antwoord. De gemeente Tres Arroyos-San Cayetano, welke uit twee deelen bestaat, 40 mij­len van elkaar verwijderd, ziet zeer verlangend uit naar de vervulling der gedane belofte, en heeft bijna genoeg beloofd voor huishuur, en genoeg voor dienstreizen naar dat deel der gemeente waar de leeraar niet woont. Ds. Sonneveldt adviseerde de eerste twee jaren voor salaris, auto, lange dienstreizen en mogelijk nog $100 voor huishuur, ongeveer $2000 per jaar beschikbaar te stellen voor zijn collega. Dit, plus overtocht, Wachters, en $1,000 subsidie voor Ds. Son­neveldt, is dan voor onze Kerk 20 centen per gezin per jaar, of 40 centen waar slechts één collecte in twee jaren wordt opgenomen.

Dus zien Deputaten een gepaste plechtigheid tegenwoordig voor de uitzending van den eersten Dienaar des Woords naar Zuid-Amerika. Gaarne zouden zij zien (indien eerst het Rapport over “Rules en Regulations for Home Missions” aangenomen is): (a) dat staande de Synode deze eersteling voor Zuid-Amerika peremptoir geëxamineerd, en bij welsla­gen ook plechtiglijk geordend worde; en (b) dat weer de Deputaten benoemd worden met opdracht als voorheen, en bovendien met de speciale opdracht om deze Dienaar des Woords voor twee jaren te leenen aan Classis Buenos Aires en de gemeente Tres Arroyos-San Cayetano, vertrouwende dat alsdan genoeg licht opgegaan zal zijn om te kunnen zien hoe verder te moeten handelen.—Indien, in het Rapport over “Rules en Regulations for Home Missions,” het advies in Naschrift A niet wordt goedgekeurd, dan adviseeren De­putaten dat de Synode besluit te laten dat Deputaten, in verband met een roepende gemeente, verder naar de tegenwoordige usantie handelen, overeenkomstig Art. 1 van het oude Regel­lement voor Inwendige Zending.

Ten slotte moet nog gemeld dat wegens het vertrek van Dr. Van Lonkhuyzen, Prof. Heyns gevraagd werd om als ad­­viseur te dienen met het oog op de kerkrechtelijke verhoun­­dingen welke ter sprake kwamen. Deputaten zouden aan
uwe eerwaarde vergadering de wenschelijkheid in overweging willen geven om dezen Oud-Hoogleeraar in de Kerkring als een der Deputaten voor Zuid-Amerika te benoemen; en tevens bieden zij ook gaarne het volgende toelichtend advies ter overweging aan.

Wij hebben in deze zaak te doen met iets nieuws in ons kerkelijk leven, en de vraag drong zich op hoe zij behoorde geregeld te worden om in kerkrechtelijk zuiver spoor te loopen. Het geldt hier niet de uitzending van een Dienaar des Woords om onder Heidenen of Mahommedanen te arbeiden, en Gemeenten te vergaderen, maar het bieden van hulp aan een georganiseerde Gemeente, om haar te verzorgen in hare behoeftte aan de bediening des Woords en der Sacramenten. Kan voor een uitzending als deze de methode worden gevolgd die voor uitzending van Missionaire Predikanten in gebruik is, of moet een andere methode gevonden worden?

Daar komt bij dat de betrokken Gemeente niet is een Gemeente onzer Kerk, maar gelijk haar zusterkerken in Zuid-Amerika, behoort tot de Gereformeerde Kerken in Nederland en staat onder de jurisdictie van die Kerken. Dienovereenkomstig hebben de Nederlandsche Kerken voor de Predikanten die van harentwege in Zuid-Amerika dienen bepalingen gemaakt die zij oordeelen in het belang te zijn van de goede orde en het profijt der kerken. Zulke bepalingen zijn dat de in Zuid-Amerika dienende Predikanten niet beroepbaar zijn voor de kerken in Nederland, noch aanspraak hebben op emeriteering in de Gereformeerde Kerken van Nederland.

Vandaar dat er geen denken aan zijn kan, dat een door ons gezonden Predikant op zijn arbeidsveld garriveerd zijnde, zich tot zijn Zuid-Amerikaansche Gemeente zou kunnen stellen in de gewone verhouding waarin Leeraar en Gemeente behooren te staan door als lidmaat en als ambtsdrager zich te verbinden aan de Gereformeerde Kerken van Nederland. Uit zuiver principieel oogpunt zouden wij daar geen bezwaar tegen hebben, maar in genoemde omstandigheden liggen praktische redenen die het beslist verbieden. Waht gevolg van een overgang tot de Nederlandsche Gereformeerde Kerken zou zijn dat daardoor de kerkelijke band
tusschen den door ons gezonden Predikant en onze Kerk verbroken zou worden, zoodat hij bij eventueele terugkeering in ons land alle rechten als Dienaar des Woords onze Kerk zou hebben verloren. En een ander gevolg daarvan zou zijn, dat hij daardoor zou komen te staan onder de regering der Nederlandsche Kerken voor de Zuid-Amerikaansche Predikanten, dat hij voor kerken buiten Zuid-Amerika niet beroepbaar zijn zou, en geen recht zou hebben op emeriteering in de Gereformeerde Kerken van Nederland. Dat een Dienaar des Woords onze Kerk met velle Theologische opleiding bereid zou zijn zich de ontneming van deze rechten te laten weigervallen, is niet te verwachten. Evenmin zou onze zendende kerk zich daarin kunnen vinden.


Hieruit volgt dat de betrokken Predikant door ons zal moeten uitgezonden worden met de bedoeling dat hij in de Gemeente Tres Arroyos-San Cayetano als zijn arbeidsveld zal werkzaam zijn zonder door die Gemeente beroepen en in haar midden bevestigd te wezen, wijl door beroeping van die Gemeente, aanvaarding daarvan en bevestiging een verbando tussen hem en haar zou worden gelegd dat ongewenste gevolgen zou hebben. Op de vraag of zulk een Predikant dan wel in die Gemeente kan optreden in de kerkregering als met regeringsrecht bekleed, is te antwoorden dat dit zou kunnen, maar alleen door een express daad
des Kerkeraads door hem zitting te geven in en hem medelid te maken van den Kerkeraad met volle rechten. Zoo werd indertijd door De Heraut (No. 1534) een door de meerderheid der Classis afgekeurde Kerkeraads handeling verdedigd, die aan een in zijn Gemeente den dienst waarnemend Emeritus Predikant van elders zitting had gegeven in den Kerkeraad en hem als een zijner afgevaardigden ter Classis had gezonden. De Classis had gemeend hem geen zitting te kunnen geven. De Heraut echter achtte het "natuurlijk" dat een Kerkeraad zoo deed, dat hij recht had tot zulk een afvaardiging, en dat de afgevaardigde recht had op zitting in de Classis. Wel moest de door de Kerkenorde gestelde regel, regel blijven, en mocht zonder goede redenen daarvan niet afgeweken worden, maar dat in exceptionele gevallen exceptionele maatregelen geoorloofd zijn, mocht niet uit het oog verloren worden. Wij hebben er behoefte aan eer wij uitzenden kunnen te weten dat de Kerkeraad te Tres Arroyos-San Cayetano bereid is en zich verhinderd aan den door ons gezonden Dienaar des Wordts zoover het zijn Gemeente betreft, de bedoelde kerkelijke macht te doen toekomen.

Met betrekking tot het kerkelijk lidmaatschap staat de zaak onzens inziens anders. Aansluiting aan de Gemeente die hij bedienen zal als lidmaat kan geschieden zonder voor den Predikant bedenkelijke gevolgen te hebben. En het is voor hem een vereischte omdat de rechten, voorrechten verplichtingen van het lidmaatschap, rechten, voorrechten en verplichtingen zijn van de Gemeenschap der Heiligen, en alleen tot hun recht kunnen komen in de Gemeente in welker midden hij verkeert, terwijl de oefening van de Gemeenschap der Heiligen met een ver-verwijderde Gemeente slechts een zeer betrekkelijke en onvolledig kan wezen. Indien elk lidmaat der Kerk zich eigenlijk behoort aan te sluiten aan de Gemeente die voor hem de naast-gelegene is, dan geldt dit op dezelfde wijze en om dezelfde redenen evenzeer van Predikanten. Daar komt nog bij dat aansluiting aan de Zuid-Amerikaansche Gemeente als lidmaat ook gevorderd wordt door de omstandigheid dat een Predikant naar Art. 23 K. O. behoort te staan onder opzicht en tucht van den Kerkeraad. Dit kan niet zijn een staan onder op-
zicht en tucht van een ver-verwijderden Kerkeraad, maar
kan in dit geval alleen wezen een staan onder opzicht en
tucht van den Kerkeraad van Tres Arroyos-San Cayetano.
Die Kerkeraad zou echter tot oefening van opzicht en tucht
over den door ons gezonden Predikant geen recht hebben,
indien hij niet als lidmaat tot de Gemeente behoorde waar­
over die Kerkeraad gesteld is.

De door ons gezonden Leerbaar zou dus als lidmaat over­
gaan tot een Gemeente van de Gereformeerde Kerken in
Nederland, maar als ambtsdrager een Dienaar des Woords
onzer Kerk blijven. Of dat kan? Normaal is het zeker niet.
Onder normale omstandigheden zal het niet toegelaten kun­
nen worden. Maar dat dit tweeërvrij niet te acht is als
onder alle omstandigheden onafscheidelijk te moeten sa­
mengaan is reeds op goede gronden uitgesproken door onze
Synode van 1918. Zij oordeelde dat de missionaire Predi­
kanten van onze Zending onder de Indianen, al bleven zij
ook als ambtsdragers verbonden aan hun zendende Kerk,
toch lidmaten behoorden te zijn van Rehoboth, destijds
nog de enige gemeente op dat Zendingsveld. Daarbij be­
riep zij zich op reeds bestaande gevallen van scheiding van
genooemplede tweeërvrij, en van zulk een aard dat aan die schei­
ding eenvoudig niet te ontkomen was. Zoo wanneer een
Predikant gecombineerde Gemeenten bedient. Ambtelijk is
hij aan elk dier Gemeenten verbonden, maar slechts van een
dier Gemeenten kan hij lidmaat zijn. Zoo ook wanneer een
Missionair Predikant door een groep van Gemeenten wordt
uitgezonden. Aan al die Gemeenten is hij in gelijken zin
ambtelijk verbonden, maar van slechts een er van kan hij
tevens lidmaat zijn.

Wat de uitzending betreft ligt de gedachte voor de hand
of zij niet kan geschieden op dezelfde manier als die welke
voor de uitzending van Missionaire Dienaren in gebruik is.
Dan zou de wijze van uitzending deze zijn, dat aan een
plaatselijke kerk wordt opgedragen den uit te zenden Predi­
kant te beroepen, zoo hij een Candidaat is, voor zijn in het
ambt stelling te zorgen, en hem dan uit te zenden als haar
eigen Predikant, met de bedoeling dat hij ook haar eigen
Predikant zal blijven, en voorts met of zonder verplichting
om geheel of gedeeltelijk zijn salaris te betalen. Dat zij
verplichting zou hebben om bij eventuele terugkeer van den Zendeling uit het Zendingsveld hem als haar eigen Leerar te ontvangen en voorzover nodig voor de verzorging in zijn noodruft aansprakelijk te zijn, schijnt zoo niet begrepen te worden.

Indien deze methode de rechte is voor de uitzending van Missionaire Dienaren, is niet in te zien waarom zij ook niet de rechte zou wezen voor dit geval van "leening" van een Predikant aan een buitenlandsche georganiseerde Gemeente.

Uwe Commissie kan echter niet verheugen dat er omtrent de juistheid van die methode bij haar twijfel bestaat, en dat zij tegen die methode ernstige bedenkingen heeft, bedenkingen die zij zich gedrongen gevoelt aan de Synode ter overweging voor te stellen.

Vooreerst is deze zaak van het begin af beschouwd en behandeld als een zaak van heel de Kerk. Waar het noodig was regelingen te maken, geschiedde dit door de Synode of volgens haar opdracht door de Synodale Deputaten voor deze zaak. Op dezelfde wijze behoort zij verder beschouwd en behandeld te worden. Dit echter is niet het geval wanneer men het beroepen, enz., door een plaatselijke Kerk laat geschieden, want daarvan is het gevolg dat de uitzending niet geschiedt als een "leening" der geheele Kerk van een van hare Dienaren, maar als een leening eener plaatselijke kerk van een Dienaar des Woords van die kerk, zoodat de zaak geheel het voorkomen krijgt van de zaak dier plaatselijke kerk te zijn. Wij vragen daarom: Waarom doet de Synode die dingen zelven niet, hetzij zooover mogelijk door eigen handelingen, hetzij volgens haar opdracht door haar Deputaten? Omtrent de bevoegdheid der Synode, daartoe behoef't geen twijfel te bestaan. In de Kerkrechtelijke Adviezen van Dr. Rutgers (Deel I, bladz. 324 v.) is te lezen hoe Voetius van oordeel was dat Zending kan uitgaan van de Nationale Synode of hare bizondere Deputaten, of van Prov. Synoden en hare Deputaten, maar ook van Kerkeraden of van een bizondere Classis. Volgens hem is dus een Nationale Synode even goed bevoegd om Zending te drijven als een Kerkeraad, en tot het drijven van Zending behooren ongetwijfeld als essentieele elementen het roepen en uitzen-

Ten tweede, de bestaande praktijk van beroeping, enz.; door de plaatselijke kerk heeft tot grondslag de opvatting dat er in deze bedeling geen andere Kerk is dan de plaatselijke kerk. Zou dat juist zijn, dan zou vanzelf volgen dat alleen de plaatselijke kerk bevoegd kan zijn om een Dienaar des Woords te beroepen en in het ambt te zetten. Maar staan wij werkelijk op dat standpunt?

Ten derde, zal de beroeping alsmede de leening eener plaatselijke kerk, en het ambtelijk aan haar verbonden blijven van den beroepene terwijl hij elders werkzaam is, niet een schijnvertooning zijn zonder wezen, dan zou daartoe moeten behooren dat de geleende Predikant onder het opzicht en de leiding bleef staan van den Kerkeraad die hem beriep en onder het opzicht en de leiding van dien Kerkeraad alleen. Dat is echter de bedoeling geenszins. De bedoeling is veeleer dat die Kerkeraad, na hem uitgezonden te hebben, over dien Predikant, die geacht wordt een eigen Predikant te blijven der Gemeente waarover deze Kerkeraad gesteld is, volstrekt niets meer te zeggen zal hebben. Ook in het onderhavige geval zou gelijk te voren zoo ook in het vervolg voorzover er van onze zijde iets te besturen viel, dat geschieden door een Synode en haar Deputaten.

Deze gedachten meenden wij in ons rapport te moeten mededeelen, niet om daarmee een voorstel of advies aan de hand te doen, maar alleen om ze de Synode in overweging te geven.

Met eerbied onderworpen,

Deputaten voornoemd,

HENRY BEETS,
J. WYNGAARDEN,
M. J. WYNGAARDEN.
AANHANGSEL VAN HET RAPPORT DER DEPUTATEN VOOR ZUID-AMERIKA

Aan hun Rapport, opgenomen in de Agenda (Part I, bladz. 104 vv.), hebben de Deputaten voor Zuid-Amerika nu nog een en ander toe te voegen. Zij hebben, namelijk, intusschen twee belangrijke brieven ontvangen.

Voor eerst een antwoord van de Deputaten voor Zuid-Amerika van de Geref. Kerken in Nederland op onze vraag aan hen betreffende de wijze waarop in deze zaak te handelen zou zijn, en inhoudende onze gedachten dienaangaande gelijk die in ons Rapport in het Agendum zijn voorgesteld. Omtrent dat plan hadden de Nederlandsche Deputaten het advies van Dr. H. H. Kuyper ingewonnen, en in hun antwoord geven zij ons van dat advies een afschrift.

Tot onze blijdschap blijkt uit dit advies dat Dr. Kuyper op verrassende wijze met onze voorstelling accoord gaat.

Voor eerst is, ook volgens dit advies, de weg van “leening” de weg die in deze te bewandelen is. Met gegevens uit de historie en van de Kerkorde wordt aangetoond, dat zulk “leenen” burgerrecht in de Gereformeerde Kerken is toe te kennen. Ds. Johannes Dibbets, bijvoorbeeld, Predikant te Dordt, werd geleend aan de kerk van Utrecht, en was zelfs in deze kwaliteit van geleend Predikant afgevaardigd op de Dordtsche Synode namens de Utrechtsche kerk. Wel was dat “leenen” toen een leenen aan een andere Gemeente van hetzelfde Kerkverband, terwijl het in ons geval een leenen zal zijn aan een Gemeente van een ander Kerkverband, maar van dit verschil wordt geen bezwaar gemaakt. Bij de verhouding waarin de Gereformeerde Kerken van Nederland en onze Christelijke Gereformeerde Kerk tot elkaar staan, is ook niet in te zien hoe dit een bezwaar zou kunnen zijn.

Op een aanmerking, blijkbaar door de Nederlandsche Deputaten tegen ons voorstel gemaakt, dat zij niet inzien hoe zulk een Predikant ambtelijk aan een hunner kerken verbonden zou kunnen zijn, en tegelijk ook ambtelijk verbonden blijven aan de kerk of kerken van de Christelijke Gereformeerde Kerk in Noord-Amerika, antwoordt Dr. Kuyper
dat daarin geen “overwegend bezwaar” ligt, “mits men slechts vasthoudt aan de gedachte dat het een leening is.”

Ten tweede is er overeenstemming wat de wijze van uitvoering betreft. Dr. Kuyper schrijft van meening te zijn dat daarvoor geldt: *variis modis bene fit*, dat is, dat zij naar omstandigheden met goed recht op verschillende manieren kan geregeld worden.

“Zoover ik kan nagaan,” zoo gaat ZHG. voort, “is vroeger wanneer zulke een Predikant geleend werd, door de Kerk die hem ter leen ontving, geen officieel beroep uitgebracht en heeft ook geen bevestiging op die plaats gehad. Zulke een Kerk vroeg den Predikant ter leen, en wanneer dit vergund werd, trad hij van zelf op in den Dienst des Woords krachtens besluit van den Kerkeraad. Het tijdelijk karakter van zulke een leening bracht dit mee. Evenals men een Predikant, die voor één Zondag een dienst in een vacante Kerk vervult, ook niet officieel beroep en bevestigd. Wilde men zich streng houden aan de analogie van het verleden, dan zou de vorm dus moeten wezen, dat de Kerken van Tres-Arroyos c.a. aan de Christelijke Gereformeerde Kerk (of hare Deputaten) schreven, dat zij vernomen hadden dat deze Kerk bereid was een Predikant haar te leenen, en dat men dit aanbod dankbaar aanvaardde en bereid was deze Predikant te accepteren en alle rechten hem te vergunnen, die aan een wettig beroepen Predikant toekomen. Er zou dan een schriftelijke overeenkomst moeten gemaakt worden tusschen de Kerk van Tres Arroyos c.a. met de Chr. Geref. Kerk of hare Deputaten voor hoelang deze leening zou duren, na afloop waarvan de geleende Predikant weer naar Noord-Amerika terugkeerden. Hij zou dan zijn status als Predikant bij de Kerk, waaraan hij nu verbonden is, behouden. Hij zou dan te Tres Arroyos als lidmaat der kerk aldaar moeten worden ingeschreven en ook aan de Classicale Vergadering moeten deelnemen met stemrecht (zoals Dibbets op de Synode te Dordt) en onder toezicht van den Kerkeraad en Classis moeten staan. Desnoods zou gestipuleerd kunnen worden in de contractuele overeenkomst dat in geval van tuchttoedring, de kerk van Tres Arroyos c.a. of de Classis wel voorloopig tot schorsing zou kunnen overgaan, maar dat definitieve schorsing of afzetting zouden ruggespraak en goedkeuring van de Chr. Ref. Church noodig zijn, wier Dienaar hij is en blijft.”
Na van het advies van Dr. Kuyper een volledig afschrift gegeven te hebben, besluiten de Nederlandsche Deputaten hun schrijven met de mededeling:

“Wij hebben aan de Classicale Vergadering [dat is die van de Nederlandsche Classis Rotterdam, die de deputaten benoemd voor de correspondentie met de kerken der Classis Buenos Aires], op 6 Mei jongstleden gerapporteerd, en de Classis Rotterdam heeft haar goedkeuring gehecht aan ons voorstel om naar het voorstel van uwe Deputaten en het advies van Dr. Kuyper de kerk van Tres Arroyos te adviseeren en hiervan kennis aan die kerk te geven alsmede aan de Deputaten ad hoc van de Christian Reformed Church aan wie tegelijk een afschrift van bovengenoemd advies zal worden toegezonden, gelijk bij deze geschiedt.”

Bovendien is van den Kerkeraad van San Cayetano—Tres Arroyos een officieel, door Consulent en Kerkeradsleden ondertekend, schrijven ingelogen van dezen inhoud:

“HOOGEACHE BROEDERS:

“In verband met de uitzending van een Herder en Leeraar voor de Gemeente te San Cayetano—Tres Arroyos door uwe Kerken, en in verband met het advies door U in deze zaak gegeven, verklaren ondergetekenden namens genoemde Gemeente gaarne het volgende:

“(1) Van ganscher harte stemmen wij in met het door Deputaten gegeven advies en met den weg, die in verband met de omstandigheden hier wordt bewandeld;

“(2) Plechtig en nadrukkelijk beloven we den Leeraar in het midden der Gemeente en in de Classis de volle ambtelijke bevoegdheid te schenken, gedurende den tijd dat hij in ons midden zal verkeeren, als ware hij wettig door de Gemeente alhier beroepen en volgens het Formulier bevestigd als onzen eigen Herder en Leeraar;
“(3) Bij voorbaat nemen wij genoegen met alle bepa­lingen, die de Synode te dezer zake zou mogen vast­stellen, omdat het gemeenschappelijk doel toch is, dat in ons midden gearbeid zal worden aan het heil der Gemeente en de uitbreiding van Gods Konink­rijk in dit land;

“(4) Ten slotte verklaren we nogmaals, dat we met blijd­schap de komst van den Leeraar tegemoet zien, dankbaar voor hetgeen door Deputaten en kerken voor ons wordt gedaan, en bidden dat de arbeid van Ds. Bruxvoort door den Heere rijk gezegend moge worden.”

Deze twee brieven uit Nederland en Zuid-Amerika voegen wij als Bijlagen aan dit aanhangsel van ons Rapport toe [met verzoek echter dat die brieven aan uwe Deputaten voor Zuid-Amerika terugbezorgd worden.]

Het zij ons vergund hieraan een en ander toe te voegen:

(1) De wenk van Dr. Kuyper betreffende een schriftelijke overeenkomst is zeker behartigenswaardig. Het wil ons echter voorkomen dat met bovenvermelde stukken deze zaak kan geacht worden genoegzaam in orde gekomen te zijn. Wij hebben van ons voorstel, zooals in ons Rapport in het Agendum uitgedrukt, aan de betrokken partijen in Nederland en Zuid-Amerika kennis gegeven. Uit Nederland hebben wij de officiële verklaring ontvangen, dat ons voorstel, gesteund door Dr. H. H. Kuyper, door de Classis Rotterdam en de Nederlandsche Deputaten voor Zuid-Amerika is goedgekeurd. En van den Kerkeraad van San Cayetano-Tres Arroyos hebben wij de officiële verklaring ontvangen dat hij op zich neemt volgens dat voorstel Br. Brux­voort met toekenning van de volle rechten van een Dienaar des Woords te ontvangen. Wij zijn geneigd te meenen dat wij daaraan genoeg hebben. Enige bijzonderheden, die meer bepaald Br. Bruxvoort betreffen, zijn in den Beroe­plingsbrief op haar plaats.

(2) Wat de in het ambt stelling van Br. Bruxvoort be­treft, gaven wij in ons in het Agendum opgenomen Rapport den wenk dat wij geen reden zagen, waarom de Synode, in wier handen heel deze zaak berust, dat niet selve of door
haar Deputaten zou doen. Zoo zij de beroeping doet, waarom dan ook niet de examinatie en ordination? Ten aanzien van het recht en de bevoegdheid eener Algemene Synode, daartoe zijn wij nog van dezelfde opinie. In aanmerking nemende echter dat het de Synode wellicht niet wel gelegen zou komen zich daarmee bezig te houden, en dat het aantal harer Deputaten voor deze zaak zeer klein is, meenen wij nu te moeten aanbevelen de examinatie op te dragen aan een door haar aan te wijzen Classis. Daar Br. Bruxvoort lid is van Sherman St., zou het zeer natuurlijk zijn dat Classis Grand Rapids Oost hiertoe door de Synode werd aangewezen. Dan kon tevens de ordening en bevestiging plaats grijpen, namens de Synode door haar Deputaten, in eventueel overleg met den Kerkeraad van Sherman St.

Met het oog op de mogelijkheid dat de vraag zal opkomen, of niet de beroeping van Br. Bruxvoort door een plaatselijke kerk moet geschieden, meenen wij bij hetgeen wij dienaangaande in ons Rapport in het midden hebben gebracht, nog de opmerking te moeten voegen, dat dit dan zou moeten geschieden met andere bedoelingen en andere gevolgen dan die waaraan wij gewoon zijn geworden. Niet zóó, dat een plaatselijke kerk hem zou beroepen, maar met dien verstande dat hij in die kerk geenerlei rechten of verplichtingen zou hebben, en dat hij nimmer tot haar zou kunnen terugkeren en op de Bediening des Woords in haar midden aanspraak zou kunnen maken, met andere woorden, zoodanig dat er van een actueel ambtelijk verband tussen hem en die kerk geen spoor zal te vinden zijn. Zulk handelen wordt door de idee van “leening” buitengesloten. Men kan slechts leenen wat men als zijn eigendom erkent, wat men gedurende heel den tijd der leening als zijn eigendom blijft erkennen, en waarop men aan het einde van dien tijd rechten wil doen gelden om het op te eischen en terug te ontvangen. Dit zou ook van deze leening gelden. En een plaatselijke kerk die bereid zou zijn op die manier Broeder Bruxvoort te beroepen, zou denkelijk moeilijk te vinden zijn.

Op de vraag met welk Formulier Broeder Bruxvoort bevestigd zal moeten worden, is onzes inziens te antwoorden,
dat het moet geschieden, niet met het Formulier voor bevestiging van Zendelingen, maar met dat voor de bevestiging van Dienaren-des Woords. Immers, de bevestiging die bedoeld wordt, is niet een bevestiging in het ambt om uit te gaan als Zendeling en te gaan arbeiden buiten den kring des Verbonds, maar een bevestiging in het ambt om in een plaatselijke kerk Woord en Sacramenten te gaan bedienen. Genoemd Formulier is dan ook voor een bevestiging als die van Broeder Bruxvoort geheel gepast, en kan zonder enige verandering gebruikt worden, behalve alleen dat de aanhef naar omstandigheden te wijzigen zal zijn, en dat zoowel van de “vermaning aan den Dienaar” als van het daaropvolgend gebed de laatste gedeelten zullen moeten weggelaten worden, omdat de Gemeente, welke het Formulier in die gedeelten op het oog heeft, niet aanwezig is.

Met eerbied onderworpen,

De Deputaten voornoemd,

HENRY BEETS, Pres.
J. WYNGAARDEN, Sec.-Treas.
M. J. WYNGAARDEN.
W. HEYNS, Adviseur.

BIJLAGE I

Rotterdam, 2 Mei, 1930.

Aan onze Broeders: Deputaten ter Behartiging der Geestelijke Belangen onzer Stamgenooten in Zuid-Amerika.

WELEREW. EN BEMINDE BROEDERS:—

Na de belangrijke bespreking die wij mochten hebben met uw mededeputaat, Dr. H. Beets, op onze conferentie van 13 Maart j.l., hebben wij zoo spoedig mogelijk aan uwe opdracht voldaan. Die opdracht was om inzake het voorstel uwer Deputaten om de Geref. Kerk van Tres Arroyos in Zuid-Amerika te helpen in den dienst des Woords en der Sacramenten door het leenen “van een predikant van een uwer kerken, en advies in te winnen” van Prof. Dr. H. H. Kuyper.

Tot onze groote vreugde is het advies van Zijn Hoogggeleerde van dien aard dat wij daarin groote overeenstemming vinden met het
rapport en voorstel zoals dat door u aan de Synode der Chr. Geref. Kerk is aangeboden.

Laat me hier u een afschrift mogen geven:

"Waarde Broeder!

"De vraag, die ge mij namens Deputaten voor de correspondentie met de Gereformeerde Kerken in Zuid-Amerika stelt, is zeker niet zoo gemakkelijk te beantwoorden. Onze Kerkorde wijst daarvoor geen regelen aan; hoe in zulk een geval te handelen is, is aan de vrijheid der kerken overgelaten, altogen met behoud van de beginselen van ons Gereformeerde Kerkrecht. Het best meen ik u van dienst te zijn door u eerst te verwijzen naar analoog gevallen, die in onze kerken zijn voorgekomen. Zoals ge me schrijft, wil de Chr. Ref. Church in Noord-Amerika, die zich mede het lot aantrekt van deze gemeenten in diaspora in Argentinie, een harer predikanten leenen, óók dat deze Dienaar des Woords en lid deze Kerk blijft. Zulk een 'leenen' nu van een predikant is in vroegere tijden meermalen voorgekomen, zooals ook u wel niet onbekend zal wogen. Toen na de Synode van Dort, en ook reeds daarvoor, verschillende kerken in verlegenheid kwamen doordat de Rem. predikanten waren afgezet en 't moeilijk viel in deze kerken eigen predikanten te beroepen, heeft men, om deze kerken te hulp te komen, reeds den weg ingeslagen, dat kerken, die genoegzaam voorzien waren, een harer predikanten 'leenden' voor een bepaald aantal jaren aan zulk een hulp behoevende kerk, maar zóó dat de band met de kerk waartoe hij oorspronkelijk behoorde niet verbroken werd. Zoo, om enkele voorbeelden aan te halen, werd Bogerman, predikant te Leeuwarden, geleen aan de kerk te 's Gravenhage, en Johannes Dibbets, predikant te Dordt, aan de kerk te Utrecht. Zelfs was de laatste in die kwaliteit afgevaardigd op de Dordtsche Synode namens de Utrechtsche kerk. Dat zulk een leenen plaats vond niet alleen met goedvinden van de kerk die leende, maar ook van de kerk die zulk een predikant ter leen ontving, spreekt wel van zelf. Nu zijn de gevallen in zoverre niet geheel gelijk, dat dit 'leenen' toen geschiedde voor een zeer beperkt tijden en dat de kerken die deze leening aangingen, behoorden tot één kerkverband, maar ik meen toch dat uit deze gevallen wel kan afgeleid, dat zulk een 'leenen' van een predikant aan een hulpbehoevende kerk op zichzelf niet ongeoorloofd is.

"Evenzoo kan dit worden afgeleid uit hetgeen de Zeeuwsche kerken gedaan hebben ten opzichte van de Kruis kerken in Brabant en Vlaanderen, die aan haar zorg waren toevertrouwd, waarheen elke Classis bij toerbeurt twee predikanten heenvond om deze te verzorgen (zie Ds. Jansen, De Kerk en Orde, Deel I, blz. 222 v.v.) welke zending door de Classis plaats vond natuurlijk met goedvinden van de plaatselijke kerk, waaraan deze predikanten verbonden waren. Ook dit toch was in
zekeren zin een 'leenen' van deze predikanten aan de Kruis-kerken, die niet in staat waren zelf voor de bediening des Woords te zorgen. Artikel 7 der Dordtische Kerkenorde in haar oorspronkelijke redactie sanctioneerde dit zelfs ten opzichte van hen, die nog niet als predikanten aan een vaste kerk verbonden waren.

"Blijkbaar ziet op zulke exceptionele gevallen ook hetgeen de Synode van Dordt 1573, Art. 6, bepaalde omtrent de conditiën waaronder een beroep kan worden gedaan of aangenomen. Als regel wordt gesteld, dat een predikant, die nog aan geen kerk verbonden is, zichzelf geheel (dat wil zeggen zonder conditiën) aan de gemeente die hem beroep moet toelaten. Een conditioneel beroep, dat wil zeggen dat iemand beroepen wordt op de proef of voor een bepaald aantal jaren, waarna hij weer vrij is (zoals in Zuid- en Noord-Amerika nog het geval is) wordt dus afgekeurd. De band moet over en weer een van geheele overgave zijn van een predikant voor dienst in deze gemeente, van deze gemeente om hem als haar Dienaar te houden. Maar wordt toch met de mogelijkheid gereedkend dat zulk een predikant reeds aan een kerk verbonden is en evenzo, dat er dan conditiën besproken worden, want er volgt, dat zo de predikant of de gemeente het over deze conditie niet eens kunnen worden, de Classis daarover oordeelen zal.

"Ik meen op grond van deze gegevens aan de historie en de bepalingen onzer vroegere K. O. ontdekt, dat er geen principieel bezwaar bestaat om in het voorstei van de Chr. Ref. Church te treden, te meer waar deze Kerk, zooals ge me schrijft, ook bereid is voor een belangrijk deel bij te dragen in de kosten. Ret bezwaar dat blijkbaar bij uwe deputaten bestaat is dat ze niet inzien hoe iemand ambtelijk aan een onzer kerken verbonden wordt en toch tegelijk ook ambtelijk verbonden blijft aan de Kerk of kerken van de Chr. Ref. Church in Noord-Amerika, acht ik, zoals uit de bovenstaande historische uiteenzetting bleek, niet overwegend, mits men vasthoudt aan de gedachte dat het hier een leening geldt van een predikant voor een bepaalden tijd, waarmee terecht men over en weer een overeenkomst zou kunnen aangaan. Ook Bogerman was tegelijk wettig predikant van Leeuwarden en (bij leening) van de Kruis-kerk te 's Gravenhage. In zekeren zin kan zelfs gezegd worden dat wanneer een predikant in een vacante kerk een Zondag den dienst des Woords aldaar vervult, hij dit doet krachtens de sanctie van den kerkeraad dier vacante kerk, want zonder de roeping en machtiging van den kerkeraad zou hij op die plaats het Woord en de Sacramenten niet kunnen bedienen.

"Wat nu de vraag betreft hoe dit nader zou moeten geregeld worden, zoo meen ik dat hiervoor geldt: variis modis bene fit. Voortsvoer ik na kon gaan, is vroeger, wanneer zulk een predikant geleend werd door de kerk, die hem ter leen ontving geen officieel beroep uitgebracht en
heeft ook geen bevestiging op die plaats plaatsgevonden. Zulk een kerk vroeg den predikant ter leen, en wanneer haar dit vergund werd trad hij van zelf op in den dienst des Woords krachtens besluit van den kerkeraad. Het tijdelijk karakter van zulk een leening bracht dit mee. Evenals men een predikant, die voor één Zondag een dienst in een vacante kerk vervult ook niet officieel beroept en bevestigt. Wilde men zich streng houden aan de analogie van het verleden, dan zou de vorm dus moeten wezen dat de kerken van Tres Arroyos c.a. aan de Chr. Ref. Church (of hare Deputaten) schreven, dat zij vernomen hadden, dat deze kerk bereid was een predikant haar te leenen en dat men dit aanbod dankbaar aanvaardde en bereid was deze predikant te accepteeren en alle rechten hem te vergunnen, die aan een wettig beroepen predikant toekomen. Er zou dan een schriftelijk overeenkomst moeten gemaakt worden tusschen de kerk van Tres Arroyos c.a. met de Chr. Ref. Church of hare Deputaten voor hoe lang deze leening zou duren, na afloop waarvan de geleende predikant weer naar Noord-Amerika zou terugkeeren. Hij zou dan zijn status als predikant bij de kerk, waaraan hij nu verbonden is, behouden. Hij zou dan te Tres Arroyos als lidmaat der kerk aldaar moeten worden ingeschreven, en ook aan de classelijke vergadering moeten deelnemen met stemrecht (zoals Dibbets op de Synode te Dordt) en onder toezicht van den Kerkeraad en Classis aldaar moeten staan. Desnoods zouden gestipuleerd kunnen worden in de contractuele overeenkomst dat in geval van tuchttoeving, de kerk van Tres Arroyos c.a. of de Classis wel voorloopig tot schorsing zou kunnen overgaan, maar met de definitieve schorsing of afzetting zouden ruggespraak en goedkeuring van de Chr. Ref. Church noodig zijn, wier dienaar hij is en blijft.

“Een bepaald beroep en bevestiging acht ik bij zulk een leening niet noodig, maar principieel bezwaar heb ik er niet tegen, wanneer de kerk van Tres Arroyos of de Chr. Ref. Church dit wenschelijk acht. Het kan zelfs met het oog op het gesag, waarmee hij optreedt in deze leendienst wenschelijk zijn, opdat de gemeente aldaar zich gemakkelijker onder zijn dienst stelt en over de wettigheid van zijn dienst geen twijfel kan ontstaan. In dat geval moet echter in de Acte van Beroep zelf de conditie worden opgenomen, zoals de K. O. van 1578 blijkbaar onderstelt, opdat de predikant, die dit beroep aanneemt niet gehesel zich aan den dienst dezer kerk verbindt, maar slechts voor een tijd. Hoe nauwekeuriger dit in de beroepenbrief omschreven wordt, zoodat in de toekomst geen moeite kan ontstaan, hoe beter het is.

“De beste weg schijnt me daarom te zijn, dat de kerk van Tres Arroyos c.a. op de bovenaangegeven wijze zich in rapport stelt met de Chr. Ref. Church, van haar verneemt, welken predikant zij leenen wil, zich bereid verklaart deze predikant ter leen te ontvangen, liefst
met bepaling van den tijd, en daaraan toevoegen dat deze predikant zijn status bij de Chr. Ref. Church of de kerk waaraan hij verbonden is, behouden zal met de verdere condities hierboven vermeld. En dan kan, wanneer men een bepaalde beroeping wenselijk acht (en bevestiging) in den beroepsbrief melding van deze condities gemaakt worden.

"Gaarn geeft ik u het recht van dit advies gebruiken te maken op de wijze die u het beste voorkomt.

"Met heilbede, uw dienaar,

(Was geteekend) DR. H. H. KUYPER."

Van deze conferentie met uw Deputaat en van bovenstaand rapport, alsmede van het door ons zeer gewaardeerde voorstel van uw Deputaten inzake de hulp-verlening in den dienst des Woord en der Sacramenten door leening van een der dienaren uit de Chr. Ref. Church, hebben wij aan de classicale vergadering van 6 Mei j.l. gerapporteerd en de Classis Rotterdam heeft hare goedkeuring gehecht aan ons voorstel om naar het voorstel van uw Deputaten en het advies van Dr. Kuyper de kerk van Tres Arroyos te adviseren en hiervan kennis aan de kerk te geven, alsmede aan de Deputaten ad hoc van de Chr. Ref. Church, aan wie tegelijk een afschrift van bovengenoemd advies zal worden toegezonden," gelijk bij deze geschiedt.

Verder werd door de Classis besloten om het voorstel van Deputaten te steunen om aan de Part. Synode van Zuid-Holland (Zuid) te verzoeken Deputaten te machtigen om de kerk van Buenos-Aires evenals tot heden geschiedde te steunen met een bedrag van f 2000 per jaar voor het tijdvak 1930-1932.

Moge de Heere de kleine kerken in Zuid-Amerika zegenen en ten zegen stellen. Kronk Hij daar toe ook den zeer gewaardeerden arbeid uw kerken te deze zake, alsmede onzen geringen arbeid.

De Heere zij met uwen en onzen geest!

Onder toebidding van 's Heeren licht en genade,

Met broedergroete,

R. ZIJLSTRA.
Mathenesserlaan 370a.
Rotterdam-Delfshaven

BIJLAGE II
Aan Deputaten der Christelijke Gereformeerde Kerk in Noord-Amerika voor Zuid-Amerika.

HOGGEGRACTE BROEDERS:—
In verband met de uitzending van een Herder en Leeraar voor de gemeente San Cayetano-Tres Arroyos door uw Kerken, en in ver-
band met het advies door U in deze zaak gegeven, verklaren ondergetekenden namens genoemde gemeente gaarne het volgende:

(1) Van ganscher harte stemmen wij in met het door Deputaten gegeven advies en met den weg, die in verband met de omstandigheden hier wordt bewandeld;

(2) Plechtig en nadrukkelijk beloven we den leeraar in het midden der gemeente en in de Classis de volle ambtelijke bevoegdheid te schenken, gedurende den tijd, dat hij in ons midden zal verkeeren, als ware hij wettig door de gemeente alhier beroepen en volgens het Formulier bevestigd als onzen eigen Herder en Leeraar;

(3) Bij voorbaat nemen wij genoegen met alle bepalingen, die de Synode te deze zake zou mogen vaststellen, omdat het gemeenschappelijk doel toch is, dat in ons midden gearbeid zal worden aan het heil der gemeente en de uitbreiding van Gods Koninkrijk in dit land;

(4) Ten slotte verklaren we nogmaals, dat we met blijdschap de komst van den leeraar tegemoet zien, dankbaar zijn voor hetgeen door Deputaten en Kerken voor ons wordt gedaan, en bidden, dat de arbeid van Ds. Bruxvoort door den Heere rijk gegezegend mag worden.

Vertrouwende dat met deze verklaringen onzerzijds eventuele bezwaren opgelost zullen kunnen worden, noemen we ons, na zeer harte­lijke groeten en heilbede, gaarne

Uw Broeders in Christus,

DIEGO ZIJLSTRA, Ouderling,
JAN OLTHOFF, Ouderling,
C. WATERDRINKEB, Ouderling,
ABRAHAM VANDER HORST, Diaken,
L. VANDER VELE, Diaken.

San Cayetano-Tres Arroyos, Maart, 1930.

De Consulent,

A. C. SONNEVELDT.
REPORT OF IMMIGRANTS' AND SEAMEN'S HOME

To the Synod of the Christian Reformed Church
to be held in Grand Rapids, Mich., June, 1930.

Esteemed Fathers and Brethren:
The Eastern Home Mission Board of Classes Hackensack and Hudson respectfully submit the following report in re the Holland Seamen’s Home and Immigration Bureau at Hoboken, N. J.

Our Holland Seamen’s Home is now located at 334 River Street on the corner of Fourth Street in Hoboken. The building consists of 3½ stories and has a very imposing appearance, facing the pier of the Holland-America Line. The ground floor, slightly below the level of the sidewalk, is used as Conversation Room for the seamen and to meet the immigrants on their arrival. Part of the upper floors is used as sleeping quarters for seamen, immigrants, etc. The Superintendent, Mr. M. J. Broekhuizen, and his family, the Assistant to the Superintendent, Mr. E. Apol, and the janitor, Mr. Cornelius Fisher, and his wife, who assists as matron, occupy rooms in the building.

Since Rev. Vander Woude, who had charge of the spiritual work and addressed the seamen from week to week when the opportunity presented itself, left for his new field in Canada, this work has been carried on by the Superintendent and his Assistant. The average attendance at these meetings held with the seamen was about 20. At the close of the meetings Bibles, Testaments, and other literature have been distributed upon request of the sailors. During the summer months the Home is not so well attended, due to the very warm weather. Most of the men, during these warm days, do not stay much
longer than required for writing letters and cards. It is then a continual coming and going. How many, however, in such a rush period make use of the Home became evident when, on a certain date, the letters and cards which had been written and deposited in our Mail Box were counted; they totaled over 400. Many personal talks are held with the boys which reveal the difficulties they have to contend with on board the ships. When they wish to read their Bible after work they are often ridiculed. They are, however, encouraged by our Superintendent and his Assistant to persevere, telling them that they must expect persecution in the world when they profess their principles. The ships are also visited regularly and personal work carried on with the sailors on board. As an example of the result of this personal work, we call the following from one of the recent reports of our Superintendent: "The following is an excerpt from a letter received from a boy who used to sail on the Holland-America Line and now serves in the Dutch Navy: "I would like to come to the States once, to come to the Seamen's Home, where I could read the Bible. The Bible you gave me is still in my possession and I keep it carefully. We have services every Sunday on board here and I always take my Bible with me and am very proud of it. It is also a remembrance of the Seamen's Home. You will remember me. I used to be a boatswain's boy on S. S. and you came into our cabin and spoke about the Word of God. I would have written before but had forgotten the address. Suddenly I remembered that you had put the address of the Home in the Bible. It was also on a card with the nice poem you had left on my bunk." In another letter received later this young man related how thankful he was that through the Bible given him he had learned to love God, and what a comfort it is to him in his life on the ship."

Hospitals are visited regularly where seamen are confined, and immigrants who are detained at Ellis Island are also called upon.
Immigrants and emigrants are assisted on the incoming and outgoing ships. When a steamer is in, there is very much activity. The Home is then crowded. The immigrants, on their arrival, are taken care of and most of them are brought immediately to the railroad stations after purchasing their tickets and getting them ready for their departure, while some remain in the Home overnight.

The following is a list of immigrants and emigrants assisted by us during 1928 and 1929:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Immigrants</th>
<th>Been Here</th>
<th>Emigrants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>1928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Canada</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>641</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total assisted during year, 1,260.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1929</th>
<th>1929</th>
<th>1929</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Canada</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>925</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>395</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total assisted during year, 1,658.
The destination of the immigrants was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>1928</th>
<th>1929</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Proposed Annual Budget for the Seaman’s Home for 1930-1932 is approximately as follows:

**Salaries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>$2,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Superintendent</td>
<td>1,560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janitor and Meter</td>
<td>1,560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,120.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Running Expenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas and Electricity</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs, maintenance and incidentals</td>
<td>520.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,620.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interest and Debt Reduction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual interest on $21,000 Mortgage and Notes</td>
<td>$1,260.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of Debt</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,260.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be received through gifts, contributions, etc.

Approximately 44 cents per family annually.

Respectfully submitted,

Eastern Home Mission Board,

J. L. Van Tielen, Sec.
SUPPLEMENT XI

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE FOR REFORMED LITERATURE

To the Synod of 1930.

Esteemed Fathers and Brethren:

Your Committee has the honor to report as follows:

After trying for a number of years to procure books dealing with the subjects previously reported to and approved by Synod, your Committee regrets very much to inform you that our attempts have not met with success. Several men have been approached and have agreed to write books on subjects assigned by the Committee, but as yet no manuscripts have been submitted, and the Committee has not been able to publish any books.

This experience has been very disappointing, and we have seriously considered the advisability of requesting Synod to discharge the Committee and assign the funds collected to some other cause. However, in view of the fact that literature of the type desired by Synod is really needed, we have found no liberty to make that request, and have decided to ask Synod’s permission to continue. Probably the method employed in the past was not the right one, and another method may produce better results. In the past we have appointed men to write books, and our experience has convinced us of the truth of the statement that, as a rule, books are not made to order.

The Committee now requests Synod to be allowed:

A. To publish an announcement in our official Church papers to the effect that correspondence is invited from those who have in their possession or who are willing to prepare manuscripts on subjects taken from the list previously approved by Synod. Such manuscripts—if accepted by the Committee—to be published;
B. To offer a prize for writing a book on the subject: "What Our Church Stands for"—especially in the light of present-day problems;

C. To procure for publication in pamphlet form, for the purpose of propaganda, expositions on burning questions of the day, such as Undenominationalism, Law and Grace, Pre-millennialism, Church Union, Covenant of Grace, Infant Baptism, etc.

Statement of Fund for Reformed Literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receipts</td>
<td>$2,639.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance, March 24, 1930</td>
<td>$2,609.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The books of the Treasurer have been audited and found correct by Messrs. J. B. Hulst and H. J. Voss.

Respectfully submitted,

The Committee,

L. Berkhof,
J. Hekman,
J. B. Hulst,
W. H. Jellema,
R. Postma,
B. H. Spalink,
J. M. Vande Kieft.
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

To the Synod of 1930.

Esteemed Brethren:

Our report will be concerned largely with the results of the survey ordered by the last Synod. Between 75% and 80% of our pastors and clerks have answered the questionnaire sent to them. We wish to express our thanks to the men who were willing to take the time and the trouble to furnish the requested information. We especially thank the brethren who were interested enough and kind enough to call our attention to special problems which they were meeting in their work, or to offer suggestions for the improvement of our catechetical instruction. In some cases these suggestions were as helpful as the information asked by the questionnaire itself.

The returns on the Sunday School work are not yet sufficient for a complete report, so that, as far as the survey is concerned, we will confine ourselves to the general condition of our catechetical instruction as reflected in the answers to the questionnaire.

I. TERM

It may be of interest to remind ourselves that in our churches we have about 33,000 catechumens. In the smaller rural churches we find an average of 2.2 catechumens per family, and in the large urban churches an average of 1.3 catechumens per family. The term average is 34 weeks. It appears that 11% of our churches conduct catechism classes 28 weeks or less, and 10% continue classes for more than 36 weeks. The average lies between 7 and 9 months, with a tendency to shorten the term as the church increases in size and operates under urban conditions. Of the churches
II. SCHOOL ORGANIZATION

(I. E. DIVISION INTO CLASSES)

The next point of interest is the division of our Church-schools into classes. The various ways in which this is done may be brought under six heads, and symbolized as follows:

Pr. Jr. Sr.

1) 0 Jr.— designation of the Church-school with no doctrine class between the Preparatory classes (Bible story and history) and the final doctrine class. In these schools the children, usually at the age of 15 or 16, pass out of the preparatory classes immediately into a mixed class of students all the way from 15 to 20 years.

In the reports we find 30 such schools, largely, as may easily be understood, among the smaller churches. Nevertheless, even among churches as high as 80 families, with more than 170 catechumens, we find cases in which pupils at the age of 14 or 15 pass out of the preparatory classes and immediately enter into a general young people's class in which they remain until confession of faith is made.

2) Next comes the school symbolized by 1 Jr.—. Here the graduates of the preparatory classes pass into a single junior doctrine class in which they remain for one to three or even six years before entering the senior or young people's class. About 80 of the schools that reported belong to this class, which is by far the largest single class of schools in our churches. Even among our very largest churches we find instances in which pupils pass out of the preparatory classes into a single junior class, and from
there join the mixed senior class in which they remain to the end. In this class we find the greatest lack of uniformity with respect to the age at which the pupil begins doctrinal work and the age at which he passes into the senior class. Some begin doctrinal work as early as 10; in other churches the pupil is 16 years of age before receiving any doctrinal instruction. On the other hand, in some schools the pupil is finished with his junior doctrine as early as 14 years of age (2 years earlier than pupils in some other schools begin to study doctrine), and at that early age join the mixed senior class, including all ages from 14 to 20.

3) The next class 2 Jr.-®-®... is represented by 44 of our Church-schools. This is the predominating class among our larger churches, but several of them are found even in our smaller country charges. In this class of schools the situation is much better, although also here there seems to be a marked difference of opinion as to the time when doctrinal work ought to be begun. Some begin their doctrinal classes at 11 years of age; others not until 14 years. The majority, however, begin doctrinal work at the age of 12, passing the pupil into the second junior class at the age of 14 (that is, at the age when they enter high school), in which class they remain until 16 or 17 years, and in quite a number of cases until the age of 18 years. In this class of schools the senior classes are, as a matter of course, much more of similar age, and the work of the senior class is in most cases more definitely preparatory for making confession of faith.

4) The symbol 3 Jr.-®-®... represents schools with the junior years divided into 3 classes. A hopeful number (35) of pastors have adopted this plan. The work here approaches close grading and makes for much better results. It may seem strange that the largest percentage of this class of schools is found, not in our largest churches, but in the medium-sized churches from 75 to 150 families. This is, no doubt, due to the fact that pastors in this class of churches have a sufficient number of catechumens to make close grading possible, and are not so overwhelmed with pastoral work and other duties that not sufficient time and
attention can be devoted to the educational work of the church.

5) Of truly closely graded schools with 4 junior years, or with 4 junior years followed by an intermediate class, we find only a very few instances, so that we will not speak of them at this time. The needs of a more closely graded system of education in our churches will be brought up later in our report. It may be mentioned, however, that also these instances are found in the group of medium-sized churches, and we evidently have pastors who see the need of organizing their catechetical work, that it may as much as possible be on a par with the organization of the day school which their pupils attend.

III. TEXT-BOOKS USED

In the preparatory grades (Bible story and history work) Borstius' Primer, Sacred History for Juniors, and Sacred History for Seniors are used in 264 classes; Borstius, Dyksterhuis, and Donner are used in 102 classes, while Dr. Kuizenga's books are used in 106 classes. With respect to the work in the preparatory grades and the text-books used, we will have a suggestion later in this report.

In the doctrinal classes we meet with a greater variety of text-books in use. The books of Dr. Beets and Bosma (Primer of Reformed Doctrine, Compendium Enlarged, and Catechism of Reformed Doctrine) are used by 96 classes. The books of Hylkema and Tunk (First, Second, and Third Book in Christian Doctrine) are used by 213 classes. The plain Compendium is used by 51, and Stuart and Van Wyk's Manual by 44 classes. (Note: This last figure is not so certain. We have met with several who had answered "Compendium" who were using the Manual or some other rendition of the Compendium.)

Among the more advanced classes the Students' Compendium is used in 35 classes, the plain Heidelberg Catechism by 19, Bosma's Exposition of Reformed Doctrine by 17, and Beets' Compendium Explained by 10 classes. Modifications of the Compendium by other authors are used by 8 classes.

In the few churches that conduct special classes for confessing members the recent text-books by Prof. Heyns on
Ref. Doctrine and by Dr. Beets on our Confession are in use. It may be interesting to note that Kort Begrip in the Holland language is used in 7 classes, Donner's Bijbel-sche Waarheden in 3, and Hellenbroek also in 3 classes.

When we survey the field of text-books used in teaching the doctrinal classes, the variety is not nearly as great as some have supposed. Compendium is still the basic text for almost all junior doctrine. The various text-books in use in the various classes may vary somewhat in scope and in method, but they are based upon the Compendium, follow the same order of treatment, and cover virtually the same ground.

In the more advanced classes some schools continue the study of the Compendium, using either a pithy summary like the Manual of Stuart and Van Wyk, or a more comprehensive work like Beets' Compendium Explained or Students' Compendium. Other pastors prefer the more theological method for their advanced classes, using Beets and Bosma's Catechism of Reformed Doctrine, Bosma's Exposition of Reformed Doctrine, or the Outlines for Seniors.

This leaves only the special classes of confessing members which, when not using the books already mentioned, are taking up advanced studies either in Prof. Heyns' Exposition of Reformed Doctrine or in Dr. Beets' Manual on the Confession.

There seems to be nothing in the text-book situation that can lead to confusion or that should cause concern. It may be deemed remarkable that, with all the efforts on the part of various men of our denomination for the past twenty-five years and more to provide text-books adapted to present-day needs, virtually all follow the same material that has been in vogue in our churches since their earliest days. The text-books are either enlarged versions of or commentaries upon the Compendium, or they are manuals on Reformed Doctrine used in advanced classes that have already been grounded in the Compendium.

The Committee is of the opinion that, while there is much room for improvement in our catechetical work in general, there does not seem to be any need for Synod at this time to advise a change with respect to the text-books
in use. It should be kept in mind that our churches vary greatly in size, and that they operate under conditions that are vastly dissimilar, so that there is need of a number of texts from which to select. We can only advise that pastors seek to grade their catechetical schools as closely as the number of pupils and the circumstances will allow, and that they exercise care in selecting the texts best suited for these grades.

IV. CHURCH HISTORY AND CHURCH GOVERNMENT

In answer to the question, whether any provision was made for the study of Church History and Government, about 30 pastors replied that this was taken care of more or less incidentally in the regular doctrinal classes or in the Young People's Societies. This question drew forth several inquiries as to a suitable text for this subject. Your Committee is sorry that it cannot point to a simple text suitable for such a class. We are of the opinion that the great facts of Church History in general, and the main features of the history of our Reformed Churches, should be taught in our Church-schools as well as the elements of Church government. Most of our young people grow up with virtually no knowledge of the history of the Christian Church, and a number make confession of faith with only a vague notion of the government of the Church of which they are members, and in the life and work of which they are called to take an active part. If intelligent citizenship requires that American history and civil government be taught in our schools, it is still more necessary that church history and government be taught to our young people, that they have a better appreciation of the Church and its heritage, a better understanding of the task to which it is called, and a better comprehension of the various currents of religious thought by which we are surrounded.

A grasp of the essentials of Church History will make for an intelligent type of loyalty, the kind of loyalty that is especially needed in our day. And a knowledge of the fundamentals of our church government will be a great aid in promoting intelligent cooperation of the church with its office-bearers and in the development of leadership in the Church.
In the judgment of the Committee the cause of the Church would be well served if provision were made for a short course in these two branches of study. Some Christian schools give a course in Church History. Wherever this is the case, the Church-school can devote itself more exclusively to history and work of our denomination. To be able to do this work efficiently, suitable text-books will have to be written. With respect to these text-books we would make the following general suggestions: The text-book on Church History should provide for a course of not more than one year, say 28 lessons, leaving time for reviews and tests. It should preferably have a biographical emphasis. Bringing out also the great controversies that have arisen, so that this study may be of both historical and doctrinal value to the pupil. The manual on Church government should provide for a course of not more than half a year (about 14 or 16 lessons). It should be elementary, and set forth such features as every church-member should know in order to take an intelligent interest and share in the work of the Church. We sincerely hope that men who are versed in these branches of knowledge, and have a knack of writing for young people, will soon provide our Church-schools with suitable manuals for this purpose.

It is not necessary, however, to be idle in the meantime. There is a little book used in several of our Christian schools, “Sketches from Church History,” by Mr. B. J. Bennink, which in many respects is an excellent manual on general Church History, and can be used with excellent results by our churches. It contains 52 short lessons, written for 8th graders. In our opinion the style is somewhat heavy for the average child of this age, and the book can be used to still better advantage by young people in the 10th grade. The book would be still more useful if review questions appeared after each lesson or group of lessons, but these can be supplied without any great effort by the individual teacher. The lessons are short. Two of them can be easily taken at one time, so that it can conveniently be finished in one year. We would recommend that wherever no other provision is made for the study of Church History, pastors make a beginning by using this book. Further information
may be had by writing to Principal A. S. De Jong, 10431 So.
State St., Chicago, Ill.

V. TESTS AND REPORTS

To the question whether regular tests were conducted in
catechetical classes, 91 answered "No," 32 stated that they
conducted weekly or occasional oral tests, and 61 answered
"Yes." Of these last only some 20 informed us that regular
written tests were conducted. One of the advantages of
a more general use of the same text would be this, that it
would be more feasible to have sets of tests printed, so that
pastors would find this part of the work made easier. This,
no doubt, would be a step ahead in the standardization of
our catechetical instruction.

It is the opinion of the Committee that, if at all possible,
regular written tests should be conducted at least in the
Junior classes in doctrine. If such tests are held and the
test-papers properly graded and handed back to the pupil,
they will do much to encourage faithful study on the part
of the pupil. They will serve also as a valuable guide to the
teacher, since they will enable him to check up constantly
on the efficacy of his work. If frequent tests are deemed
indispensable in our day-schools, they are equally indis­
pensable in our Church-schools. Moreover, the pupil should
never get the impression that study and effort devoted to
the catechetical work is of less consequence than it is for
the day-school. Properly conducted tests, say every two
months, are too valuable a means to stimulate the interest
of the class, to uphold the morale of the school, and to guide
the teacher in his work, to be neglected.

The Committee feels the same way about sending report
cards to parents after each test. With respect to this ques­
tion 141 answered that they did not send report cards, 11
stated that they reported only occasionally, or when the
pupil was not doing satisfactory work, while 35 stated that
they kept parents regularly in touch with the work of the
children by sending them a report.

The practice of sending report cards is to be encouraged.
One of the most frequent complaints brought in by pastors
is this, that it seems so difficult to secure the cooperation of the home. Too many send their children to catechism in the same spirit in which they send a bundle of soiled linen to the laundry. They expect the work to be done by those who are paid for doing it with the least possible inconvenience to themselves. This attitude is becoming more widespread as the distractions of life multiply, and the old simplicity of home-life disappears. The Committee feels convinced that all the ways and means that may be devised to improve catechetical instruction given in the brief hour once a week in the class-room, will bear little fruit if we cannot win back the parents of homes to take a more sincere interest and to give a more whole-hearted cooperation in the work of instructing their children. This is a situation which is in itself worthy of the most serious thought and effort on the part of our pastors, and there seems to be the need of a special and concerted campaign to awaken our people on this subject. From earliest days our churches have stood strong on the point of the education of our youth. We may call it one of the distinguishing features of our church-life. Nevertheless there is increasing need to take to heart the warning, “Hold fast that which thou hast, that no one take thy crown.” The official work of the Church can serve only to retard decadence and to defer the time of ultimate collapse, unless Christian parents take seriously the solemn promise given at the baptism of their children.

But it is also true that pastors do not always use the means at hand to stimulate the interest of parents and to keep them duly informed with respect to the work of their children. One of the means is the sending of report cards at regular intervals. It has been abundantly proven that both pupils and parents take a much greater interest in the catechetical work wherever this is done. Some men have informed us that sending report-cards is impracticable, because it is difficult to get the cards back after they have been sent out. It will be found, however, that this difficulty will vanish almost entirely after a while, if the practice is kept up. A word from the pulpit and a little help from the consistory will usually be sufficient to bring careless and
negligent parents in line. The Committee proposes to de­
vice a report card which may serve the needs of most of our
pastors and which can be procured at a nominal price.

VI. CLASS-ROOMS AND EQUIPMENT

No class of questions drew forth more comment and
suggestions than did those pertaining to the class-room and
equipment. It was reported by 151 of our pastors that the
seats in use were none other than church-pews or chairs of
some kind. Only 27 reported that their class-rooms were
equipped with desks or tablet-arm chairs, so that written
work can be done in class. Several pastors expressed them­
selves very feelingly on this subject. Most of our churches,
especially in the past, have not been planned with any view
towards the educational work of the church. The audito­
rium of the church, a general utility room attached to the
auditorium, or else a room more or less gloomy and for­
bidding in some corner of the basement, are the places
where the majority of our pastors spends several hours of
the week teaching the young hope of the Church.

This is true in quite a number, even of our largest
churches built in more recent years. The auditorium is
planned with care. The basement is designed especially
with the social needs of the church in view. Kitchens and
large assembly rooms have been the prime consideration.
But usually very little thought has been given to the educa­
tional work. More than one-third of our churches of over
150 families provide no other seats for their class-room
than discarded pews, and only one-third provide the chil­
dren with writing facilities.

When asked whether their class-rooms compared fa­
vorably with the school-rooms of the day school in neatness
and general cheerful effect, 85 pastors answered emphat­
ically "No," 20 answered "fair," while about 80 felt that
their class-rooms measured up to the day school class­
rooms in neatness and cheerfulness, if not in equipment.
A few of our pastors are very fortunate in that they have
splendid class-rooms and all the needed equipment for
their school work.

The Committee would urge our churches to pay greater
attention to this matter. We would advise consistories and building committees to keep in mind that good class-rooms are just as important as a good auditorium, and to consult the needs of this important branch of the church work when planning a new church building. It is certainly true that the efficiency of catechetical work depends most of all upon the consecration, personality, and ability of the pastor or teacher. But, while Hopkins on one end of a log and a student on the other may constitute a university, it will nevertheless be a university laboring under unnecessary difficulties. Both pastors and pupils are greatly handicapped in their work when proper facilities and equipment are withheld from them. If we remember that the type of church membership that will prevail in the next generation will depend largely upon the instruction and the impressions received by our children now, during the twelve years of their school life, it will be felt that the church owes the very best it can give to the Church-school.

Many of our churches are so situated that matters can be very much improved without much additional expense. A well-lighted class-room above ground is the ideal. But in cases where this is less feasible, it is very well possible to build a generously-proportioned class-room in the basement, even if this should mean that the general assembly room has to be cut up for this purpose. The educational work, carried on every week, is of much greater importance than occasional socials or meetings of that kind.

We are aware, however, that many of our smaller churches see no immediate hope of making radical changes. Pastors in these charges will have to take encouragement from the fact that a good craftsman can do acceptable work even with a limited equipment of tools. But even their situation can be improved if they show themselves deeply interested in this work, and can succeed in arousing their consistories to see the importance of providing the best that is possible under the circumstances.

A portable blackboard of sufficient size can be owned even by the smallest church, and can be used to good advantage in every class. It seems strange that over fifty of our pastors, who have blackboards at their disposal, never
make use of them, and that only sixty report that they make use of a blackboard with any kind of regularity in the class-room work. We believe that the teacher who has acquired an aptitude for pointing his lessons and lectures with blackboard jottings, and so brings home to the class the salient points of the lesson, has gained much in making his teaching effective.

It is possible, even in the smallest churches, to provide writing facilities for the pupils. Wherever possible desks or chairs with tablet arms should be installed. Rubber-tipping the legs of these chairs will do away with the noise of which some pastors complain. Writing facilities for the pupils are indispensable, not only for written tests, but also for the regular class-work. Much of the effectiveness of the class-work is lost unless the pupils and young people have learned to take notes, and this they should learn to do during the years of Primary and Junior doctrine.

Wherever it is not possible to provide chairs or benches with tablet arms, it will be found possible to construct some fairly serviceable makeshifts. A few pastors arrange their pupils around a table. A better way is to construct simple writing-benches which can be placed in front of the chairs and which are the right height for comfortable writing. These benches can be constructed by any carpenter at small cost. They can be tiered up in a corner when not in use, and they are useful around the church for many purposes. If any of the pastors of small churches are interested, they may send to the Secretary for drawings and further suggestions.

VII. GREATER UNIFICATION NEEDED IN THE EDUCATIONAL WORK OF OUR CHURCHES

In this last chapter of our report the Committee would urge that steps be taken to make possible a greater unification of the educational work carried on in our churches. As has been said, the reports concerning the work of the Sunday Schools have not come in in sufficient numbers to enable us to form a reliable opinion on this subject. This much is apparent, however, that outside of kindergarten and some primary work, our Sunday Schools almost uni-
versally follow the International Sunday School lesson system. We are fortunate indeed that we have able men who are devoting themselves to the task of preparing these lessons for our own Sunday Schools every week. We have nothing but praise for our instructor and for the primary leaflets printed by these men.

The fact is, that however valuable the International System of lessons may be, it is not satisfactory especially in the Primary and Junior Departments. The sequence of the International lessons does not foster a conception of God's revelation to man as a progressive and unified whole. It does not help the pupil to acquire a knowledge of Sacred History. If the same method were followed in the day school, and pupils were given selections from the Colonial period in one quarter, from the Civil War in another, followed by the period of early discoveries and then jumping to the time of the World War, etc., the result would be utterly confusing. The idea of a divine record of revelation running through the channel of continuous history, a history controlled by God throughout for the accomplishment of his great purpose upon earth, is one that is foreign to the International scheme. And yet, it is this thought that our children must learn to grasp, if they are to have a truly Reformed world- and-life-view, and to have a solid backing of historical knowledge for their later studies in Christian doctrine. Even in the Bible Story classes the historical order should be observed.

Voices of dissatisfaction with the International system are heard from an increasing number of our churches, and the Committee believes that it is time to look seriously into this matter. In view of these things, and also in view of the instructions of the Synod of 1928 has given this Committee (see Acta 1928, p. 31), we venture to give suggestion as to this matter.

Before we go on, however, we wish to point to another great need, or rather, the same need in another quarter. The questionnaire also inquired into the primary work of our Church-schools, that is the work in Bible Stories and Sacred History. It was found that practically all churches continue to conduct these classes even where the majority
of the children attend Christian schools. (It may be said here that the 90 Christian schools are attended by children from 119 of our churches, and that of our 20,000 children in the primary schools about 13,000 receive Christian instruction.)

Many pastors, however, are dissatisfied with the textbooks at present available. The usual "question-books," in attempting to cover the entire ground of Sacred History in a single term, compress too much material into one lesson. On the other hand, it is difficult to select from other books that are available, a course that is adapted to the needs of the various grades, and at the same time faithfully follows the line of sacred history. We have received several communications on this subject, and from experience and observation we believe that our children should be put in possession of a well worked out and properly illustrated series of "Readers" covering the entire field of Bible Story and Sacred History.

This is the need of our Sunday Schools and also of our primary catechetical classes. But now, since both deal with the same child at about the same age, it follows that for the sake of the best interests of our children, the two should be one. In other words, the Committee feels that it should be our ideal to arrive at a Church-school in which a consistent course of Bible Story, Sacred History, and Christian Doctrine is given, and in which the time and energies given to two departments which at present are entirely unrelated, can be employed in a unified course.

There may be churches who do not see it in this way, and who feel a strong attachment to the traditional Sunday School. These churches need not feel disturbed about this part of our report. We feel that no attempt should be made to coerce anyone, and that each church should be left free to decide for itself in this matter. But there are also churches that are highly dissatisfied with the present material available for both Sunday Schools and primary catechetical classes, and it should be made possible for these churches to procure material for a more systematic course of Bible study for their children. We also have a large class of churches without Christian school facilities, so that
the children of these schools are entirely dependent upon
the instruction given in the home and by the Church. In
these cases it is above all necessary to make the best pos­
sible use of the time and opportunities offered, to give the
children a thorough and consistent course in Bible knowl­
dge. We believe that if such a course is made available
it will be gratefully adopted by an increasing number of
our churches.

The Committee asks that Synod express its approval of a
unified Church-school, embodying a progressive course of
study in Sacred History and Christian Doctrine, making use
of the time and teaching talent now employed in both cate­
chetical classes and the Sunday School. It must be re­
peated that no single plan can be worked out that will suit
the needs of all churches. The Committee wishes never­
theless to present a basic plan which it believes to be logical
and practicable, and which is susceptible of considerable
modification to meet the needs of a large number of our
churches. The plan is virtually the same as that which
appeared in our report to the last Synod. It then appeared
merely as an illustration of a coordinated or unified cour­
ses of instruction which was hinted at in the report. The Com­
mitee now comes before Synod with this same idea, asking
Synod to approve of it, so that the Committee may begin to
take definite steps in working out a course of this kind and
offering it to the churches. The diagram on pages 72 and
73 may be helpful toward a better understanding of the
remarks that follow.

The plan as illustrated includes:

1. A Course in Bible Story work and Sacred History.
   (Usually from kindergarten to 8th grade inclusive.)
   A. Three years of Kindergarten and Primary work
      (grades 0, 1, and 2);
   B. A three years' course in Bible Stories (grades 3,
      4, and 5).

   During these three years the great stories of the
   Bible are studied in consecutive fashion. The pu­
pils memorize questions and answers, stanzas of
   the Psalms, etc., in the usual way. Each lesson is
devoted to one Bible story. The "Readers" should be attractively illustrated.

C. A three years' course in elementary Sacred History (grades 6, 7, and 8).

It is still a question whether the lesson material should be prepared to appear in Sunday School papers or in the form of text-books. But it is clear, whatever way it is to be published, that it is to appear with good illustrations, and to be accompanied with maps. The course should be worked out so as to give the pupil a good idea of the geography of Bible lands and of Bible customs.

The Instructor Publishing Company has signified its willingness to supply the needed material. It will be understood, however, that some time, possibly a year or two, will have to pass before the lessons are prepared and ready to be introduced.

This plan would also enhance the value of Teachers’ Training Classes, and make it easier to work out an effective teachers’ training course. A set of teachers’ manuals, composed with a view to the needs of each grade would, in our opinion, be a greater aid to the teacher in preparing the lesson than the average "Teachers' Meeting." And, as is the case in the day school, teaching the same grade for a few years will make for better work. We believe that this
method is one that makes for better preparation, greater pleasure in teaching, and more fruitful results as far as the pupils are concerned.

II. Primary and Junior Doctrine

According to the plan illustrated by the diagram, the Bible Story and Sacred History work is taken care of entirely by the Sunday School. But somewhere around the 7th grade it is time to introduce the pupil into the study of Christian doctrine. We believe that the period of begin-

mers and junior doctrine should cover at least four years, and be graded as closely as possible. The work done in this period is of the utmost importance. It is the period for drill-work in the fundamentals of Christian doctrine, for the thorough memorizing of the questions and answers of essential definitions and of foundation texts.

Since there seems to be no special dearth of material to fill the present need, it is not necessary to say any more on that head. This does not mean that there is no room or need for improvement. Undoubtedly as time goes on the text-books available for Primary and Junior doctrine classes will be improved. As our Church-schools become better organized and a more definite plan of instruction is adopted and followed by our churches, it will be easier to provide texts adapted to the needs of the various grades.
III. The Intermediate Course

We have already spoken of the desirability of an Intermediate class in Church History and Church Government. We foresee that it may take some time before we have the needed texts, but we hope that with the encouragement of Synod men will be found who will provide manuals for these classes. We have already mentioned a good little text-book that may be used for Church History, and it should not be difficult for any pastor to make a simple 14 or 16-lesson outline of the principles and essential elements of Reformed Church government.

Something might be said as to the best period at which to insert these subjects. Some will give preference to the senior years. Others will feel that these subjects can be handled more effectively in an Intermediate class. The best way, no doubt, is to maintain an experimental attitude. At least for the time being. In the diagram these branches appear in an Intermediate class of two years. One year of Church History, one semester of Church Government, and one semester of review of Christian doctrine are suggested there. We hope that a number of our pastors will try out this plan and will then let us have the benefit of their experience.

IV. The Senior Class

Since also sufficient material is available for the Senior classes, it is not needful to say much on this subject. Under fairly normal circumstances the senior classes will consist almost entirely of professing members. Parents and consistory, as well as pastors everywhere, should help in creating a custom that young confessing members, as a matter of course, continue to avail themselves of the instruction offered by the Church. There are far too many of our young confessing members who consider it a privilege to be exempt from further attendance at catechetical classes. The very ones who need further instruction the most, are often the most prone to take advantage of the fact that they can no longer be compelled to go. In fact, cases are not so rare in which it may be suspected that confession of faith
was prompted largely by a desire to be released from the irksome obligation of attending catechetical classes.

It may be true that with public profession of faith a change takes place with respect to the status of the young man or young woman in the church. But the instruction received during his years of ecclesiastical minority has so far failed of its purpose, if this change of status serves only to reveal a lack of interest in further equipping himself for the Master's use.

We believe, however, that where this attitude has become more or less traditional, we as churches are not entirely without blame. Too often is the impression given as if the significance of catechetical instruction lies chiefly in this, that it is preparation for the making of public confession. The instruction furnished by the Church as equipment and training for active and fruitful membership is an idea that has possibly not been stressed as it might have been.

In the Senior course especially the student should be led to see Reformed truth in its direct bearing upon Christian life and activity. Its great aim should be to give the student a clear grasp of the fundamentals of Reformed doctrine:

(1) as contrasted with past and especially with current divergent views;

(2) as the basis of a Reformed life- and world-view. That is, it should show the direct and vital significance of these doctrines with respect to the life and the work of the Kingdom.

* * * * *

V. The Advanced Sunday School Classes

In the plan outlined by the diagram, the Sunday School classes after the 7th grade run parallel to the catechetical classes. In other words, instruction is given not one hour, but two hours each week. During the first two years (grades 7 and 8, the time of "Beginners' Doctrine"), the course in Sacred History is finished in the Sunday School. From that time on, it is suggested, a course be worked out for the ad-
vanced Sunday School classes which shall be coördinated with the studies taken up in the catechetical classes, such as Bible Content, Practical Christian Ethics (after the manner of "What it Means to be a Christian," "The Problems of Youth in Social Life," "Special Problems in Christian Living," and "The World as a Field for Christian Service," published by the Presbyterian Board of Publication), the History of Christian Missions, and finally, Senior Classes and Teachers' Training Class.

* * * * *

The Committee feels, however, that if anything is to be accomplished, we should not undertake too much at once. The great need for the present is a thorough preparatory course of Bible Story and Sacred History work, and we believe that we should confine ourselves to that for the present.

The advantages of such a graded preparatory course to take the place of the small "Question Book" classes conducted by pastors or elders, may be summed up as follows:

(1) The work can be closely graded, which is impossible if the pastor is required to teach also the Bible Story and Sacred History classes. Since the teaching of Bible Stories and Sacred History does not form an essential part of catechetical instruction, there can be no objection, as far as any principle involved is concerned, to appoint teachers who are trained to teach these classes;

(2) The plan makes possible a rather complete 3 years course in Sacred History. Any attempt to cover the ground in one year, as is made in the question books in common use, cannot give the best results;

(3) In the graded plan the classes will be smaller, and a teacher with a small group of children, all belonging to the same grade, can do much better work than a pastor facing a large class of dissimilar ages. This is especially true when a teacher meets a class only for one hour a week;

(4) This plan gives the pastor an opportunity to devote himself to the teaching of doctrinal classes, and it will enable him to effect a closer grading of these
classes. There is possibly no single situation that offers a greater handicap to effective teaching during the early years of doctrinal work than a considerable diversity in the ages of the pupils;

(5) This plan will make it easier, not only to aid the teachers by providing "Teachers' Helps" designed for special grades, but also to devise means to secure better cooperation from the home. Once a considerable number of our churches have adopted this plan, it will be found easier to bring about a concerted effort to interest parents in the educational work of the Church.

* * * *

At the end of our report it is fitting that we record the loss of a beloved brother and valued member of our Committee, Mr. B. Sevensma. Since the last Synod God took him out of the midst of his labors here and took him home to his reward. The Committee expresses its sincere appreciation of the work done by Mr. Sevensma, and at the same time expresses its sincere sympathy with those who were bereaved by his death.

We announce that the Educational Conference, authorized by last Synod, has not yet been held. It was felt that we should have more complete data and a more definite program of action before a conference could be expected to be very helpful. With the consent of Synod we hope to arrange for a conference during the next term.

In studying the educational work of our churches the Committee asked itself the question whether it would not be possible and profitable that a course of pedagogy and practical catechetical methods be provided for our prospective ministers? The Committee would like to lay this question before Synod. We believe there is need for such a course somewhere in our institution, and that it will be helpful toward bringing our educational work up to a higher standard.

We would call the attention of Synod to the fact that the survey which was held has been of great help to the Committee, and it is suggested that a similar survey be held
every four years, so that the Committee may have definite data with which to work.

Finally, we bring to the notice of Synod that the terms of two of our members, Rev. L. J. Lamberts and Dr. H. H. Meeter have expired. Mr. Sevensma's term would also have expired at this time. Three men will therefore have to be appointed for a term of six years.

Respectfully submitted,

The Committee on Education,
L. J. Lamberts, President,
G. W. Hylkema, Secretary,
H. H. Meeter,
P. A. Hoekstra,
G. J. Van Wesep,
W. Stuart,
A. J. Rooks,
A. Peters.
SUPPLEMENT XIII

REPORT NO. V OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE IMPROVEMENT OF OUR PUBLIC WORSHIP

To the Synod of 1930.

ESTEEMED BRETHREN:

Ever since the Synod of 1916 the matter of the improvement of our Public Worship has been before our Churches. At that time a Committee was appointed, consisting of three members, in 1918 enlarged to seven members, which has submitted various reports on this matter to our Synods.

Although the Committee was at times disheartened because of the abundance of unfavorable criticism leveled at its proposed Order of Worship, and at one time even kindly asked Synod to be discharged, Synod has repeatedly encouraged the Committee to continue its labors, and expressed the desirability of a uniform but flexible Order of Worship, in which the various elements are logically and psychologically arranged into one organic whole, and in which the congregation takes a more active part than was hitherto customary among us.

For a brief history of the labors of the Committee we may refer to our Report No. IV, found in the Acta Synodi 1928, p. 276-278.

Finally, after twelve years of preliminary labor, the Synod of 1928 adopted with some minor changes the proposed Order of Worship for the first service on the Lord's Day (Acta, p. 55), and urged our Churches to take the necessary steps to introduce this new Order of Worship, with the understanding, however, that the peace and welfare of those Churches in which there might be considerable opposition should not be imperiled. The Classes were instructed to strengthen the hands of the Consistories by urging them, especially through the Church Visitors, to make progress in this matter (Acta, p. 60).

Synod also urged our Consistories not to make any changes in their Public Worship other than those included in the Order adopted by Synod. It impressed upon them the fact that denominational unity and loyalty require that all
the Churches shall conform to whatever decisions touching this matter have been taken, unless they shall be proved to be contrary to God's Word. It further insisted that Consistories which subsequently might desire other changes, should refrain from introducing them by independent action, but make known their desires through the regular channels (Acta, p. 61).

The Committee on the Improvement of Public Worship was continued and received the following instructions:

1. To prepare a brief Directory of Worship, for the convenience of ministers and congregations, and to publish the same, with the understanding that it shall be incorporated in succeeding editions of the Psalter. It was added that this Directory should be prepared in the Dutch, English, and German languages (Acta, page 61).

2. To prepare an Order of Worship for the other services on the Lord's Day and for special services on week-days. In connection with this, two suggestions of the Committee of Pre-advice were referred to this Committee:
   a. To give the element of praise a prominent place in the Order of Worship for the second service on the Lord's Day.
   b. To retain the Credo in the second service. (Acta, pages 56, 57).

3. To advise Synod in regard to the Order of Worship when the services are conducted by unordained men (Acta, p. 57).

4. To advise Synod in regard to the Order of Worship for Preparatory and Communion Services (Acta, page 57).

* * *

In accordance with the first instruction of Synod, your Committee, in the fall of 1928, prepared and published a brief Directory containing the Order of Morning Worship on the Lord's Day, and sent a sufficient number of copies for each consistory member to all our congregations. The remaining copies were sold at a nominal price, and the proceeds turned over to the Synodical Treasurer.

In passing we may add here that we did not prepare a
German edition of the Directory, because we did not feel ourselves qualified for this task. If Synod insists on a German edition, we would respectfully suggest that its preparation be entrusted to a special Committee consisting of men well versed in the German language.

In preparing the Directory your Committee faithfully followed the Synodically adopted Order of Worship, leaving room for the various optional elements approved by Synod.

Your Committee, however, allowed itself the liberty of making one rather important change in terminology. Instead of the word *Absolution*, the term *Assurance of Pardon* was used. It appeared, even at that early date, that the word *Absolution* could not find favor with many of our people, because they imagined that it smacks too much of Romanism. Now although the Reformed Churches have always used this word (for instance, in the Form for Readmitting Excommunicated Persons), attaching a significance to it radically different from that of the Church of Rome, it was nevertheless thought best to substitute the term *Assurance of Pardon*, because it is not liable to be misunderstood. An additional reason for making this change was the discovery that the latter term is in use in some of the Presbyterian Churches of our country, and is therefore not altogether foreign to Churches of Calvinistic profession and worship. Your Committee trusts that this change, which is merely a change in terminology, will meet with the approval of Synod.

* * *

Since the Synod of 1928 the new Order of Worship, and in particular the Directory published by your Committee, was subjected to a great deal of criticism, much of it entirely beside the mark, due to misunderstanding or prejudice. It certainly is not necessary to refute criticism of the latter kind. But some objections have been advanced which are worthy of consideration. There are four of these which we shall briefly consider:

1. From the standpoint of church polity the authority of Synod to adopt an Order of Worship for all our Churches has again been called into question. It has been said that Synod overstepped its authority, and that Synodical regulation of Public Worship conflicts with the freedom of the local Churches.

Your Committee would like to call the attention of Synod to the fact that this very question was brought before the
Synod of 1926 (Acta, p. 43). Your Committee asked Synod at that time to declare itself on this point, because as long as there was uncertainty in this matter, we did not deem it fair that Synod should require of us to devote our time and strength to a task concerning which it was not even certain that Synod considered it to be her task. Our request was granted. Synod decided to express itself as favoring a uniform but flexible Order of Worship for our Churches on these grounds:

a. Denominational unity is expressed by uniformity of worship as well as by unity of doctrine and of discipline;

b. Our Church Order contains a number of provisions regarding our Public Worship which imply the necessity of unity and uniformity in Public Worship (Arts. 16, 20, 56, 57, 58, 62, 63, 66, 67, 69, 76, 77, 78);

c. In the past contributions to uniformity in liturgy have been made, such as the Forms for Baptism, the Lord's Supper, etc.;

d. There is a growing sentiment for a better Order of Worship;

e. The uniformity sanctioned by custom and tradition is gradually being broken.

For more than two years no protest was raised against this decision regarding this fundamental question. No one recorded his dissent from the position here taken.

Now to say the least, it certainly seems out of order to raise this question again at this late date, especially since as far as we are aware no serious attempt has been made to overthrow the grounds upon which this decision of the Synod of 1926 rests. What was once decided should be considered settled and binding, unless it be proved to conflict with the Word of God or with the Articles of the Church Order.

2. It has been said that the Committee exceeded its authority in publishing in the Directory some directions and some forms for the Confession of Sins and the Offertory Prayer which were not submitted to the Synod.

This objection is due to a misunderstanding for which your Committee, however, is willing to assume part of the blame. We should have explained in the Preface to the Directory that the words Adopted by Synod on the title-page cov-
ered only the Order of Worship, not the Directory. The Order was adopted by Synod, but the Directory, of course, was only the work of the Committee, as such has no official sanction, and is still awaiting the approval of Synod.

Hence our authority for inserting some additional forms which were not submitted to the previous Synod lies in Synod's instruction to the Committee to prepare a Directory. This Directory was to be published "for the convenience of ministers and congregations," and naturally would include much more than the bare outline of the Order of Worship.

In preparing this Directory, your Committee thought it best to include a few additional forms, and in this was led especially by two considerations. In the first place, this would make the Order of Worship still more flexible, and flexibility is what Synod wanted. And in the second place, this would forestall the objection (an objection which is certainly not without some weight) that one form repeated over and over again is apt to lead to dead formalism.

For the same reasons your Committee has thought it advisable to include in the Directory, as we now herewith submit it to Synod, two additional forms for the Assurance of Pardon. We have also made a few other changes. In making these we have profited by the experience of the Churches which have introduced the new Order, as well as by certain constructive suggestions and criticisms offered by some brethren. For instance, the form for the Assurance of Pardon contained in our previous report and also in the published Directory, although based upon forms used in the sixteenth century Reformed Churches and hence venerable because of antiquity, was objected to by some brethren on account of the personal pronoun ("I proclaim"). This objection cannot be lodged against the forms included in the revised Directory as herewith submitted.

3. As was to be expected, especially the so-called "Service of Reconciliation" with its "Absolution" has been the target of many conscientious objectors.

Your Committee cannot but feel that a great deal of this unfavorable criticism was in reality aimed at the terminology which was used. In other words, if the second group of elements had not been named the "Service of Reconciliation," and if the declaration of the Gospel following the Confession of Sins had not been named the "Absolution," but very few objections would have been heard.
What is more fitting than that the Reading of the Law be followed by a Confession of Sins, and that this in turn be followed by the Gospel contained in the Assurance of Pardon?

To make this clear, namely, that the Assurance of Pardon is nothing but a declaration of the essence of the Gospel, we have included in the Directory as herewith submitted two additional forms couched in words literally taken from the Word of God.

Now in regard to the "Service of Reconciliation," we would like to call the attention of Synod to the fact that the nomenclature used to designate the five groups of elements in the Order of Morning Worship as found in the Directory published in the fall of 1928 (namely, Introductory Service, Service of Reconciliation, Service of Thanksgiving, Service of the Word, and Closing Service), was not adopted by Synod. All that the Synod of 1928 adopted is found on page 55 of the Acta, namely, the various elements of Public Worship and the order in which these are to follow one another. Hence the term "Service of Reconciliation," to which strenuous objection has been made, was not adopted by Synod. It was merely a proposal of the Committee to use this term for the second group of elements composing our Order of Public Worship.

Now although your Committee cannot admit the validity of this objection, because the word reconciliation in this connection was, of course, meant by us in the sense of a renewal of the consciousness of reconciliation, of which God's people are ever again in need, yet in view of the fact that the term "Service of Reconciliation" has given rise to misunderstanding and misinterpretation, we would propose that it be omitted. The grouping of the various elements under different headings (Introductory Service, Service of Reconciliation, etc.) is not essential to the scheme. In the Directory as herewith submitted to Synod we have therefore omitted these headings.

No doubt most of the objections lodged against this second group of elements would never have been raised if the Committee had not used the terms "Service of Reconciliation" and "Absolution." We regret that we did not discover the term "Assurance of Pardon" a year or two earlier. Those who object that there is no room for reconciliation in our Public Worship because the meeting of God with His people presupposes reconciliation as an established fact, can have
no possible objection to an "Assurance of Pardon," the need of which is admitted by all. Even if we admit, for the sake of argument, that reconciliation and absolution can in no sense be elements of our Public Worship, this objection cannot possibly hold against an "Assurance of Pardon," because we are all agreed that there certainly is room in our Public Worship for elements which tend to confirm God's people in their assurance of reconciliation.

It has, indeed, been objected that the Introductory Service with its Salutation already presupposes that when we meet for Public Worship we meet our God as a redeemed and reconciled people, and that this fact is contradicted by the following elements. But is this true? Not by any means! To be sure, we meet God as a redeemed and reconciled people, and receive in the Salutation the assurance of His grace, mercy and peace. But is this contradicted by the Reading of the Law, the Confession of Sins, and the Assurance of Pardon? Not at all! Certainly is the Law our rule of thankfulness, but it also is, and ever remains, our teacher of sin, and leads the Christian ever again to confession of sin. Applying it as a rule of thankfulness, we discover our shortcomings! Certainly we have forgiveness of sins, but this fact does not exclude prayer for the forgiveness of sins. This seeming contradiction is after all soundly Scriptural. It is a fact that Scripture teaches us that as God's redeemed people we have forgiveness of all our debts, past, present, and future, but it is also a fact that Jesus teaches us daily to pray: "And forgive us our debts as we forgive our debtors."

4. There remains only the objection that according to Q. 84 of our Catechism the assurance of pardon belongs to the Service of the Word, and that it is wrong to place it in another part of our Public Worship, set apart from the Service of the Word. Thus, it is claimed, the wrong impression is fostered as though the assurance of pardon given in the liturgy is something quite different from and of greater value than the assurance of pardon given in the preaching of the Word.

Your Committee would observe that this objection is certainly not a very weighty one. We take it that the assurance of pardon given in a liturgical service is not something radically different from that given in the Service of the Word, but that these two are essentially the same. The former is an assurance of pardon given in official language, the language
of the Church, or perhaps preferably in language directly taken from the Word of God. The latter is an assurance of pardon given in the language of the preacher, explaining and applying the Word of God. It seems to us that there is room for both in our Public Worship. Certainly Q. 84 of our Catechism does not mean to teach that the assurance of pardon may be given only and exclusively in the preaching. If that were the case, then our Reformed fathers were very inconsistent, because they incorporated such an assurance in many of our liturgical forms. The assurance of pardon is given by the minister, in the name of his Sender, not only in the preaching and the liturgical service, but also in his pastoral work, the visitation of the sick, etc.

Besides, we should remember that we always have had, and have today, the Reading of the Law as a liturgical element in our worship. The same objection would apply to this element, for must not the Law be preached in the Service of the Word just as well as the Gospel? If an element which must be found in the preaching of the Word may not at the same time be incorporated in a liturgical service, then it is high time that we banish the Reading of the Law from our Public Worship! It would be very inconsistent to insist on retaining this element. But — nobody objects against its retention. What objection then can there be against incorporating the essence of the Gospel in our liturgical service? Is there any reason why the Law should be retained, but the Gospel be refused a place of honor in our liturgy?

If it be objected that the incorporation of an Assurance of Pardon in our liturgical service is apt to lead to dead formalism, we readily admit that this danger exists and should be clearly recognized. In all our worship we have to guard ourselves against the danger of formalism. But we maintain that this danger is far greater without than with an Assurance of Pardon in our liturgical service. The Reading of the Law as we now have it, namely, as an isolated element without appropriate elements following it, has very little or no liturgical value, and is sure to become a mechanical part of the service that we go through without realizing its importance. But when the Reading of the Law is followed by a Confession of Sins, and this in turn by a declaration of the Gospel, there will be far less danger of falling into a dead formalism.

* * *


Herewith we have heard and answered the chief objections which have been brought against the new Order of Worship adopted by the previous Synod.

It seems to us that the arguments of the opponents have been weighed and found wanting. Of this we are sure, they are not based upon the Word of God, nor upon our Confession, or our Church Order. Flaws there may be found in the new Order of Worship. Actual use will no doubt suggest further improvements. But the Order as a whole is certainly a great improvement upon what we had thus far.

Practical considerations should not incline Synod to undo the work done by former Synods, at least not until the matter has had a fair trial.

Partly due to the attacks which were launched against the new Order of Worship, there has up to this time existed a state of uncertainty in regard to this matter. Some Churches have introduced the new Order, either partly or entirely, but many others have postponed taking definite action and have assumed an attitude of watchful waiting until Synod express itself again in this matter. There are also some Churches which are waiting for an Order of Worship for the second service on the Lord's Day. They would consider the introduction of the Order of Worship for the first service, but feel somewhat at a loss as to how the second service should be arranged, especially in view of the suggestion made at the previous Synod that this should be more in the nature of a praise service.

It is, therefore, necessary that Synod express itself clearly.

On the one hand, it seems to us that Synod should confirm the action taken by the Synod of 1928, and again recommend the introduction of the new Order of Worship. This would strengthen the hands of the Consistories of those Churches which have introduced the new Order. These certainly would be loath to give up the improvements they have gained, and to return to the old style.

But on the other hand, Synod should also clearly recognize actual conditions, which show that the majority of our Churches are not yet ready for, and would even resent, the introduction of the new Order of Worship. The Synod of 1928 was perhaps a little too optimistic in regard to its introduction. This matter cannot and should not be forced upon our congregations as long as they are not ripe for a change. Surely this was not the intention of the previous Synod!
There need be no undue haste in this matter. Your Committee therefore comes with a proposal to rescind one of the decisions of the Synod of 1928 to which strenuous objections have been made, and to make the introduction of the new Order entirely optional with the Churches. For the same reasons your Committee did not deem it wise to carry out all the instructions which it received of the Synod of 1928, but decided to limit itself, for the present, to the preparation of an Order of Worship for the second service on the Lord's Day, in which we have tried to give the element of praise a place of honor, and have also retained the Credo, in accordance with the suggestions of the Committee of Pre-Advice of Synod 1928 (Acta, p. 56).

* * *

Hence your Committee would at this time respectfully submit the following proposals:

1. Synod rescinds Art. 70, §5, of the Acta Synodi 1928 (p. 60), reading as follows: "That ministers and consistories are urged to take the necessary steps to introduce the new Order of Worship, with this understanding, however, that the peace and welfare of those churches in which there is considerable opposition, shall not be imperiled. At the same time the Classes shall strengthen the hands of the consistories by urging them, especially through the church-visitors, to make progress in the matter," and decides to leave the introduction of the new Order of Worship entirely to the discretion of each local church.

Grounds:

a. The majority of our Churches are not ready for the introduction of the new Order.

b. By removing the element of compulsion, Churches which are not ripe for a change will not be made to feel uneasy by classical and church-visitorial admonitions and urgings to introduce the new Order, consciences will not be bound, and denominational peace and harmony will be preserved.

2. Synod recommends anew the introduction of the Order of Worship for the first service on the Lord's Day, as adopted by the Synod of 1928 (Acta, p. 55).

Grounds:

a. No objections have been advanced which prove that the new Order as adopted by Synod is contrary to
the Word of God, our Confession, or our Church Order.

b. In the Directory of Worship, a revised edition of which is herewith submitted, changes have been made, especially in the second group of elements, which make it less objectionable to the brethren who have conscientious objections to a service of reconciliation and an absolution as contained in the first edition of the Directory.

c. Churches which are now using the new Order, or contemplating its introduction, can go on as at present, and will not be disturbed by a Synodical repeal of former decisions.

3. Synod adopts the following Order of Worship for the second service on the Lord’s Day, and recommends its introduction:

1. Votum
2. Doxology
3. Salutation or Invocation or Votum
4. Apostles’ Creed (optional)
5. Doxology
6. Praise Selection from Scripture
7. Psalm of Praise
8. Gloria Patri
9. General Prayer, concluded with the Lord’s Prayer
10. Offering and Psalm
11. Prayer
12. Prayer
13. Response (optional)
14. Psalm (with or without Doxology)
15. Benediction
16. Doxology (if desired here).

4. Synod again strongly urges our Consistories not to introduce any changes in their public worship other than those included in the Order adopted by Synod.

Grounds:

a. By restricting future changes to those approved by Synod, the calamity of every congregation determining its own mode of worship will be averted, and our denominational unity in matters of worship will not be seriously impaired.

b. Although some churches will be using the old and others the new Order, this will be far better than to have every church in independentistic fashion do what seems good to it in its own eyes.
5. Synod approves the revised Directory of Worship as herewith submitted by the Committee for tentative use by our Churches, and authorizes its separate publication for the convenience of ministers and congregations, but decides that for the present it shall not yet be incorporated in our Psalter.

Grounds:

a. Tentative approval does not mean that Synod assumes responsibility for every form and every suggestion contained in this Directory.
b. Actual use will no doubt suggest further improvements.

6. Synod instructs the Committee on Public Worship:

a. To prepare the Directory for the press, and to have a sufficient number of copies printed for all our consistory members.
b. To continue to give further thought to the improvement of our Public Worship, and to shed light upon it in our denominational papers.
c. To carry out the instructions of the Synod of 1928 in regard to the completion of our Order of Worship.

Respectfully submitted,

Your Committee,

W. HEYNS, Pres.,
D. ZWIER, Sec'y,
H. J. KUYPER,
L. TRAP,
W. STUART,
J. MANNI.

DIRECTORY OF WORSHIP
THE ORDER OF WORSHIP FOR THE FIRST SERVICE ON THE LORD'S DAY

Let the Congregation preferably be standing during the Introductory Service. The choice between the following two groups of elements is left to each Consistory.

1. Psalm Calling to Worship

2. Invocation or Votum

3. Salutation

| 1. Votum | 1. Psalm Calling to Worship |
|---|

| 2. Salutation | 2. Invocation or Votum |
|---|
| Grace to you and peace from God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ. Romans 1:7. | Grace to you and peace from God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ. Romans 1:7. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Psalm Calling to Worship</th>
<th>3. Salutation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
4. The Law of God

The Congregation not being seated, the Reading of the Law may be introduced by the Minister with these words:

Hear the Law of God as it is written in the twentieth chapter of the Book of Exodus:

God spake all these words, saying:

I am Jehovah thy God, who brought thee out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage.

Thou shalt have no other gods before Me.

Thou shalt not make unto thee a graven image, nor any likeness of anything that is in heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth: thou shalt not bow down thyself unto them, nor serve them; for I Jehovah thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children, unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate Me, and showing mercy unto thousands of them that love Me and keep my commandments.

Thou shalt not take the name of Jehovah thy God in vain; for Jehovah will not hold him guiltless that taketh His Name in vain.

Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy. Six days shalt thou labor, and do all thy work; but the seventh day is a Sabbath unto Jehovah thy God: in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, thy man-servant, nor thy maid-servant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates: for in six days Jehovah made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore Jehovah blessed the Sabbath day, and hallowed it.

Honor thy father and thy mother, that thy days may be long in the land which Jehovah thy God giveth thee.

Thou shalt not kill.

Thou shalt not commit adultery.

Thou shalt not steal.

Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbor.

Thou shalt not covet thy neighbor's house, thou shalt not covet thy neighbor's wife, nor his man-servant, nor his maid-servant, nor his ox, nor his ass, nor anything that is thy neighbor's.

The Reading of the Law may be followed by the reading of its Summary, found in Matt. 22:37-40 and Luke 10:27. The transition may be made by the Minister with these or similar words:

Of this holy Law of God our Lord Jesus Christ has given us a summary as follows:

Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind, and with all thy strength. This is the great and first commandment. And a second like unto it is this, Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself. On these two commandments the whole law hangeth, and the prophets.
The Reading of the Law (and its Summary) should be followed by this or a similar exhortation:

This holy Law of God clearly teaches us our sinfulness, which it behooves us to confess before God with contrite hearts. Let us do this as we pray:

5. Confession of Sins

Let the Congregation reverently follow the Minister in their hearts as he leads them in the Confession of Sins, using one of the following forms:

Eternal God and Gracious Father: We confess before Thy Divine Majesty that we are poor, miserable sinners, conceived in sin and born in unrighteousness, incapable in ourselves of any good and prone to all manner of wickedness. Our sins rise up and testify against us. We have transgressed all Thy commandments in thought, word, and deed. All we like sheep have gone astray, and are unworthy to be called Thy children. But we repent, O Lord, and are sorry for having provoked Thee, seeking refuge in Thine infinite grace and mercy. Calling upon Thee from the heart and trusting in the merits of our Mediator, Jesus Christ, we implore Thee to forgive us all our sins for His sake. Wash us in the pure fountain of His blood, that we may become pure and white as snow. Cover our nakedness with His innocence and righteousness, unto the glory of Thy Name and the joy of our hearts. This we beseech Thee, O Father, in the Name of our Lord Jesus Christ. AMEN.

Almighty God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ: Look upon us, we pray Thee, with an eye of compassion, and be gracious unto us, as we now humble ourselves before Thee with sincere confession of our sins. We have broken Thy commandments in thought, word, and deed, and turned aside from the way of life. Righteousness belongeth to Thee, O Lord; unto us confusion of face. But unto Thee, O Lord our God, belong also mercies and forgiveness, though we have rebelled against Thee. For Thou, Lord, art good, and ready to forgive, and abundant in lovingkindness unto all them that call upon Thee. For the sake of Thy Son, our Mediator and Lord, speak pardon and peace to our souls. Let Thy mercy be upon us, O Lord, according as we hope in Thee. And with the full pardon of all our past sins, be pleased also to quicken us in the way of righteousness. Help us to forsake the world, to crucify our old nature, and to walk in a new and holy life; through Jesus Christ our Lord. AMEN.

Almighty and most merciful Father: We have inclined our hearts to keep Thy statutes alway, even unto the end. And as Thou hast put within our hearts the desire and resolve to obey Thy holy law, do Thou enable us to achieve holiness, to live soberly, righteously, and godly, to the honor and glory of Thy most holy Name. We confess before Thee our shortcomings and transgressions. We have left undone those things which we should have done, we have done those things which we should not have done. With contrite hearts we humble ourselves before Thee. Have mercy upon us, O God, according to Thy lovingkindness. Hide Thy face from our sins, and blot out our iniquities. Cast us not away from Thy presence, and take not Thy Holy Spirit from us. O most merciful Father, renew in us the consciousness that we are reconciled with Thee, and restore in us the joy of salvation; through Jesus Christ our Lord, and for His sake. AMEN.
1. The Penitential Psalm may be sung by the Congregation, either in place of, or following the Confession of Sins. Psalm No. 130 is recommended (first verse, sung slowly, the Congregation remaining seated):

My transgressions I confess,
Grief and guilt my soul oppress;
I have sinned against Thy grace
And provoked Thee to Thy face;
I confess Thy judgment just,
Speechless, I Thy mercy trust.

6. The Assurance of Pardon

To be said by the Minister, the Congregation remaining seated:

Unto all who thus repent and seek in Jesus Christ their salvation, the Word of God declares that all their sins are forgiven them for the sake of the merits of Christ.

On the contrary, unto those who do not repent from the heart, but seek for themselves other means of salvation, the Word of God declares that the wrath of God and eternal condemnation rest upon them as long as they do not turn unto God with heartfelt repentance.

On this form:

Hear the gracious words of the Gospel unto all that truly repent and believe:

God so loved the world that He gave His only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth on Him should not perish, but have eternal life.

He that believeth on the Son hath eternal life; but he that obeyeth not the Son shall not see life, but the wrath of God abideth on him.

John 3:16, 36.

On this:

Remember and believe the comforting assurance of the grace of God, promised in His holy Word to all that repent and turn unto Him:

If we confess our sins, He is faithful and righteous to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse us from all unrighteousness.

On the contrary: If we say that we have not sinned, we make Him a liar, and His Word is not in us.

1 John 1:8, 10.

7. The Apostles' Creed

Pronounced the Creed should be recited in unison by the Minister and the Congregation. Let the Congregation rise, as the Minister uses these or similar words of introduction:

Let us now together profess our catholic, undoubted, Christian faith in the words of the Apostles' Creed. Let every one say in his heart (and with his mouth):

I believe in God, the Father Almighty, Maker of heaven and earth;
And in Jesus Christ, His only begotten Son, our Lord;
Who was conceived by the Holy Ghost, born of the virgin Mary;
Suffered under Pontius Pilate; was crucified, dead, and buried; He descended into hell;
The third day He rose again from the dead;
He ascended into heaven, and sitteth at the right hand of God the Father Almighty;
From thence He shall come to judge the quick and the dead.
I believe in the Holy Ghost.
I believe an holy catholic church, the communion of saints;
The forgiveness of sins;
The resurrection of the body;
And the life everlasting. AMEN.

5. Psalm
Let the Congregation remain standing, as the Minister announces the
number of the Psalm without reading it, and the organist plays a
very brief prelude. A Psalm should be selected which expresses
the joy of forgiveness and the assurance of faith.

6. General Prayer, concluded with the Lord's Prayer
The Lord's Prayer may be recited by the Minister only, or in unison
by the Minister and the Congregation:
Our Father who art in heaven:
Hallowed be Thy Name.
Thy Kingdom come.
Thy will be done, as in heaven, so on earth.
Give us this day our daily bread.
And forgive us our debts, as we also have forgiven our debtors.
And lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from the evil one.
For Thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory, forever.
Amen.

7. Offering and Psalm
Psalm may be selected which expresses the same sentiments that
move the worshiper to bring to God his gift of thanks.

11. Offertory Prayer
If any Conscience so desire, this Offertory Prayer may be pronounced
before the Offering is taken, or it may be omitted. It is, however,
recommended that it be incorporated in the service because it empha-
sizes the importance of the Offering as an essential part of
Worship. Let the deacons, having brought the Offering to the
altar, remain standing, while the Minister pronounces a brief
Offertory Prayer, using, if so desired, one of these forms:
O God, most merciful and gracious, of Whose bounty we have all
received: We beseech Thee to accept this offering of Thy people.
Remember in Thy love those who have brought it, and those for whom
it is given. And so follow it with Thy blessing that it may relieve
those that are needy, and advance the Kingdom of our Lord and
Saviour Jesus Christ. Amen.
Most merciful God and Father: We praise Thee Who of Thy
bounty hast supplied all our needs according to the riches of Thy
grace in Christ Jesus our Lord. We beseech Thee to accept our offer-
ing, and to help us by Thy Holy Spirit to be good stewards of Thy
manifold grace. May this offering bring a blessing to our souls, may
it benefit our fellow-men, and above all, may it promote the coming of
Thy Kingdom, to the glory and honor of Thy holy Name; for Jesus'
Sake. Amen.

12. Scripture Lesson
13. Sermon
14. Prayer
15. Psalm
This Psalm may be followed by the Doxology: "Praise God from Whom all Blessings Flow," or some other Doxology (Psalter No. 157, 210, or 211). If any Consistory so desire, the Doxology may be sung after the Benediction.

16. Benediction
The Minister may use either the Aaronitic or the Apostolic benediction:
Jehovah bless thee, and keep thee.
Jehovah make His face to shine upon thee, and be gracious unto thee.
Jehovah lift up His countenance upon thee, and give thee peace.
AMEN.
The grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, and the love of God, and the communion of the Holy Spirit, be with you all. AMEN.
II Corinthians 13:14.

THE ORDER OF WORSHIP FOR THE SECOND SERVICE
ON THE LORD'S DAY
Let the Congregation preferably be standing during the Introductory Service. The choice between the following two groups of elements is left to each Consistory.

1. Doxology (unannounced)
Praise God, from Whom all blessings flow;
Praise Him, all creatures here below;
Praise Him above, ye heavenly host;
Praise Father, Son, and Holy Ghost. AMEN.

2. Votum
Our help is in the Name of Jehovah, Who made heaven and earth.
Psalm 124:8

3. Salutation
Grace to you and peace from God our Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.
Romans 1:7

4. The Apostles' Creed
If the Creed is used in the first service on the Lord's Day, it may be omitted in this service. The Creed may be recited by the Minister only, or in unison by the Minister and the Congregation. If recited in unison, let the Congregation remain standing, as the Minister uses these or similar words of introduction:

Let us now together profess our catholic, undoubted, Christian faith in the words of the Apostles' Creed. Let every one say in his heart (and with his mouth):
I believe in God the Father, Almighty, Maker of heaven and earth;
And in Jesus Christ, His only begotten Son, our Lord;
Who was conceived by the Holy Ghost, born of the virgin Mary;
Suffered under Pontius Pilate; was crucified, dead, and buried; He
descended into hell;
The third day He rose from the dead;
He ascended into heaven, and sitteth at the right hand of God the
Father Almighty;
From thence He shall come to judge the quick and the dead.
I believe in the Holy Ghost.
I believe an holy catholic church, the communion of saints;
The forgiveness of sins;
The resurrection of the body;
And the life everlasting. Amen.

5. Praise Selection from Scripture
The Congregation now being seated, let the Minister read a selection
from Scripture, containing an exhortation to praise the Lord.

6. Psalm of Praise
Let the Congregation stand up and praise God with the spirit and with
the understanding, making melody with their voices as well as
with their hearts, unto the Lord. And at the close of the Psalm,
let them remain standing and sing the Gloria Patri.

7. Gloria Patri (unannounced)
Glory be to the Father, and to the Son, and to the Holy Ghost;
As it was in the beginning, is now, and ever shall be; world without
end. Amen.

8. General Prayer, concluded with the Lord’s Prayer
The Lord’s Prayer may be recited by the Minister only, or in union
by the Minister and the Congregation:
Our Father who art in heaven:
Hallowed be Thy Name.
Thy kingdom come.
Thy will be done, as in heaven, so on earth.
Give us this day our daily bread.
And forgive us our debts, as we also have forgiven our debtors.
And lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from the evil one.
For Thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory, forever.
Amen.

9. Offering and Psalm
A Psalm may be selected which expresses the same sentiments that
move the worshipper to bring to God his gift of thanks.

10. Offertory Prayer
If any Consistory so desire, this Offertory Prayer may be pronounced
before the Offering is taken, or it may be omitted. It is, however,
recommended that if be incorporated in the service because it em-
phasizes the importance of the Offering as an essential part of
Worship. Let the deacons, having brought the offering to the
table, remain standing, while the Minister pronounces a brief
Offertory Prayer, using if so desired one of these forms:

Our Heavenly Father: We thank Thee for every good gift and
every perfect gift that cometh down from Thee. To Thee we can but
offer that which Thy hand hath first given us. Accept, we beseech
Thee, this offering of thanksgiving. Grant that we who have brought it may experience that Thou, O Lord, lovest a cheerful giver. May those for whom it is given rejoice in Thy mercy, and glorify Thy Name; through Jesus Christ our Lord. AMEN.

Thine, O Lord, is the earth, and the fullness thereof. Thine is all the gold and silver, every beast of the forest, and the cattle upon a thousand hills. All things come of Thee, and of Thine own have we given Thee. Be pleased, we pray Thee, to receive the offering which we now present unto Thee, and use it for the advancement of Thy glorious Kingdom, and the welfare and salvation of men. We pray this in Jesus' Name. AMEN.

11. Scripture Lesson
12. Sermon
13. Prayer
14. Response (unannounced)
The Congregation remaining seated, this or a similar suitable Response may be sung. If so desired, this Response may be omitted.

Hear our prayer, O Lord;
Hear our prayer, O Lord;
Incline Thine ear to us,
And grant us Thy peace. AMEN.

15. Psalm
This Psalm may be followed by one of the Doxologies found in our Psalter (e.g. No. 197, 110, or 419). If any Consistory so desire, the Doxology may be sung after the Benediction.

16. Benediction
The Minister may use either the Aaronitic or the Apostolic Benediction:

Jehovah bless thee, and keep thee,
Jehovah make his face to shine upon thee, and be gracious unto thee.
Jehovah lift up His countenance upon thee, and give thee peace. AMEN.

The grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, and the love of God, and the communion of the Holy Spirit be with you all.

II Corinthians 13:14.
SUPPLEMENT XIV

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT ON THE DIVORCE QUESTION
(Agenda I, p. 76)

To the Synod of the Chr. Ref. Church, 1930.

HONORABLE BRETHREN:

Your committee on Divorce herewith submits its supplementary report on the answer of the S. African churches to the question our Synod submitted to them and on the question they put to our churches. We regret to report that the document (or documents) in this matter are not to be found. They are not in our Synodical files, and neither Dr. Beets nor any former member of this committee can inform us as to their whereabouts. We have therefore no official data or material on which to base our report.

We do have some unofficial material regarding the answer of the S. African committee to the question we submitted, an answer included in a report of said committee to their Synod. We herewith submit a copy of a portion of this report, translated and incorporated in an editorial by Dr. H. Beets in The Banner of Sept. 24, 1922.

SOUTH AFRICAN REPORT ON THE DIVORCE PROBLEM

The Synod of the Christian Reformed Church in North America requested our Church to furnish advice regarding the exercise of Christian discipline after illegal divorce followed by a new marriage. First of all it should be defined what kind of divorce is permissible and which is not permissible. Regarding this your committee wishes to express itself as agreeing entirely with the standpoint taken by the Christian Reformed Church in North America and by Prof. Ridderbos in the Netherlands, viz., that
only the ground named in Matt. 5:32 is a legal ground for divorce for a Christian. Your committee therefore differs from those of the brethren in Holland who desire to recognize malicious desertion as an additional ground for divorce. The committee judges that the words of Christ are to be taken in a limited sense such as not alone is shown by the expression “fornication,” but also by the clause: “Whosoever shall marry her when she is put away commiteth adultery.” This expression can refer only to a woman which has been forsaken on no other grounds than adultery. In the eye of Christ such putting away is no dissolution of the marriage tie before God, consequently He says that a man who marries such a woman commits fornication. Now, it is true that in Matt. 5:32, taken strictly literal, mention is made only of a woman who has been put away and not one who has been maliciously deserted by her husband, but at bottom this means the same thing, for the essence of malicious desertion must be sought herein that there is an obdurate refusal to live together. Concerning the other text which is used in this connection, viz., 1 Cor. 7:15, your committee is of the opinion, following most of the newer exegetes, that we must depart from the traditional exegesis, viz., that in this case malicious desertion on account of difference in religion is named as a ground for divorce, and that according to analogy malicious desertion on account of other reasons may be tolerated. The above named exegesis seems to be natural because Paul shortly before, in verse 10, appeals to the command of Jesus, a command which certainly is the equal of what is written in the gospels. Compare especially Mark 10:4-12 and Luke 16:18. Also in the case of mixed marriages Paul accordingly forbids a Christian party to separate on account of differences in religious views, verses 12 and 13.

That more recent exegetes in general have come to a more correct conception of Paul’s words your committee explains with Prof. Ridderbos from the fact that at the present the tie between church and state is no longer so obnoxiously close (knelleud) as formerly, so that exegetes are not forced to find in 1 Cor. 7:15 and similar texts rules for marriage legislation.

Concerning the traditional conception of 1 Cor. 7:14, also favored by Calvin in so far as he considers the special case of desertion on account of religious hatred
a legal ground for divorce for a Christian, your committee desires to remark that such a case can occur only in a missionary congregation (zendings gemeente); possibly also when one of the married parties who formerly lived away from God and His command came to conversion, as the brethren in the Netherlands remarked in their report. But in no case did your committee feel at liberty to deduce from that text that malicious desertion for any other cause than religious difference should be a legal ground for divorce, and that is the point especially at issue in practical life.

In order to do justice to Calvin we should also point out that he expresses himself with great carefulness, even if he accepts in part the traditional exegesis. In the first place the ground for divorce in his view is not considered to be that of desertion as such. He gives as his reason that the one who forsakes his partner of life separates himself from God more than from man. In the second place, Calvin says very carefully about the application of Paul's words: "Although some think at the present that we have nearly the same cause to separate from Papists, but we should nevertheless carefully note the difference in this case so that we do nothing light-heartedly."

In view of this all it is the safest to reach the conclusion on the ground of verse 11, that the divorced one, also in the case of radical religious difference, must remain unmarried.

In regard to the question how the church is to exercise discipline with reference to those who marry a second time after illegal divorce, the committee is of the opinion, first, that in such cases discipline must be exercised. The consistory must herein judge about each case separately. In cases concerning which in the Christian church there is so much difference of opinion, such, as for example, divorce on the ground of malicious desertion, the consistory will do well to reckon with this difference of opinion.

Secondly, when after illegal divorce as well as in the case of a new marriage following, the guilty persons confess their sins, then the church must again receive them into its communion when the sins have been confessed and repentance has been shown.

Thirdly, that the uprightness of such repentance must not consist in this, that the new marriage be annulled,
which would be fornication anew, but herein that sorrow
is felt on account of the sinful step which had been taken.
Doubt concerning repentance may be entertained only
when there is persistence in the sin of light-hearted
divorce and repeated marriage.

Herewith your committee considers its task to be dis­
charged and it closes with the remark that it is the calling
of the church to above all exhort its members to recon­
ciliation so that even in the case of fornication a separa­
tion need not take place, but reconciliation, for, says Cal­
vin aright: “The desire for divorce is foreign to our

It is evident that this committee takes essentially the
same position in this matter as the committee of the Re­
formed churches of the Netherlands.

In regard to the question put to us by the S. African
churches, your committee has no report to submit, chiefly
for the reason already stated, namely, that the official
document is not in our possession. Neither have we been
able to find any unofficial copy of this question, such as
given above in re the answer of the S. African churches
to our question. The only light we finally got on the
matter was the following brief sentence occurring in our
own Acts of Synod, 1924, p. 90, “Door de kerken van
Zuid-Afrika wordt eveneens de vraag gesteld of het ge­
oorloofd is, dat iemand trouwt met de vrouw van zijn
overleden broeder?”

Your committee gladly awaits the instruction of Synod
regarding the question whether it desires that we proceed
in this matter, notwithstanding the absence of all official
data.

Respectfully submitted,
Your Committee,

Prof. F. M. Ten Hoop,
Rev. H. Keegstra,
Rev. W. P. Van Wyk,
Prof. D. H. Kromminga,
Rev. G. Hoekema.
SUPPLEMENT XV

ADDRESS OF DR. V. HEPP AT THE SYNOD OF 1930

BROTHER PRESIDENT:

Your Synod undoubtedly will not take it ill of me, that I according to present day Netherlands usage, address in You the entire assemblage. The former style "Fathers and Brethren", we on our part, have dispensed with long ago. And indeed for the simple reason that no one could tell you who the "fathers" and who the "brethren" were. And when I look over your Synod, I struggle with the same difficulty. I think I can overcome this, by addressing myself to you and in you to your Synod.

From the occasion, that I had to be in your country anyway, where I feel at home as in my second fatherland, the Deputies of the Reformed Church of the Netherlands, appointed me to represent our churches at your Synod, a charge which I am very happy to discharge. I am truly sorry, that I can remain here only a few days. Without comparing me to a captain, I would be happy to be the last man to leave ship. Because your Synodical menu has many attractions for me. But then - work in my own country calls me to return. Please consider then this address as a farewell also.

I feel it is not necessary to convey detailed information concerning the churches which delegated me. At the Synod of your church in 1926 the delegate of that year, my highly esteemed colleague Greydanus, presented to you a statistic, and enriched it with historical information. I may, even though the numbers are slightly changed, confidently refer you to the Acts of that Synod, which itself may be reckoned among good works, seeing one cannot study the earlier Acts enough, if there is to continuity in ecclesiastical life. Further, the press keeps us mutually informed about each other's ecclesiastical circumstances, and to negate this information would be analogous to charging the concerned editors.
with monk's work (tedious drudgery), which for them would not be directly complimentary. I can, it seems to me, be satisfied to say that the question which in 1926 troubled our churches, has led to a schism, which thanks to God, is not extensive and has left no deep tracks in church life. By the grace of God the Synods of Assen in 1926 and of Groningen in 1927 stood firm for Reformed truth over against errors, which especially touched upon the authority of Scripture. However it remains our prayer that the eyes of those who left us may be opened to see their error and that they may again dwell in our ecclesiastical home. Moreover it may, least of all to our own praise—be put on record, that there is, in spite of not too favorable economic conditions, in all areas of our church life one can note an energetic movement, in particular in Missions and Evangelization, although also here we must confess our shortcomings before our God.

I think I may confirm that the relation that exists between your and our Churches, is a right hearty one. May that in the future become more cordial. Indeed, there cannot easily be too much heartiness. Also herein love is not selfish and does not seek its own. Believe me, we would like to see above all, that your churches in this broad land expand beyond our level, and that there would develop a rich Calvinistic, special theological literature, from which we could profit. Our prime task is to compete in holding high the honor of Christ, the King of the Church.

Of course it is not outside of God's providence, that many questions, which now demand Synod's attention, will be presented also at ours, which in August of this year will meet at Arnhem. A proof, more or less, that these have an international Reformed character. I only mention the status of those who teach in non-ecclesiastical institutions, the expansion of our Confession, divorce, revision of the liturgical forms, the question whether the use of free hymns is in principle allowed. I then do not have to assure you, that from our side the consideration of these questions will be followed with extraordinary interest. And I would want to add
a wish to that. The Synod of Groningen decided that no change would be
made in that which we, with the foreign churches, who have the same founda-
tion, have in common, until the judgment of those churches has been gained.
So, e.g. the Deputies for expansion of the Confession are proposing to
the Synod of Arnhem that the prepared articles be accepted only tentatively
and then come to a definite decision when the advice of the foreign
churches has been received. If also from your side the same procedure could
be followed, then the cause of the ecumenical Reformed churches would be
remarkably encouraged, and this contact ought to be sought not only be-
tween your church and ours, but also with those of South Africa and others.
Let us keep in view the ideal of international Calvinism.

To our sincere greetings to your churches, we unite our fervent prayers.
From a human point of view it is more difficult to remain Reformed in
America than in the Netherlands. One hears warning here not to copy the
Netherlands. Completely correct. Yet I cannot escape the impression that
the danger of copying other American churches is a much stronger threat.
I beseech you, be on your guard against that. One finds your confession
too restricting, that is too pure. One finds your church order too little
businesslike, that is, too Reformed. But guard yourself against com-
promising on the point of the confession. Guard yourself equally against
exchanging Reformed church order for worldly rule of society, which is
ready-made for the churches. Be in the right way, conservative and pro-
gressive at the same time. Historical studies, as of confession, as of
church order, cannot be missed. Work intelligently with the Reformed
principles, which have been revealed in history, and try to discover new
principles which harmonize completely with the first. But above all,
remain principled, no matter how, in the name of the so-called American
spirit, they may ridicule you. May the cooperation with churches of Re-
formed confession be strengthened. Quit you in your weighty but important
task with believing, with Reformed optimism. May your church be found faith-
ful till the day of the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ.

V. HEPP.
SUPPLEMENT XVI

RULES AND REGULATIONS CONCERNING THE HOME MISSION WORK OF THE CHRISTIAN REFORMED CHURCH

(Compare Art. 99, VIII, of the Acts of Synod.)

Article 1. For the work of Home Missions are necessary:

a) Classical Committees, whose task it shall be, in the service of the Classis, to promote the interests of Mission work within its territory. 1)

b) A General Committee, whose task it shall be, in the name of the Synod, to direct the Mission work outside of the Classical territory, and regarding the work of the Classes, to do what is necessary, in the interests of unity and co-operation.

CLASSICAL HOME MISSIONS COMMITTEES

Article 2. Each Classical Home Mission Committee shall consist of three members, with their alternates, chosen by the respective Classes for the time of four years; biennially and in rotation, two members and one member are to retire. The same rule pertains to their alternates.

a) The election of Classical Mission Committees shall take place at the spring meeting of the Classes, during synodical years.

b) The persons proposed to Synod as members of the General Committee shall be chosen from such members of the Classical Mission Committee as were recently elected, for a term of four years.

Article 3. The work of these Committees is to be:

a) The regulation of the labor of the Home Missionaries in the service of the Classis. 2)

b) Looking for new mission fields and reporting about them to Classis.

c) The execution of what its Classis charged it to do.

Article 4. Every Classis has for its own Mission work, a treasury of its own, and under its separate management.

1) Compare Art. 111, sub. VIII, as to calling and sending bodies.

2) For the status of Home Missionaries see Art. 111, XI, sub. c.
GENERAL HOME MISSIONS COMMITTEE

Article 5. The General Home Missions Committee shall be composed of those members of the respective classical mission committees, who were appointed for four years (compare Art. 2), and elected by Synod, with the Director of Missions ex officio member and secretary.

That Synod may proceed to this election, every Classis shall propose one member of its classical Home Mission Committee to serve as primarius, and one as secundus.

At every Synod one-half of the number of these members shall retire, but the retiring ones may be proposed anew and re-elected.

For the appointment of these members it is to be borne in mind that permanence, as much as possible, is in the interests of the cause which the Committee is serving.

Article 6. The work of the General Committee shall be:

a) The regulation and direction of all Home Mission work outside of the respective classical territory.

b) The supervision of the entire work of Home Missions, in order to assist efficiently in such a manner that this work be carried on harmoniously in the whole territory, that there be neither neglect nor overlapping, and to see to it that the synodical decisions be faithfully carried out by all the parties concerned.

c) The administration of the General Treasury, judging about the applications for support, that may come in, and in synodical years, to serve the Synod with advice regarding this, as well as preparing the budget to be laid before Synod.

d) Laying a complete report before Synod.

e) Executing what Synod charged it to do.

Article 7. The General Committee shall meet in synodical years, for this purpose convened in time by the Director of Missions.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Article 8. The members of the General Committee of the Michigan and Illinois Classes shall constitute an Executive Committee for the execution of the decisions of the General Committee and for the regulating of ad interim matters, as well as of such things as require speedy action. As much as possible, the Executive Committee is to obtain, by correspondence, the approval of the majority of the members of the General Committee for these decisions.

The Executive Committee is to send a complete report of all of its transactions to all the members of the General Committee.

Article 9. Every member of the General Committee shall keep his Classis and Classical Mission Committee posted on the transactions of the General Committee, and the General Committee shall lay a report of the same before the Synod.
Article 10. Expenses connected with the General Committee and the Executive Committee, are to be paid from the General Treasury.

THE DIRECTOR OF MISSIONS

Article 11. The Director of Missions shall prepare and keep up to date, complete statistics of the following particulars:
   a) What is done by every Classis in its territory.
   b) How much subsidy churches in need of aid require, and how much they have received.
   c) How many Home Missionaries are needed in every Classis to perform its task.
   d) Which salaries are received by these Home Missionaries in different localities.
   e) How much support each Classis has received from the General Treasury.

Article 12. The data for these statistics shall be furnished annually and in time, to the Director of Missions, by the members of the General Committee, each one for his own Classis.

On the basis of these data the General Committee, before every Synod, must prepare a Home Mission Budget, to be submitted to its judgment and approbation.

Article 13. Through the Director of Missions, the General Committee is to establish and maintain contact with the churches and Emigration Bureaux in the Netherlands, in regard to those who emigrate from the Netherlands to the United States and Canada.

THE GENERAL TREASURY

Article 14. Besides the Classis Treasuries for Home Missions, there shall be a General Treasury, of which the General Committee shall have charge. This Treasury shall serve for financing all the work of Home Missions that pertains to the Church in common. It is also to serve for the support of such Classes as are needing financial assistance. The amount of aid to be extended, is to be submitted to the judgment and decision of Synod.

For this General Treasury offerings are to be taken in all our congregations, at least once a year.

Article 15. Applications for support from this Treasury are to be sent annually, in due time, by the Classes, to the Secretary of the General Committee.

Article 16. The General Committee is charged in the course of a year, to diminish the support promised the respective Classes, if through removal of one or more missionaries, or ministers of subsidized churches, the expenditures of these Classes decrease.
Article 17. The promised support, unless there be no need of it, ought to be paid out in full. The General Committee therefore has the right, and is charged with the duty, to do all within its power to render full payment possible, as for instance, by means of an appeal for a special offering.

Article 18. The General Committee appoints a treasurer, who shall receive all moneys for the General Treasury, but is not to pay out any, except as directed by the General Committee.

Article 19. The amount needed by the General Treasury shall be fixed by Synod, which at the same time shall indicate how much is looked for from each family.

During the years in which the Synod does not convene, the General Committee is authorized according to circumstances, to fix a budget.

Translated, as per Art. 99, VIII, by

HENRY BEETS,
Director of Missions.
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