Synthesis of N-Alkenyl 2-Pyridonyl Ethers via a Au(I)-Catalyzed Rearrangement of 2-Propargyloxypyridines Evan O. Romero and Dr. Carolyn E. Anderson* Calvin College, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, 1726 Knollcrest Circle SE, Grand Rapids, MI 49546

INTRODUCTION

N-Alkyl pyridones have been of interest to the synthetic community in recent years because of their presence in natural products¹ and pharmacologically important targets (Figure 1).² Due to the relevance of this motif, our lab seeks to develop methods for the preparation of a variety of *N*-alkyl pyridones.



Figure 1. Examples of targets containing N-alkyl 2-pyridones.

(Scheme 1).



11 (R = H, R' = tBu,

 $X = CH_3CN \cdot SbF_6$



- **1974**, 1, 1215-1217.
- 2. Representative examples include: (a) Huffman, J. W.; Lu, J.; Hynd, G.; Wiley, J. L.;
- Utter, J. M.; Ellsworth, B. A.; Anderson, C. E. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 8220-8230.
- 4. Tasker, S. Z.; Brandsen, B. M.; Ryu, K. A.; Snapper, G. S.; Staples, R. J.; DeKock, R. L.; Anderson, C. E. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 6224-6227.
- 5. Romero, N. A.; Klesper, B. M.; Anderson, C. E. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 874-877.
- 6. (a) Fuerstner, A.; Davies, P. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3410-3449. (b) Fuerstner, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3208–3221 (c) Housecroft, C. E. Coord. Chem. *Rev.* **1993**, 127, 187–221.
- 7. Romero, E. O.; Reidy, C. P.; Bootsma, A. N.; PreFontaine, N. M.; Vryhof, N. W.; Wierenga, D. C.; Anderson, C. E. J. Org. Chem. 2016, ASAP.

5-EXO VS. 6-ENDO

Pyridones 2 and 4 result from 5-exo versus 6-endo addition to the alkyne (Schemes 3-5). Because Lil-mediated rearrangements are slow and yields are modest, an alternative means of accessing the 5-exo manifold was desired. Consequently, a Au(I) catalyzed rearrangement was evaluated.

> 5 mol% catalyst 11 MgSO₄ (1 equiv), 1-BuOH

Figure 3. Catalysts screened.



Scheme 7. Optimized conditions

100 °C (conventional), 5 hr

SCOPE Under the optimized reaction conditions, a variety of substituted 2propargyloxypyridines were subjected the tO rearrangement (Scheme 8).



48% (as 2.3:1 mixture with ketal **6**)

Scheme 8. Evaluation of the substrate scope.

Alkyl sidechains were generally well tolerated, even with the sterically large cyclohexyl group, and provided 2-pyridones 5 and 15-18 in 30-51% yields. However, substrates with siloxane groups gave mixed results. With a twocarbon linker, the desired 2-pyridone **18** was formed in 46% yield, whereas with a one-carbon linker, desired ether **19** was not observed at all. Interestingly similar reactivity was our earlier Au(III) amination-hydration chemistry, in which only the two-carbon linked substrate was active.⁵ 5-Me and 3-Me substitution on the pyridine ring was also acceptable in the reaction. Further it was found that a wide range of alcohols could be incorporated into the allylic ether products 22-25.

Although phenyl pyridonyl ether 26 was not observed, *E* and *Z* isomers **27** and **28** were isolated in a combined 86% yield. This is in contrast to the aliphatic case where the enol ether was isolated as a minor byproduct and as a single geometric isomer.



Figure 4. *E* and *Z* isomers of phenyl substituted pyridone (R=Ph).

SUMMARY

Biaryl catalyst **11** was found to provide selectivity for the 5-exo product, converting a variety of propargylic and aryl substituted pyridines to 2pyridonyl ethers in 22-58% yield. While yields were moderate, the method we have presented represents an important entry point for introducing the pyridone structure into more complex scaffolds. A manuscript detailing this work has recently appeared.⁷



Connor Reidy Noah PreFontaine Nicholas Vryhoff David Werienga Andrea Bootsma















